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FLIGHT TRAINING DEVICES

CONTENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>References</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Status of this AC</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Initial Evaluations</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Recurrent Evaluations</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Evaluation Team Leader Approval</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>FTD Approval</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix A:</td>
<td>Application for Initial Qualification Template</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix B:</td>
<td>Evaluation Report Template</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix C:</td>
<td>Qualification Certificate Template</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. REFERENCES


2. PURPOSE

CASR Part 60 requires that Flight Training Devices (FTD) undergo initial, recurrent and special evaluation. Part 60 also requires that persons proposing to use a qualified FTD in a training, testing or checking program must apply to CASA for approval to use the FTD. The purpose of this AC is to provide guidance to the Evaluation Teams on the content, process and proformas relevant to these evaluations. The AC also provides advice on the application method and information requirements for FTD approval and guidance on the application method and information required for Evaluation Team Leader appointment.

3. STATUS OF THIS AC

This is the first AC to be issued on this subject.

Advisory Circulars are intended to provide recommendations and guidance to illustrate a means but not necessarily the only means of complying with the Regulations, or to explain certain regulatory requirements by providing interpretative and explanatory material.

Where an AC is referred to in a ‘Note’ below the regulation, the AC remains as guidance material.

ACs should always be read in conjunction with the referenced regulations.
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4. INTRODUCTION

4.1 FTDs may be subject to:
   (a) Operator Testing;
   (b) Initial Evaluation;
   (c) Recurrent Evaluation; and
   (d) Special Evaluation.

4.2 Operator Testing is conducted by the FTD Operator to ensure that the FTD complies with their specifications etc. Operator Testing normally involves conducting tests in the Acceptance Test Manual (ATM) and the Qualification Test Guide (QTG). The FTD Operator conducts the testing to ensure that the FTD meets the required standard, specific training requirements, and is ready for evaluation by CASA.

4.3 The Initial Evaluation is conducted by CASA to qualify the FTD for use. This evaluation consists of a technical review of the QTG and a subsequent on-site evaluation of the FTD.

4.4 Recurrent Evaluations are conducted periodically to ensure that the FTD continues to meet its qualified level.

4.5 Special Evaluations are conducted as a result of major modifications, requests for upgrade, or the FTD failing to maintain its qualification level. The content of the Special Evaluation depends on the circumstances, and should be determined by CASA.

5. INITIAL EVALUATION

5.1 Qualification Test Guide (QTG)

5.1.1 FTD Operators are encouraged to submit an advance copy of the QTG to CASA, at the earliest opportunity, to ensure that the proposed tests and validation data are suitable.

5.1.2 The substantially complete QTG should be submitted to CASA not less than 15 working days prior to the proposed date of commencement of the on-site evaluation. All Validation, and Functions and Subjective Test results contained in the QTG should have been conducted on-site within the last 90 days. A letter of application should be submitted before commencement of the on-site evaluation confirming that Operator Testing is complete, listing all outstanding discrepancies and providing QTG updates (as necessary). A template for the letter is enclosed at Appendix A.

5.1.3 CASA should advise the FTD Operator of the outcome of their technical review of the QTG. Any significant discrepancies should be addressed before commencement of the on-site evaluation.

5.2 Composition of Evaluation Team

5.2.1 CASA should appoint the Evaluation Team Leader. The Evaluation Team Leader should have completed an approved Flight Simulator Evaluation Course.

5.2.2 The Evaluation Team Leader is responsible for the conduct of the on-site evaluation and for certifying the results achieved.
5.2.3 The Evaluation Team may be made up of a combination of both CASA and non-
CASA staff. For the duration of the evaluation, any non-CASA Evaluation Team members
should be considered as acting on behalf of CASA. It is strongly recommended that
individual team members should have completed an approved Flight Simulator Evaluation
Course.

5.2.4 The Evaluation Team should consist of at least the following members:

(a) a flight simulation specialist who is familiar with the scope and content of
Qualification Test Guides;

(b) a check pilot who is type rated and current on the aircraft type;

(c) a training pilot or suitably qualified person who is familiar with the operation
of the FTD as a training device, particularly with regard to the Instructor
Station.

Note: Non-CASA staff should participate in appropriate team member roles.

5.3 Content of On-site Evaluation. The on-site evaluation should consist of an
evaluation of the following:

(a) Validation Tests;

(b) Functions and Subjective Tests;

(c) the proper functioning of the instructor station, seating, lighting, radio
communications, navigation aids, and intercom facilities.

5.4. Validation Tests. The on-site evaluation should re-run a representative sample of
the Validation Tests in the QTG. This sample size should be approximately 33%. A
selection of Validation Tests should also be run manually to verify the integrity of the
automatic test procedures.

5.5 Functions and Subjective Tests

5.5.1 The on-site evaluation should evaluate a representative sample of Functions and
Subjective Tests.

5.5.2 The Functions and Subjective Testing should be structured into several flights, with
the purpose being to evaluate the FTD’s reliability and usability as a training device. The
overall fidelity including the integration of the visual and motion systems (if fitted) should
be evaluated. Any user specific training manoeuvres or scenarios should be incorporated
into the flight profiles. This evaluation should cover those areas essential for flight crew
member training, testing and checking including:

(a) flight deck configuration (physical layout, placards, engine, autopilot, flight
management system. etc.); and

(b) systems functionality during normal and non-normal operations.

5.5.3 During Functions and Subjective Testing, the normal aircraft crew complement
should occupy the operating flight crew seats. At least one pilot should be current on the
aircraft type and model. That pilot should be competent to assess the FTD’s performance
both as a representation of the particular aircraft and as a training/testing/checking device.
5.6 **Instructor Station and Supporting Facilities.** Evaluation of the instructor station, together with the seating, lighting, radio communications, navigation aids and intercom facilities, should be conducted on an ongoing basis throughout the on-site evaluation. Additionally, the Instructor Station should be assessed to ensure that its operation does not present an unnecessary distraction from observing the activities of the flight crew whilst providing adequate facilities for the tasks.

5.7 **Qualification**

5.7.1 At the conclusion of the on-site evaluation, where major discrepancies remain unresolved, the Evaluation Team Leader may at his or her discretion decline to qualify the FTD.

5.7.2 Where minor discrepancies remain unresolved, a process and timescale for rectification of all discrepancies outstanding at the conclusion of the on-site evaluation should be agreed to by the FTD Operator and the Evaluation Team Leader.

5.7.3 The Evaluation Team Leader should certify in the QTG that all tests, except those recorded as outstanding, have been completed to the standard required for the appropriate Qualification Level. An Evaluation Report detailing the findings of the evaluation should be produced. A template for the Evaluation Report is enclosed at Appendix B.

5.7.4 All outstanding discrepancies arising from the on-site evaluation, together with the outstanding discrepancies from Operator Testing, should be entered into the FTD’s maintenance management system.

5.7.5 Upon satisfactory completion of the on-site evaluation, CASA should issue a Qualification certificate. A template for the Qualification Certificate is enclosed at Appendix C. CASA should review the FTD’s reliability in-service and the progress in correcting outstanding discrepancies after the FTD has been qualified for 60 days.

5.8 **Support Staff.** The FTD Operator should provide sufficient support staff to assist the Evaluation Team with the conduct of the on-site evaluation.

6. **RECURRENT EVALUATIONS**

6.1 **Composition of Evaluation Team**

6.1.1 CASA should appoint the Evaluation Team Leader. The Evaluation Team Leader should have completed an approved Flight Simulator Evaluation course.

6.1.2 The Evaluation Team Leader is responsible for the conduct of the Recurrent Evaluation and for certifying the results achieved.

6.1.3 The Evaluation Team may be made up of a combination of both CASA and non-CASA staff. For the duration of the evaluation, any non-CASA Evaluation Team members should be considered as acting on behalf of CASA. It is strongly recommended that individual team members should have completed an approved Flight Simulator Evaluation Course.

6.1.4 The Evaluation Team should consist of at least the following members:

(a) a flight simulation specialist who is familiar with the scope and content of Qualification Test Guides;

(b) a check pilot who is type rated and aircraft current on the aircraft type;
(c) a training pilot or suitably qualified person from one of the intended users who is familiar with the operation of the FTD as a training device, particularly with regard to the Instructor Station.

Note: CASA staff may participate in any arranged Recurrent Evaluation in an appropriate team member role.

6.1.5 The check pilot (referred to at 6.1.4 (b)) should be selected from not more than two or three suitably qualified pilots who are identified in the FTD Operator’s Quality System.

6.2 Content of Recurrent Evaluation. The Recurrent Evaluation should consist of an evaluation of the following:

(a) FTD Operator’s Quality System;
(b) overall FTD reliability and serviceability;
(c) current unserviceabilities and defects;
(d) modification status;
(e) Validation Tests;
(f) Functions and Subjective Tests;
(g) the proper functioning of the instructor station, seating, lighting, radio communications, navigation aids, and intercom facilities.

6.3 Quality System

6.3.1 The Evaluation Team should review the effectiveness of the FTD Operator’s Quality System, with regard to the specific FTD, including:

(a) reports, findings and follow up actions; and
(b) corrective and preventative measures.

Note: The Operator’s Quality System should be subject to an annual audit by CASA. This audit should normally be conducted independently from any Recurrent Evaluation.

6.4 Overall FTD reliability and serviceability.

6.4.1 The FTD Operator should provide metrics describing the FTD’s performance since the previous Recurrent Evaluation. Further guidance may be found in Aeronautical Radio Inc (ARINC) Report 433 Standard Measurements for Flight Simulator Quality.

6.4.2 The FTD Operator should provide a summary of all significant FTD defects that have occurred since the previous Recurrent Evaluation.

6.4.3 The Evaluation Team should review the defect history and the reported overall performance and consider their effects on the future Qualification Level.

6.5 Current Unserviceabilities and Defects

6.5.1 The Evaluation Team should evaluate whether any current unserviceability or defect will affect the Qualification Level of the FTD.
6.6 Modifications

6.6.1 The FTD Operator should provide a summary of all FTD modifications which have occurred since the previous Recurrent Evaluation.

6.6.2 The Evaluation Team should confirm that the Master QTG Validation Tests, if applicable, have been updated to reflect the incorporation of the FTD modifications.

6.6.3 The Evaluation Team should evaluate whether any modifications affect the Qualification Level of the FTD.

6.7 Validation Tests. The Recurrent Evaluation should re-run a representative sample of the Validation Tests in the QTG. This sample size should be approximately 10 - 15%.

6.8 Functions and Subjective Tests.

6.8.1 The Recurrent Evaluation should assess a representative sample of Functions and Subjective Tests. The Functions and Subjective Testing should be structured into one or more flights, for the purpose of evaluating the FTD’s reliability and useability as a training device. The continuing overall fidelity, including the integration of the visual and motion systems (if fitted), should be evaluated. A sample of specific training manoeuvres or scenarios should be incorporated into the flight profiles. This evaluation should contain a selection of those areas essential for flight crew member training, testing and checking, including:

(a) flight deck configuration; and
(b) system functionality during normal and non-normal operations.

6.8.2 During Functions and Subjective Testing, the normal aircraft crew complement should occupy the operating flight crew seats. At least one pilot should be current on the aircraft type and model. That pilot should be competent to assess the FTD’s performance both as a representation of the particular aircraft and as a training/testing/checking device.

6.9 Instructor Station and Supporting Facilities. Evaluation of the instructor station, together with the seating, lighting, radio communications, navigation aids and intercom facilities, should be conducted on an ongoing basis throughout the Recurrent Evaluation.

6.10 Continuing Qualification

6.10.1 At the completion of the Recurrent Evaluation, where major discrepancies remain unresolved that are likely to have a significant impact on the continuing use of the FTD, the Evaluation Team Leader may, at his or her discretion, decline to requalify the FTD.

6.10.2 A process and timescale for rectification of all discrepancies outstanding at the conclusion of the Recurrent Evaluation should be agreed between the FTD Operator and the Evaluation Team Leader.

6.10.3 An Evaluation Report detailing the findings of the evaluation should be produced. A template for the Evaluation Report is enclosed at Appendix B.

6.10.4 All outstanding discrepancies arising from the Recurrent Evaluation should be entered into the FTD’s maintenance management system.

6.11 Support Staff The FTD Operator should provide sufficient support staff to assist the Evaluation Team with the conduct of the tests and operation of the Instructors’ station.
7. EVALUATION TEAM LEADER APPROVAL

7.1 An Evaluation Team Leader seeking to be appointed to conduct Recurrent Evaluations, on behalf of CASA, should apply to the General Manager Airline Operations stating the following:

(a) FTD Operator;
(b) FTD Identification details;
(c) FTD Qualification Level;
(d) Proposed Quality System Procedures.

7.2 The Evaluation Team Leader should have:

(a) completed an approved Flight Simulator Evaluation Course;
(b) previously participated in several Recurrent Evaluations;
(c) an acceptable record of performance in Recurrent Evaluations; and
(d) have demonstrated to CASA within the last twelve months, the successful completion of a Flight Simulator or FTD Recurrent Evaluation under supervision.

7.3 An Evaluation Team Leader appointment will be valid for a maximum period of three years. The renewal of an Evaluation Team Leader appointment will be conditional upon the applicant having:

(a) performed the duties of an Evaluation Team Leader on at least two occasions within the last three year period; and
(b) demonstrated to CASA the successful completion of a Flight Simulator or FTD Recurrent Evaluations within the last 12 months.
8. **FTD APPROVAL**

8.1 **Application.** Applicants for FTD Approvals should apply to the local CASA Airline Office in writing, providing the following:

- (a) FTD User details;
- (b) FTD Approvals sought;
- (c) a copy of the Training and Checking Organisation approval (if relevant);
- (d) a copy of the Training Syllabus which specifies the FTD-based training sequences (if relevant);
- (e) a list of all configuration differences existing between the FTD and the applicant’s aircraft;
- (f) proposals for differences training (if relevant);
- (g) FTD Operator;
- (h) FTD Identification details;
- (i) FTD Qualification Level; and
- (j) a copy of the FTD Qualification Certificate.

*Notes:*

1. *The Application may reference material previously supplied to, or issued by, CASA during the process of Training and Checking Organisation approval, Training Syllabus approval, FTD Qualification, and/or Operator approval.*

2. *FTD Users, who are also FTD Operators, may submit a combined application for qualification and approval of an FTD.*

8.2 The applicant should also state that the FTD is suitable for use in its training program, and has been assessed for:

- (a) available visual and navigational databases; and
- (b) instructor training requirements for use of the FTD’s Instructor’s Station.

8.3 **Approval.** The approval should be recorded on the Applicant’s AOC.

Bill McIntyre
Executive Manager
Aviation Safety Standards Division
Appendix A

APPLICATION FOR INITIAL QUALIFICATION

(Date)…………………………

(Name)
General Manager Airline Operations
Civil Aviation Safety Authority
GPO Box 2005
Canberra, ACT 2601

Dear ………….

…………………………….(Name of Applicant)………………… requests the evaluation of its ……..(type)….. Flight Training Device (FTD) for ….(FAA/JAA standard)…. Level … qualification. The FTD is fully defined on page …………. of the Qualification Test Guide (QTG) which was completed on ………(date)………… at ……..(place)……. We have completed testing of the FTD and declare that it meets all applicable requirements of Civil Aviation Regulation 1998 Part 60 and ….(FAA/JAA standard)…. except as noted below. We have also established a suitable:

(a) Quality Management System, and
(b) Maintenance System,
and these are available for your review.

The FTD has been assessed by the following personnel:

(name) Qualification/Title
(name) Qualification/Title
(name) Pilot’s Licence No

who attest(s) that it conforms to the aircraft cockpit configuration of …………..(type of aircraft) and that the simulated systems and subsystems function equivalently to those in that aircraft.

(additional comments as required)

The following tests/discrepancies are outstanding:

Sincerely,

Print Name
Position/Appointment held.
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Appendix B

CIVIL AVIATION SAFETY AUTHORITY AUSTRALIA
FLIGHT TRAINING DEVICE EVALUATION REPORT

Authority Code : 
Aircraft Type and Variant :
Engine Version(s) Simulated :

1 Flight Training Device characteristics
2 Evaluation details
3 Supplementary information
4 Training, testing and checking considerations
5 Classification of items
6 Results
7 Evaluation team

This report is provisional. The conclusions presented are those of the team and CASA Airline Operations reserves the right to change these after internal review. The qualification certificate finalises the evaluation report unless a modified report has been issued.
1. Flight Training Device characteristics

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>STD OPERATOR</td>
<td>(b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c)</td>
<td>STD IDENTIFICATION</td>
<td>(d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e)</td>
<td>FIRST ENTRY INTO SERVICE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(f)</td>
<td>VISUAL SYSTEM MANUFACTURER AND TYPE</td>
<td>(g)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(h)</td>
<td>AIRCRAFT TYPE AND VARIANT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ENGINE TYPE(S)</th>
<th>ENGINE INSTRUMENTATION</th>
<th>FLIGHT INSTRUMENTATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(i)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Evaluation details

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>DATE OF EVALUATION</td>
<td>(b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c)</td>
<td>TYPE OF EVALUATION</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d)</td>
<td>STD QUALIFICATION LEVEL RECOMMENDED:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FAA AC 120-45A</th>
<th>JAR-STD 2A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

technical criteria primary reference document:
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3. Supplementary Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company Representative(s)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Main STD user, STD operator)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTD Seats Available</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Training, testing and checking considerations

| TBD |   |

5. Classification of Items

**UNACCEPTABLE**

An item which fails to comply with the required standard and therefore affects the level of qualification or the qualification itself.

If these items will not be corrected or clarified within 30 days, CASA may have to suspend, vary, restrict or revoke the STD qualification.

**RESERVATION**

An item where compliance with the required standard is not clearly proven and the issue will be reserved for later decision. Resolution of these items will require either:

1. A CASA policy ruling or
2. Additional substantiation

**UNSERVICEABILITY**

A device which is temporarily inoperative or performing below its nominal level.

**RESTRICTION**

An item which prevents the full usage of the STD according to the training, testing and checking considerations due to unusable devices, systems or parts thereof.

**RECOMMENDATION FOR IMPROVEMENT**

An item which meets the required standard but where considerable improvement is strongly recommended.

**COMMENT**

Self explanatory
6. Findings

6.1 Subjective

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Unacceptable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Reservation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Unserviceability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Restriction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Recommendation for improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Comment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.2 Objective

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Unacceptable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Reservation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Recommendation for improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Comment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7. Evaluation Team

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Organisation</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For CASA.
FLIGHT TRAINING DEVICE QUALIFICATION CERTIFICATE

No. AUS/Device No (XX)/Sequence No (XX)

It is hereby certified that

(Operator’s)

(Type) Flight Training Device

Located at (location)

has satisfied the Qualification Level X requirements prescribed in the (Applicable FAA/JAA Standard Version XX) subject to the conditions of the attached Specification.

This Certificate is not transferable, and unless revoked, suspended or varied shall continue in effect until (date).

General Manager
Airline Operations
(Date)

April 2003
Civil Aviation Safety Authority

Flight Training Device Specification

No. AUS/Device No (XX)/Sequence No (XX)

a) Type/Variant of Aircraft

b) Flight Training Device Qualification Level

c) Visual System

d) Motion System

e) Engine Fit

f) Flight Management System Fit

g) TCAS Fit

h) Training, testing and checking considerations
   (List qualified items from Section 4 of Evaluation Report)

i) Restrictions / Limitations