



Australian Government

Civil Aviation Safety Authority

DRAFT

ADVISORY CIRCULAR

AC 21-24

**Flight recorder and underwater locating
device maintenance**

Advisory Circulars are intended to provide advice and guidance to illustrate a means, but not necessarily the only means, of complying with the Regulations, or to explain certain regulatory requirements by providing informative, interpretative and explanatory material.

Advisory Circulars should always be read in conjunction with the relevant regulations.

Audience

This Advisory Circular (AC) applies to:

- Subpart 21.B type certificate holders
- Subpart 21.E supplemental type certificate (STC) holders
- Subpart 21.M authorised persons
- Subpart 21.J approved design organisations
- Part 42 of the *Civil Aviation Safety Regulations 1998 (CASR 1998)* - Continuing airworthiness management organisations
- Part 145 - Approved maintenance organisations
- Part 30 of the *Civil Aviation Regulations 1988 (CAR 1988)* maintenance certificate of approval holder

Purpose

The purpose of this AC is to provide guidance maintenance of aircraft flight data recorders (FDRs), cockpit voice recorders (CVRs) and underwater locating devices (ULDs). This AC does not provide advice or standards for the installation of recorders and ULDs, however the contents of this AC should be considered when preparing the instructions for continued airworthiness (ICA) for a new installation.

For further information

For further information on this AC, contact the Civil Aviation Safety Authority's (CASA's) Airworthiness and Engineering Standards Branch (telephone 131 757).

Unless specified otherwise, all subregulations, regulations, divisions, subparts and parts referenced in this AC are references to the *Civil Aviation Safety Regulations 1998 (CASR 1998)*.

Status

Version	Date	Details
1.0		This AC was compiled with information from Civil Aviation Advisory Publication (CAAP) 42L-4(0), 42L-7(0) and 42L-8(0). Any information was updated to latest technical requirements. Added additional information on using FDRs for a flight data analysis program (FDAP).

Contents

1	Reference material	5
1.1	Acronyms	5
1.2	Definitions	7
1.3	References	8
2	Flight data recorders	10
2.1	Various types and uses for FDRs	10
2.2	Existing standards	14
2.3	Installation information	16
2.4	FDR maintenance program	16
2.5	Minimum equipment list considerations	18
2.6	Documentation	18
3	Combination recorders	20
3.1	Background	20
3.2	Installation information	20
4	Recorder independent power supply	21
4.1	Background	21
4.2	Existing Standards	21
4.3	Installation information	21
4.4	Instructions for continued airworthiness	21
5	Lightweight flight recorders	22
5.1	Background	22
5.2	Existing standards	22
5.3	Installation information	22
6	Cockpit voice recorders	24
6.1	Background	24
6.2	Existing standards	24
6.3	Recommended functional test	25
6.4	Operators maintenance program	26
6.5	Effects of modifications	26
6.6	First of type/model CVR installation	27
7	Underwater locating device	28

FLIGHT RECORDERS AND UNDERWATER
LOCATING DEVICES REQUIREMENTS

7.1	Background	28
7.2	Existing standards	28
7.3	Maintenance program	29
7.4	Installation information	29
Appendix A	Cockpit voice recorder flight test	30
Appendix B	Typical flight data recorder system check procedures	34

1 Reference material

1.1 Acronyms

The acronyms and abbreviations used in this AC are listed in the table below.

Acronym	Description
AC	Advisory Circular
AMC	Acceptable Means of Compliance
ATSB	Australian Transport Safety Bureau
BITE	Built-In Test Equipment
CAAP	Civil Aviation Advisory Publication
CAO	Civil Aviation Order
CAP	Civil Aviation Publication (CAA UK)
CAR	<i>Civil Aviation Regulations 1988</i>
CASA	Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR	<i>Civil Aviation Safety Regulations 1998</i>
CoA	Certificate of Airworthiness
CS&E	Cabin Systems and Equipment
CS-ETSO	Certification Specifications - European Technical Standard Orders
CVR	Cockpit Voice Recorder
EASA	European Aviation Safety Agency
ED	EUROCAE Document
ETSO	European Technical Standard Order
EU	Engineering Units
EUROCAE	European Organisation for Civil Aviation Equipment
FDAU	Flight Data Acquisition Unit
FAA	Federal Aviation Administration (of the USA)
FDAP	Flight Data Analysis Program
FDR	Flight Data Recorder
GSE	Ground Support Equipment
ICA	Instructions for Continued Airworthiness
ICAO	International Civil Aviation Organization
MEL	Minimum Equipment List
MPS	Minimum Performance Standards

MTOW	Maximum Take-Off Weight
OEM	Original Equipment Manufacturer
QAR	Quick Access Recorders
STC	Supplemental Type Certificate
RIPS	Recorder Independent Power Supply
RMT	Rule Making Task
SMS	Safety Management System
SoM	System of Maintenance
SPO	Specialised Air Operations
TC	Type Certificate
TCAS	Traffic Collision Avoidance System
ToR	Terms of Reference
TSO	Technical Standard Order
ULD	Underwater Locating Device

1.2 Definitions

Terms that have specific meaning within this AC are defined in the table below.

Term	Definition
Cockpit voice recorder	A device that uses a combination of microphones and other audio and digital inputs to collect and record the aural environment of the cockpit and communications to, from and between the flight crew members.
Combination recorder	A single recorder that combines the functions of two or more accident recording functions in a single crash protected box.
Correlation	The parallel relationship of two or more corresponding events.
Data conversion	Conversion of binary data to a scaled value.
Download	Copying the digital data (also known as raw data) stored in the crash protected memory module for replaying at a later time.
Drop out	Loss of synchronisation, corrupted bit or data word that was recorded and cannot be correctly recovered by data recovery and analysis system.
Engineering units	Scaled value relating to the data source (e.g. altitude scaled to feet, airspeed scaled to knots).
Flight data recorder	A device or devices that uses a combination of data providers to collect and record parameters that reflect the state and performance of an aircraft.
Flight recorder	Any type of recorder installed in the aircraft for the purpose of complementing accident/incident investigation or flight analysis.
Functional check	A quantitative check to determine if one or more functions of an item performs within specified limits. When applied to an FDR parameter, the functional check determines that the recorded parameter is within the limits (range, accuracy, sampling rate, and resolution) specified in the operating rule. The maintenance functional check should exercise the recording system from the sensor or transducer to check the range, accuracy, resolution, and sampling rate of the recorded data.
Operational check	An operational check is a task to determine that an item is fulfilling its intended purpose. This task does not require quantitative tolerances as it is a failure finding task. When applied to an FDR, the operational check determines that the FDR is active and recording each parameter value within the normal operating range of the sensor. The operational check must also verify verifies each electrical interface to the FDR. A check to determine the reasonableness and quality of the data being recorded is considered an operational check.
Parameter	Aircraft system or motion required to be recorded (e.g. for control surface – flap position and for aircraft velocity – airspeed).
Quality	Amount of data that cannot be recovered or is corrupted.
Reasonableness	An off-airplane review of recorded data to assess the overall health of the recorded system parameters. The recorded parameter values are assessed against expected magnitude, direction and rates of change. For further information see Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) AC 20-141B.
Recorder independent power supply (RIPS)	A supplemental energy source that supplies direct current voltage to applicable aircraft recorder(s), for a specified duration whenever the primary aircraft power is removed from the recorder(s).

Term	Definition
Simulation	The use of a laboratory-installed system of avionic components (test bench) representative of the aircraft in which the recording system is to be certified. The test bench may be controlled by a computer-based system including analogue and discrete inputs, to create specific operating conditions, such as 90° pitch up, or other conditions that cannot be tested in flight or are difficult to test on the aircraft. The test bench should be configured so the computer or analogue inputs to the system drive the instruments and displays in a way representative of the aircraft. All avionic components installed in the test bench should be either of production standard or representative of the final production configuration.
Solid state	An electronic device that is capable of performing a function without the use of moving parts, using semiconductor material or similar.
Stimulation	The use of test equipment, traceable to a known standard, to induce aircraft systems to produce a specific result.
Synchronisation	A technique to align two independent events to a common point in time.
Test	A means of demonstrating compliance, using a test aircraft in a configuration representative of the configuration to be certified, in a ground and/or flight environment.

1.3 References

Regulations

Regulations are available on the ComLaw website <http://www.comlaw.gov.au/Home>

Document	Title
Part 21 of CASR	Certification and airworthiness requirements for aircraft and parts
Part 42 of CASR	Continuing Airworthiness requirements for aircraft and aeronautical products
Part 145 of CASR	Continuing airworthiness - Part 145 approved maintenance organisations
Part 30 of CAR	Certificates of approval
Part 4A of CAR	Maintenance
Civil Aviation Order (CAO) 20.18	Aircraft equipment - Basic operational requirements
CAO 100.5	General requirements in respect of maintenance of Australian aircraft
CAO 103.19	Equipment standards - flight data recorders
CAO 103.20	Equipment standards - cockpit voice recorders

Advisory material

CASA's advisory material is available at http://www.casa.gov.au/scripts/nc.dll?WCMS:STANDARD::pc=PC_90902

Document	Title
----------	-------

Document	Title
Annex 6 to the Convention on International Civil Aviation (Chicago Convention)	Operation of Aircraft
CAAP SMS-4(0)	Guidance on the establishment of a Flight Data Analysis Program (FDAP) Safety Management Systems (SMS)
CAAP 232A-1	Administration of Aircraft Related Ground Support Network Security Programs
CAAP 37-1	Minimum equipment lists (MEL)
European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA) Specialised Air Operations (SPO).IDE.A.140	Cockpit voice recorder
EASA SPO.IDE.A.145	Flight data recorder
EASA SPO.IDE.A.155	Flight data recorder and cockpit voice combination recorder
FAA AC 20-141B	Airworthiness and Operational Approval of Digital Flight Data Recorder
UKCAA CAP 739	Flight Data Monitoring
AO-2014-083	Loss of control involving a Cirrus SR22, N802DK, ATSB Transport Safety Report
FAA AC 20-168	Certification Guidance for Installation of Non-Essential, Non-Required Aircraft Cabin Systems & Equipment (CS&E)
SAE Aerospace Standard (AS) 8045a	Minimum Performance Standard for Underwater Locating Devices (Acoustic) (Self-Powered)
Technical Standard Order (TSO)-C121b	Underwater Locating Devices (Acoustic) (Self-Powered)
ETSO-C121b	Underwater Locating Devices
TSO-C200	Airframe Low Frequency Underwater Locating Devices (Acoustic) (Self Powered)
ETSO-C200	Low-frequency Underwater Locating Device (ULD)
SAE AS 6254	Minimum Performance Standard for Low Frequency Underwater Locating Devices (Acoustic) (Self-Powered)
EASA Terms of Reference (ToR) RMT.0271 & 0272	Inflight recording for light aircraft
ARINC 647A	Flight Recorder Electronic Documentation
Notice for Aircraft Flight Recorder and Cockpit Voice Recorder	Federal Register / Vol. 60, No. 74 / Tuesday, April 18, 1995 / Notices 19443

2 Flight data recorders

2.1 Various types and uses for FDRs

2.1.1 Background

- 2.1.1.1 Historically the principal purpose of FDRs was to assist accident investigators to determine the cause of air crashes. This was possible by recovering the FDR and analysing the recorded flight data. FDRs proved very useful in providing a better understanding of serious incidents.
- 2.1.1.2 In the early 1970s, a number of progressive operators appreciated the capabilities of FDRs and the valuable insights they could provide for the conduct of safe flight.
- 2.1.1.3 Regularly gathering and analysing flight data from the flight recorders can reveal useful information that can assist in monitoring operational efficiency. It also provides performance information of airframes and engines that can assist in continuing airworthiness.

2.1.2 Flight data analysis

- 2.1.2.1 Today it is realised by aviation agencies and airlines alike, that the practice of routinely analysing recorded data from routine operations is a cornerstone in support of their accident prevention programs. Rather than reacting to serious incidents, operators have a very useful tool to proactively monitor the aircraft operation to identify safety hazards and mitigate the potential risks.
- 2.1.2.2 The analysis of FDRs is done by an FDAP. This is a pro-active, non-punitive program for gathering and analysing data recorded during routine flights to improve flight crew performance, operating procedures, flight training, air traffic control procedures, air navigation services, or aircraft maintenance and design.
- 2.1.2.3 Information from an operator's FDAP can assist in:
 - detect exceedances or other related events
 - routine data measurements
 - incident investigations
 - continued airworthiness investigations.

Note: For further information on FDAPs see [CAAP SMS-4](#)

- 2.1.2.4 Solid state recorders have been successfully used in an operator's FDAP. It is not recommended to use magnetic storage medium recorders for an operator's FDAP downloads as playback will affect serviceability. For further information on standards for solid state recorders see paragraph 2.2 of this AC.
- 2.1.2.5 Rather than using the FDR to directly access the stored data, quick access recorders (QARs) provide a way to easily obtain the data for an operator's FDAP. QAR technology has evolved with many different methods available. [FAA AC 20-168](#) is acceptable guidance material for the installation of QAR equipment.

- 2.1.2.6 Wireless QARs can transmit data using mobile phone or wifi technologies and security of sensitive flight data should be protected from general public access. [CAAP 232A-1](#) provides guidance material for security of data.
- 2.1.2.7 There is a selection of Original Equipment Manufacturer (OEM) specified and proprietary devices available to perform this FDAP functions. Equipment not specified by the OEM may be used if it can be proven that it will fulfil the requirements. The advantage of the proprietary devices is that they display the information in both digital format and engineering units (EU), which can assist in troubleshooting service difficulties.
- 2.1.2.8 Any alternate tooling or test equipment that is not specified by the aircraft manufacturer requires approval.

2.1.3 Types of recorders - Annex 6

Annex 6 to the Chicago Convention classifies FDRs aircraft by type depending upon the number of parameters and the duration required for the retention of the recorded information. (see Table 1):

Table 1: ICAO classification of FDRs

FDR Type	Use	Maximum Take-off Weight (MTOW)	Recording duration	Parameters categories	Number of parameters
Type I	Fixed wing	>27,000 kg	25 hours	flight path, speed, attitude, engine power, configuration and operation	First 32 parameters of Table A8-1 in Annex 6 Part I
Type IA	Fixed wing	5,700 kg	25 hours	flight path, speed, attitude, engine power, configuration and operation	First 78 parameters of Table A8-1 in Annex 6 Part I
Type II	Fixed wing	5,700 to 27,000 kg	25 hours	flight path, speed, attitude, engine power and configuration of lift and drag devices	First 16 parameters of Table A8-1 in Annex 6 Part I
Type IIA	Fixed wing	<5,700 kg	30 minutes	Same as Type II and retain information from preceding take-off for calibration	First 16 parameters of Table A8-1 in Annex 6 Part I
Type IV	Rotorcraft	>7,000 kg	10 hours	flight path, speed, attitude, engine power and operation	First 30 parameters of Table A4-1 in Annex 6 Part III
Type IVA	Rotorcraft	>3,175 kg	10 hours	flight path, speed, attitude, engine power, configuration and operation	First 48 parameters of Table A4-1 in Annex 6 Part III
Type V	Rotorcraft	3,175 to 7,000 kg	10 hours	flight path, speed, attitude, engine power	First 15 parameters of Table A4-1 in Annex 6 Part III

- 2.1.4 Table 2 illustrates the difference between the requirements in accordance with Paragraph 1.2b and 1.3 of CAO 103.19 to the International Standards in Annex 6 to the Chicago Convention. Parameters shaded in dark grey are minimum number of parameters required to comply with Paragraph 1.3 of CAO 103.19. Both dark grey and light grey shaded parameters are the minimum number of parameters required to comply with subparagraph 1.2 (b) of CAO 103.19. The use of further parameters beyond the minimum requirements of CAO 103.19 will capture more useful data for an operator's FDAP.
- 2.1.5 The adoption of the different types of FDRs, as detailed in Parts I and III of Annex 6 to the Chicago Convention, is undergoing review as part of the changes to the CASA Flight Operations regulations development.

2.2.2 TSO-C51a or later amendment is still acceptable in accordance with CAO.103.19 (see Note 1); however, this standard was cancelled by the FAA in 1995 due to less stringent fire protection requirements (see Note 2). There are no amendments to the obsolete TSO-C51a standard. The relevance of CAO 103.19 is undergoing review as part of the changes to the CASA Flight Operations regulations development.

2.2.3 The standards in Annex 6 to the Chicago Convention specify what recorder type is required depending on the weight and date of individual Certificate of Airworthiness (CoA) of the aircraft. See Table 3 for effectivity for fixed wing aircraft and for rotorcraft effectivity.

Note 1: CASA has issued an exemption against the standard detailed in CAO 103.19 to allow the use of alternate FDR standards eg (E)TSO-C124b and will continue to review this exemption as necessary.

Note 2: For further information see Federal Register / [Vol. 60, No. 74](#) / Tuesday, April 18, 1995 / Notices 19443

2.2.4 Magnetic tape is used to store data in TSO-C51a FDR's; the medium has limitations in recording time, number of recordable parameters and robustness. Solid state technology in newer standards of FDR's overcome these limitations. Annex 6 to the Chicago Convention requires magnetic tape FDR's to be discontinued by 1 January 2016.

Table 3: Annex 6 recorder requirements for fixed wing aircraft

MTOW	Date	FDR Recorder type
Over 5,700 kg (see Note 2)	CoA issued before 1/1/87	First 5 parameters of Table 2
Over 5,700 kg (see Note 2)	CoA issued between 1/1/87 to 1/1/89	First 5 parameters of Table 2
Over 27,000 kg (see Note 2)	CoA issued between 1/1/87 to 1/1/89	Type II
Over 27,000 kg	CoA issued on or after 1/1/89	Type I
5,700kg to 27,000 kg	CoA issued on or after 1/1/89	Type II
Over 5,700 kg	CoA issued after 1/1/05	Type IA
5,700 kg or less (see Note 2)	TC* on or after 1/1/16	Type II (see note 1)

***Type Certificate**

Note 1 or other lightweight recorder type acceptable as per paragraph 6.3.1.2 of Annex 6 Part 1 of Chicago Convention.

Note 2 turbine-engine fixed wing aircraft.

Table 4: Annex 6 requirements for rotorcraft

MTOW	Date	FDR Recorder type
Over 7,000 kg or more 19 passengers	CoA issued on or after 1/1/89	Type IV
Over 3,180 kg	CoA on or after 1/1/16	Type IVA
Between 2,250 kg to 3,180 kg	TC issued on or after 1/1/18	Type IVA (see Note)

Note: or other lightweight recorder type acceptable as per paragraph 4.3.1.2.4 of Annex 6, Part 3 of Chicago Convention.

2.3 Installation information

- 2.3.1 Chapter 2 of FAA [AC 20-141B](#) is acceptable guidance material for the installation of FDRs.
- 2.3.2 Book 2 of the EASA Certification Specifications contains the acceptable means of compliance (AMC) for installation of FDRs. The EASA AMCs detail placement of FDRs as far aft as practicable to minimise the probability of damage from crash impact and subsequent fire. AMC 25.1459 has additional requirements for detection of various FDR failures by the built-in test equipment (BITE).
- 2.3.3 There can be a number of different combinations of FDR systems within the same model that may record different parameters and utilise different transducers. Individual aircraft configurations may have corresponding differences in the data conversion algorithms.
- 2.3.4 Use of data conversion algorithms for the wrong aircraft configuration may provide data that is misleading or incorrect, limiting the effectiveness of any analysis. This is an important factor that should be considered when assessing the introduction into service of a new aircraft. Therefore, it is advisable for the operator to check with the Australian Transport Safety Bureau (ATSB) to determine if they have data conversion algorithms for their particular FDR system in their aircraft type.
- 2.3.5 To meet the requirements of Paragraph 6.4 of [CAO 20.18](#), a report from the manufacturer needs to detail:
- the part numbers of the FDR system
 - the conversion algorithms that convert the recorded data to EU
 - the original recorder or a copy of the recorded binary data for evaluation.
 - sufficient information to confirm that the FDR Run/Stop logic meets CAO 20.18 parameters.

2.4 FDR maintenance program

- 2.4.1 A maintenance program should be in place for each flight recorder system. This program should be reviewed on a regular basis, especially when:
- a new aircraft type is added to the fleet

- a change is made to flight recorder equipment
- both airborne and Ground Support Equipment (GSE)
- if an operator's FDAP is introduced or modified.

2.4.2 Adequate ICA would include administrative procedures for scheduling, accomplishing, and recording maintenance actions on the FDR system.

2.4.3 The ICAs should also specify inspection items, establish time-in-service intervals for maintenance, and provide the details of the proposed methods and procedures. For further information on Installation Calibration and Correlation tests see paragraph II-6.3 of EUROCAE/ED-112. An example of an assessment method is included in Appendix 1 and readout in EU is recommended.

2.4.4 Any checks of the FDR system also include verification of sensor calibration (where appropriate). Subparagraph 6.5 (c) of CAO 20.18 requires data from the last 2 occasions on which the FDR system was calibrated. This data may be requested by the ATSB.

2.4.5 Hierarchy of maintenance checks

2.4.5.1 Maintenance issues with recorders have been recognised by EUROCAE and the International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO). Preventative maintenance measures are detailed in:

- EUROCAE/ ED-112 (see Note below)
- Annex I-C and Part I Attachment D of Annex 6 to the Chicago Convention.

Table 5: Hierarchy of maintenance checks and intervals

FDR System Checks:	Description:	Recommended Maximum Interval:
Operational check	Flight recorder indications.	Daily (pre-flight)
Functional check	Download and analyse at least one complete flight. Check all mandatory parameters are serviceable.	12 months or 3,000 hours (whichever occurs first)
Sensor calibration	Check serviceability and calibration of the measuring and processing chain from sensors to recorder.	As determined by analysis of the system

Note: The working group that developed this document comprised of accident investigation authorities, aircraft manufacturers, regulatory authorities and recorder manufacturers.

2.4.5.2 A copy of the recording made in flight should be made at specified intervals. The copy should be converted EU and analysed to confirm correct operation of the recording system.

- 2.4.5.3 Inspection of the data may reveal defective or noisy sensors and indicate necessary maintenance actions. Credit can be given where the serviceability of flight recorder sensors is checked by inspection of the record produced by a maintenance provider.
- 2.4.5.4 A method should be used to verify the operation of the BITE for the FDR and optional Flight Data Acquisition Unit (FDAU) prior to the first flight of the day. This can be monitored by manual and/or automatic means. The BITE test may not necessarily evaluate the quality of the recorded data.
- 2.4.5.5 An annual inspection to evaluate the quality of the recorded data for the flight recorder system including the FDR, acquisition unit (if installed), data source sensors and any tools used to extract the data from the FDR. A conversion of the data from recorded values to engineering values may be required when downloading the data.
- 2.4.5.6 There is an obligation for altitude and airspeed sensors supplying data for the FDR system to meet the requirements in Appendix 1 of CAO 100.5 and the testing procedures for pitot-static system sensors are detailed in Attachment 1 to Appendix 1. All other sensors dedicated to the FDR system are required to meet either the approved system of maintenance (SoM) or maintenance program.

2.5 Minimum equipment list considerations

- 2.5.1 Where an organisation prepares its operator's minimum equipment list (MEL) for approval, the structure should ensure that the minimum of inconvenience is caused by having a non-mandatory parameter inoperative. Unserviceability periods for FDR systems are detailed in Paragraph 6.7 of CAO 20.18.
- 2.5.2 The MEL should also consider the operational environment of the aircraft and the availability of spares and staff to effect rectification. Proper assessment and evaluation may limit operational delays at a later time. The MEL should also consider the FDAU and QAR, as these items may affect the efficiency of data collection used in an operator's FDAP.

Note: Further guidance on the format for MELs is detailed in CAAP 37-1.

2.6 Documentation

- 2.6.1 There should be procedures for the retention of FDR correlation documents applicable to each individual aircraft. This includes any additional documents needed to enable accurate conversion of recorded values to their corresponding EU. The ATSB may require these documents, after an accident or a reportable occurrence.
- 2.6.2 It is important to review the FDR correlation and data conversion documentation for each individual aircraft, especially when there is update or modification of the FDR system.
- 2.6.3 Correlation measures the values recorded by the FDR and the corresponding values being measured. Paragraph 2.9 of CAO 103.19 requires correlation of FDR recorded airspeed, altitude and heading parameters to the pilot in command instruments. The installer and the operator should retain correlation data between actual ground and flight test data.

Table 6: Example of Parameter Calibration Record

PITCH ATTITUDE : PARAMETER NUMBER 013 : RATE 1Hz					
SELECT CAL. POINT	-10°↓	-10°↓	0°	+5°↑	+10°↑
CALCULATED VALUE	655-665	673-683	689-699	703-713	716-726
TEST SET READING					

2.6.4 Operators may retain the actual FDR data and corresponding data conversion algorithms used at the time the FDR data was collected in electronic format; however the operator should be able to print out the data or otherwise provide it in a readable format at the request of ATSB or CASA. It is acceptable to use a tabular computer printout(s) if there is no capability to download or retain the data in electronic format. Industry specification for documentation concerning flight recorder parameters may be found in ARINC) Specification 647A-1, or equivalent document.

2.6.5 Any processing time delays between the FDR acquisition system input and FDR recording output should be documented. (See Appendix B).

- bits per FDR word
- FDR words per subframe
- seconds per subframe
- parameter name
- subframe numbers (location of parameter)
- parameter word numbers
- bits (comprising word)
- superframe cycle counter name (if applicable)
- superframe cycle numbers (if applicable)
- signed value
- raw data range
- polynomial coefficients
- tabular data
- predefined equation
- conversion description
- units (e.g. degrees, radians, feet, knots, G)
- sign convention
- discrete interpretation.

3 Combination recorders

3.1 Background

3.1.1 A combination recorder (or combined recorder) is a unit where two or more individual recording functions are combined in a single unit. The major advantage of this is increasing the probability of recovering all information following an accident. Using combination recorders is a way to comply with CAO 20.18.

3.1.2 There are other additional advantages of using combined recorders, such as:

- commonality of parts
- reduction of test equipment
- less technical training.

3.2 Installation information

3.2.1 If combination recorders are installed, paragraph 6.3.4.5.2 of Part I to Annex 6 to the Chicago Convention recommends that one recorder is located as close to the cockpit as practicable and other located as far aft as practicable while still allowing reasonable access for maintenance.

3.2.2 Forward mounted recorders have the advantage of shorter wiring lengths between the cockpit and the recorder; therefore reducing the chance of wires being breached during inflight fire or breakup. Traditional aft mounted recorders maximize impact survivability.

3.2.3 A single combination recorder is inadequate to meet the requirements of subparagraph 6.1 (a) of CAO 20.18, as failure of a single combination unit may cause complete non-compliance.

3.2.4 When an aircraft is required to have both a CVR and an FDR, the following list meets compliance of Paragraph 6.1 of CAO 20.18:

- 2 combination recorders (CVR/FDR)
- 1 combination recorder (CVR/FDR) and 1 FDR
- 1 combination recorder (CVR/FDR) and 1 CVR.

3.2.5 It is recommended to connect each combination recorder to a separate and independent electrical power bus, if more than one electrical power source is available.

4 Recorder independent power supply

4.1 Background

- 4.1.1 A recorder independent power supply (RIPS) is a supplemental energy source that supplies power to the applicable aircraft recorder(s) for 10 minutes, whenever primary aircraft power is unavailable.
- 4.1.2 In 2008, Title [14 of the Code of Federal Regulations Part 23.1457](#) at amendment 23-58 introduced requirement for an independent power source for the CVR. RIPS is a way to meet this requirement.

4.2 Existing Standards

- 4.2.1 The latest RIPS standards based on Minimum Performance Standards (MPS) of EUROCAE/ED-112A are:
- TSO-C155a
 - ETSO-C155a.

4.3 Installation information

- 4.3.1 There is a requirement by subparagraph (d) (5) (ii) of [14 CFR 23.1457](#) to locate the independent power source as close as practicable to the CVR.

4.4 Instructions for continued airworthiness

- 4.4.1 The following ground test procedure, taken from paragraphs 5 - 6.3 of EUROCAE/ED-112, can be used to supplement the ICAs:
- apply aircraft power for at least 15 minutes
 - verify that the recorder is operating
 - remove aircraft power from the RIPS/recorder (Pull circuit breaker)
 - verify that the recorder(s) continue to operate for 10 ± 1 minutes
 - apply aircraft power
 - verify that the recorder(s) continues normal operation through aircraft switching transients such as ground to Auxiliary Power Unit (APU), APU to engine, ground to engine, engine to APU, APU to ground and engine to ground.

5 Lightweight flight recorders

5.1 Background

- 5.1.1 Many aircraft categories and types of operation fall outside the scope of current recorder carriage requirements as detailed in Paragraph 1.2 of CAO 103.19. In the absence of in-flight recording of the aircraft condition and operation, it can be very difficult to reconstruct the sequence of events that led to an accident or a serious incident. Moreover, this sequence of events is important for defining actions in order to prevent future occurrences. Many investigations of aircraft accidents and serious incidents are hindered by the absence of accurate data on what happened. Lightweight recorders have been useful in ATSB investigations see ATSB Transport Safety Report [AO-2014-083](#).
- 5.1.2 There have been safety recommendations addressed to EASA that recommend the introduction of in-flight recording for light aircraft. In July 2014, EASA established a rule making team regarding in-flight recording for light aircraft.

Note: For further information see [EASA terms of reference \(ToR\) RMT².0271](#) and [ToR RMT.0.272](#)

- 5.1.3 The use of lightweight recorders is a safety enhancement and can provide economic benefits for operators by using the data available. For further information see [CAAP SMS-4](#) and UK CAA [CAP 739](#).

5.2 Existing standards

- 5.2.1 Fitting a lightweight recorder in lieu of a traditional FDR gives more flexibility and scope for reducing the cost of installation.
- 5.2.2 The latest lightweight flight recording system standards based on MPS of EUROCAE/ED-155. See [Appendix 1 of AC 21-46](#) for the latest acceptable standards on lightweight flight recorders.
- 5.2.3 The TSOs and CS-ETSOs covers recording systems such as:
- cockpit audio recording
 - aircraft data recording
 - airborne image recording
 - data-link recording.

5.3 Installation information

5.3.1 Equipment installation

- 5.3.1.1 Various sections of chapter 2-5 of EUROCAE/ED-155 detail considerations to take into account when installing lightweight flight recorders, they are:
- aircraft environment - the recorder is required to function in all types of operating conditions

² Rule making task

- failure protection - failure of the recorder will not degrade airworthiness of the aircraft
- interference effects - electromagnetic compatibility
- effects of stray magnetic fields
- minimum insulation resistance
- inadvertent turnoff
- essential aircraft electrical power source.

5.3.2 Location of recorder

- 5.3.2.1 The ideal location for the recorder should minimise the probability of container rupture resulting from a crash and minimised from any subsequent damage from fire.

5.3.3 Mounting of recorder

- 5.3.3.1 The mounts for the recorder should take into account loads resulting from severe vibration or buffeting and also be able to withstand crash safety loads prescribed for the aircraft.

6 Cockpit voice recorders

6.1 Background

- 6.1.1 CVRs are fitted to aircraft as a significant aid to accident or incident investigation. The installation of a CVR is required to meet an operational requirement; however, the maintenance the required equipment is an airworthiness responsibility.
- 6.1.2 Amendments of Annex 6 to the Chicago Convention recommend the discontinuation of magnetic tape, magnetic wire and frequency modulation, as these technologies are deemed unreliable. In many investigations, flight recorders using these technologies were found unserviceable by safety investigation authorities. For further information see [EASA RMT.0400-RMT.0401](#).

6.2 Existing standards

- 6.2.1 Annex 6 to the Chicago Convention specifies EUROCAE/ED-112 flight recorders. See [Appendix 1 of AC 21-46](#) for the latest acceptable flight recorder.
- 6.2.2 TSO-C84 or a later amendment is still acceptable in accordance with CAO.103.20 (see Note 1); however this standard was cancelled by the FAA in 1995 due to less stringent fire protection requirements (see Note 2). There are no amendments to the obsolete TSO-C84. The relevance of CAO 103.20 and CAO 20.18 is undergoing review as part of the changes to the CASA Flight Operations regulations development.
- Note 1:** CASA has issued an exemption against the standard detailed in CAO 103.20 to allow the use of alternate CVR standards eg (E)TSO-C123c and will continue to review this exemption as necessary.
- Note 2:** For further information see Federal Register / [Vol. 60, No. 74](#) / Tuesday, April 18, 1995 / Notices 19443
- 6.2.3 The standard in Annex 6 to the Chicago Convention recommends CVRs to retain the last 30 minutes of their operation. However, after 2003, requirements came into effect for 2 hour recordings. See Table 7 for effective dates based on the individual certificate of airworthiness date.

Table 7: CVR Annex 6 requirements based on Certificate of Airworthiness issue date

	prior to 1/1/87	on or after 1/1/87	on or after 1/1/03	on or after 1/1/16
Rotorcraft over 7,000 kg	CVR (see Note 2)	CVR (see Note 2)	CVR (see Note 2)	>70,00 kg 2hr CVR
Fixed wing	>27,000 kg CVR	>5,700 kg CVR	>5,700 kg 2hr CVR	2,250 to 5,700 kg CVR (see note 1)

Note 1: Required to be operated by more than one pilot. Can be CVR or other recorder type specified in paragraph 6.3.2.1.1 of Annex 6 Part I of Chicago Convention.

Note 2: For rotorcraft not equipped with an FDR, there is a requirement in paragraph 4.3.2.1.3 of Part III of Annex 6 to the Chicago Convention to record main rotor speed.

6.3 Recommended functional test

6.3.1 To assess the serviceability of the CVR system the following checks and functional tests are recommended:

- a. Confirm the proper recording on each voice channel of all the required audio inputs - see items (i) to (viii) below. For each channel ensure that the quality of reproduction has not deteriorated below an optimal audible level.

Note: For solid state devices this may require the removal of the CVR from the aircraft and a bench check carried out to verify the integrity of the reproduction.

- i. all voice communications transmitted from or received by the aircraft communications equipment
 - ii. all conversation on the flight deck
 - iii. voice communications of flight crew-members on the flight deck, using the aircraft's interphone system
 - iv. voice or audio signals identifying navigation aids introduced into the aircraft audio system
 - v. audio signals from alerting or warning devices on the flight deck, both fully integrated with the aircraft audio system and non-integrated
 - vi. general flight deck sounds, monitor the cockpit area microphone (CAM) to ensure that it satisfactorily picks up all cockpit sounds
 - vii. voice communications of flight crew-members using the passenger address system
 - viii. ensure that the 'Hot Mic or live boom microphone' facility is operational for each boom microphone station that the aircraft is equipped with.³
- b. In installations incorporating crash sensors ('G' switches) in the cockpit voice recorder power feed, check the operation of these switches in accordance with the manufacturer's procedures.

Note: This may necessitate removal from the aircraft and checking in a workshop.

- c. Confirm the proper functioning of the bulk erase inhibit logic.
- d. Confirm the correct operation of the CVR failure annunciator where fitted.
- e. Confirm that the self-test indicator functions correctly. The aircraft flight manual will provide details if the Aircraft Maintenance Manual or STC does not specify the correct indications.
- f. To determine what warnings are integrated with the audio system refers to the aircraft maintenance/wiring manual. The assistance of other trades may be required to generate those warnings.

³ For Hot Mic terminology, refer to ED-112 and Annex 6 to the Chicago Convention.

6.4 Operators maintenance program

6.4.1 A maintenance program should be in place for the CVR. This program should be reviewed on a regular basis, especially when:

- a new aircraft type is added to the fleet
- a change is made to flight recorder equipment
- an operator's FDAP is introduced or modified (if applicable).

6.4.2 Hierarchy of maintenance checks

6.4.2.1 Maintenance issues with recorders have been recognised by EUROCAE and ICAO and preventative maintenance measures are detailed in EUROCAE/ ED-112 (Annex I-C) and Part I Attachment D of Annex 6 to the Chicago Convention (see Note below). As described in EUROCAE/ED-112, to ensure the correct functioning of a recording system, a hierarchy of system checks and their recommended maximum interval is detailed in Table 8.:

Table 8: Hierarchy of maintenance checks & intervals

Operational check	Crew check using test function.	Daily (pre-flight)
Functional check	LAME confirms proper recording on each channel using control panel microphone jack with the aircraft on the ground.	6 months
Complete system check	CVR is removed from the aircraft, downloaded and the audio recorded during a flight is analysed and the quality assessed.	12 months

Note: The working group which developed this document comprised accident investigation authorities, aircraft manufacturers, regulatory authorities and recorder manufacturers.

6.4.3 Any maintenance should follow the aircraft manufacturer's recommendations; however, CASA [AD/REC/1 Amdt 1](#) requires a 12 monthly or 2,000 hour (whichever comes first) functional check.

6.4.4 Where the aircraft manufacturer's program is deficient or specifies the use of the component manufacturer's repair and overhaul limits, the following tasks must be considered:

- overhaul (when required)
- retirement of heat absorption material.

6.5 Effects of modifications

6.5.1 Some aircraft with CVR have been modified by the installation of systems such as terrain awareness warning system (TAWS) and airborne collision avoidance system (ACAS), which introduce an audible warning integrated with the existing aircraft audio

system. When these modifications are made, suitable information about the introduced audible warning should be added to the maintenance instructions for the aircraft audio system. This information enables the audible warnings to be checked to ensure correct recording on the CVR. Operators of such aircraft should ensure that suitable maintenance information is available for the audible warning introduced by the modification.

6.6 First of type/model CVR installation

- 6.6.1 As the ATSB has to be able to analyse the recorded data on the CVR in the event of an incident or accident, a first of type/first of model installation must be validated as reliable and the recording maintained to allow identification of various sounds in the cockpit. For this reason the installation is test flown and the CVR sent to ATSB for analysis.
- 6.6.2 The flight check requirements are included [in Appendix 1 of CAO 103.20](#); however, they are reproduced in 7.4.1 Appendix A of this AC for convenience.

7 Underwater locating device

7.1 Background

- 7.1.1 As a result of accidents involving submerged aircraft and the failure of ULD, the United States Of America National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB) issued a safety recommendation that requires periodic testing of the beacon and batteries to ensure their proper operation. Australia adopted this requirement as part of AD/REC/1.
- 7.1.2 Among other factors, the NTSB concluded that these acoustic ULDs were not tested in accordance with manufacturer's recommended procedures.
- 7.1.3 In one accident, the battery had been replaced but still failed to operate when submerged underwater at the time of the accident. According to the maintenance records, it was discovered that the operator had no program to routinely test in-service acoustic ULD, nor did the operator perform any functional or off-current tests when the batteries were replaced consistent with the manufacturers' requirements. Post-accident testing revealed that the batteries discharged due to inadvertent activation induced by metal filings around their water switch posts.

7.2 Existing standards

7.2.1 37.5 kHz operating frequency ULD

- 7.2.1.1 These devices are installed on flight recording equipment. The latest ULD standards based on MPS of SAE 8045a are:
- TSO-C121b
 - ETSO-C121b
- 7.2.1.2 Version b of the TSO standard extended the life of the ULD to 90 days, following of catastrophic accident of Air France flight 447 in 2009.
- 7.2.1.3 A note in paragraph 2.5 of CAO 103.19 references FAA AC 21-10 as an acceptable performance standard for ULDs; however current acceptable guidance is contained in FAA [AC 20-168](#).

7.2.2 8.8 kHz operating frequency ULD

- 7.2.2.1 The airframe low frequency ULD is intended to be mounted directly to the aircraft airframe as a supplement to the existing ULDs, which are attached to the crash protected recorders.
- 7.2.2.2 The latest ULD standards based on minimum performance standards of SAE AS 6254 are:
- TSO-C200
 - ETSO-C200.
- 7.2.2.3 Amendment 36 in 2012 to Annex 6 to the Chicago Convention, states that a low frequency ULD shall be installed on all aircraft with a maximum take-off mass of over

27,000 kg, operating over water at particular distances to land suitable for making an emergency landing. The installation of low frequency ULDs is undergoing review as part of the changes to the CASA Flight Operations regulations development.

7.3 Maintenance program

7.3.1 An aircraft maintenance program is required to ensure that procedures for testing the ULD, conducted concurrently with battery replacement, provide for functionally testing the ULDs prior to replacing the old battery. This ensures that the ULD is still operating properly.

7.3.2 The maintenance program should address the periodic maintenance of the ULD, such as the:

- periodic checking of the device operation in accordance with the manufacturers requirements
- life limits on the battery of the ULD
- cleaning of the switch contacts.

7.3.3 CASA recommends (an interval of):

- 90 days for an operational test and switch clean
- battery replacement within the life limits of the battery.

Note: Some ULD do not have a replaceable battery. These units should be retired from service at the expiry of the battery life limit.

7.4 Installation information

7.4.1 When installing the ULD on the flight recorder ensure that the switch contacts are located in a manner that is not likely to encourage the build-up of debris that will cause the contacts to short inadvertently. Either have the contacts vertical or facing down.

Executive Manager
Standards Division

April 2015

Appendix A

Cockpit voice recorder flight test

A.1 Introduction

- A.1.1 First of type aircraft/recorder combinations must be flight tested and the recording, obtained during that flight, must be analysed. The test and analysis must demonstrate adequate recording quality during all normal regimes of flight including taxiing, take-off, cruise, approach and landing. For helicopters, hover and auto-rotation should be included.
- A.1.2 Since the duration of the recording is limited, the CVR circuit breaker should be tripped between each test phase and at the end of the landing run.
- A.1.3 If time permits, systems that generate sounds on the flight deck, and might not otherwise be used during the test flight, should be operated with appropriate announcements.
- A.1.4 This Appendix provides guidance for flight testing both aircraft and helicopters. It may need to be adapted to suit the particular installation being tested.
- A.1.5 The replay and analysis must be performed by the Technical Analysis section of the ATSB. The Bureau will ensure the privacy of the recordings.
- A.1.6 Recordings offered for analysis may be released to the operator's engineering organisation, the ATSB and CASA. The agreement of the flight crew concerned is assumed unless instructions, in writing, are given by the flight crew stating any restrictions to be applied.

A.2 Procedure

- A.2.1 **IMPORTANT:** To enable proper analysis of the recording, it is essential that adequate commentary on the flight is provided, e.g. crew actions altitudes and speed. Each test should be clearly announced and the crew member identified, e.g. "Co-pilot testing - oxygen mask microphone with interphone off".
- a. Prior to Engine Start
 - i. Check that the CVR is operating.
 - ii. Press the ERASE button.
 - iii. Press the CVR TEST button.
 - iv. Select BOOM microphone and interphone 'ON' at all positions.
 - v. Call out aircraft type, registration, date, time and crew complement.
 - b. Engine Start
 - i. (Helicopters only) During rotor spin-up, call out RPM at 50%, 80% and 100%.
 - ii. Make a test announcement from each crew member position in turn using the boom microphones with interphone selected 'ON' followed by a second announcement with the interphone 'OFF' (to evaluate the 'hot' microphone):
 - A. LEFT HAND SEAT POSITION
 - INTERPHONE ON
 - "this is the Captain's Position with boom microphone interphone on"
 - INTERPHONE OFF
 - "this is the Captain's Position with boom microphone interphone off"

B. RIGHT HAND SEAT POSITION

— INTERPHONE ON

“this is the First Officer’s Position with boom microphone interphone on”

— INTERPHONE OFF

“this is the First Officer’s Position with boom microphone interphone off”

C. ENGINEER/THIRD CREW POSITION

— INTERPHONE ON

“this is the Engineer’s Position/third crew Position with boom microphone interphone on”

— INTERPHONE OFF

“this is the Engineer’s Position/third crew Position with boom microphone interphone off”

- iii. Repeat steps A2.1 (b) (ii) using the oxygen mask microphone.
 - iv. (Aeroplanes only) Announce and test the stall warning stick shaker.
 - v. (Helicopters only) Close the flight deck windows.
- c. Take-off
- i. With headsets worn and boom microphones available for use, record a normal take-off and initial climb.
 - ii. Announce landing gear and flap selections and other actions.
- d. Cruise
- i. With interphone OFF, announce and activate aural warnings.
 - ii. (Aeroplanes only) Accelerate to, and announce VMO. Continue until the overspeed warning sounds. Reduce speed as required.
 - iii. Perform a test transmission from each pilot’s station using VHF and boom microphones.
 - iv. Perform a test transmission from each pilot’s station using VHF, a hand-held microphone and the flight deck loudspeakers (for response from ground station).
 - v. Perform a test transmission from each pilot’s station using HF (if fitted) and boom microphones.
 - vi. Perform a test transmission using the Marine radio if fitted.
 - vii. Perform test broadcasts from the flight deck and the cabin using the passenger address system.
 - viii. (Helicopters only) Call out rotor RPM.
 - ix. Announce and open the flight deck cabin door. Announce and close the door after 30 seconds.
 - x. Where permitted by the AFM and in cruise, announce and open the flight deck windows. Announce and close the windows after 30 seconds.
 - xi. Select and identify navigation aids on each navigation set (this may be carried out at any stage of the flight).
- e. Helicopter Auto-Rotation and Hover
- i. At a safe altitude, perform an auto-rotation descent with power recovery.
 - ii. Announce and hover for approximately one minute.

- f. Landing
 - i. Record final approach and landing including ILS and Marker audio identification. Announce landing gear and flap selection and other actions.
 - ii. At end of landing run call out the time.

Note: check recording limitations
 - iii. Select BOOM microphone and interphone 'ON' at all positions and announce 'End of Test'.
- g. DO NOT ERASE
- h. PULL CVR CIRCUIT BREAKER.

A.3 Replay and analysis

A.3.1 The CVR should be sent to:

Attention: Team Leader Technical Analysis
ATSB
GPO Box 976
Civic Square ACT 2608
CANBERRA ACT 2601
Phone 1800 020 616 or 6257 4150 Fax 02 62743117.

- A.3.2 A copy of the test schedule used during the flight should accompany the information recorded from the CVR. In all cases, the manufacturer and model of the CVR and the position of the area microphone in the particular aircraft should be stated in the documentation supplied with the CVR recording.
- A.3.3 ATSB will establish if recordings of adequate quality have been made on all channels for the test conditions stated in 2.
- A.3.4 ATSB will furnish a report to the operator, together with a copy to CASA. The report will identify the aircraft and test flight concerned and will confirm that all input channels were identified for the various test conditions. Details of any other observations made from the recording will be included.

Appendix B

Typical flight data recorder system check procedures

B.1 General

- B.1.1 The operator should accomplish a reasonableness and quality check of the recorded flight data to ascertain that the data is being recorded correctly, and that noise and data dropouts do not interfere with the ability to interpret the recorded data. The check may be performed using data that is in electronic format or using hard copy data. If a hard copy printout is used, data traces should also be available.
- B.1.2 The check should be performed using data that has been extracted in engineering units. Octal, binary coded decimal, or hexadecimal coded data does not provide the analyst a clear understanding of how the parameters are varying and how they are correlated to each other. Particular attention should be paid to using the correct data conversion algorithm appropriate to the aircraft recording system configuration.
- B.1.3 It should be noted that the actual parameter fitment to an aircraft may be much more comprehensive than required under the regulations and the structure of the check should include a check of all parameters recorded.

B.2 Procedure/report

- B.2.1 The analyst should use a checklist to ensure that all necessary checks have been accomplished. The checklist should ensure the analyst accurately documents inconsistencies in the data so that appropriate troubleshooting/repair procedures be instigated.
- B.2.2 The output of the reasonableness and quality process is a report that documents the status of the aircraft recording system as a result of an Operational or Functional Check.

B.3 Data analysis/flight segment selection

B.3.1 Parameter check

- B.3.1.1 Failed Parameters: The analyst should examine the extracted data to determine if parameters that normally vary in flight do so within expected ranges, e.g. flight controls, flight control surface positions, and heading, are indeed varying. Pegged or unmoving parameter values are indications of an inoperative sensor or other failure. Accelerometers tend to fail in the 'pegged' position. If the accelerometer trace is unmoving throughout all segments of flight, check to see if it indicates maximum or minimum acceleration. An accelerometer failure indicating a mid-point value is uncommon.
- B.3.1.2 Correlation to Other Parameters: The reasonableness check should include a check of the correlation between parameters that depend upon each other.

For example:

If ROLL increases, a turn is indicated and HEADING should begin to change soon after the increase is detected. Also, AILERON POSITION and CONTROL WHEEL POSITION should have changed before the ROLL increase. One may even note a variation in LATERAL ACCELERATION

B.3.1.3 The data to be used by the analyst should be extracted from take-off, cruise and landing phases of flight. The take-off and landing segments of flight provide the analyst an opportunity to observe data that is changing as the aircraft climbs, descends, accelerates, decelerates, and banks or turns. During the cruise segment of a flight most parameters should remain reasonably steady. A lack of stability may reveal a fault in the recording system.

B.3.1.4 Table 9 and

B.3.1.5 Table **10** of this Appendix are samples provided as an aid in preparing a reasonableness checklist. It summarises the mandatory parameters recorded in a 6-parameter digital flight data recorder system and 20-parameter digital flight data recorder system respectively. A check mark (✓) in a block indicates that the parameter identified in the row and the parameter identified in the column are interdependent at some time during take-off and climb or approach and landing. Therefore, a change in value of one parameter may cause or be caused by a change in the value of the other.

B.3.1.6 The following examples show how the tables may be used in developing a reasonableness checklist for each parameter. Actual operation of the recorded parameters may vary depending on the sensors installed and the aircraft systems that are monitored.

B.3.2 A typical thrust reverser position reasonableness and quality check

In

B.3.2.1 Table **10** the column labelled Thrust Reverser Position contains check marks in the rows labelled airspeed, engine thrust, longitudinal acceleration and air/ground sensing. In preparing the checklist, the operator would normally expect the thrust reverser to deploy during rollout after landing. Therefore, the following checklist might be developed using the parameters identified by a check mark:

- Examine the thrust reverser in-transit and the thrust reverser deployed data to determine that they indicate in-transit only for a short period during the landing roll and deployed at the end of the in-transit period. Following touchdown, as indicated by a change in the air/ground sensing discrete, the data should indicate a change in the in-transit discrete followed by a change in the deployed/stowed discrete.
- Examine the engine thrust data during the in-transit period and immediately after the deployed indication. During the in-transit period, engine thrust should have decreased to ground idle and immediately after the deployed indication, the engine thrust should remain at ground idle or increase.
- Examine the airspeed and longitudinal acceleration data. These two parameters should be decreasing during the in-transit period and should dramatically decrease immediately after the deployed indication as reverse thrust comes into effect.
- Examine the engine thrust, thrust reverser deployed and thrust reverser in-transit data to determine cancellation of reverse thrust. The engine thrust should remain at ground idle or decrease to ground idle, the thrust reverser deployed/stowed and the thrust reverser in transit discretely change state. Check that the discrete parameters examined return to the values prior to landing.
- Examine the remaining data for the thrust reverser discrete to ascertain that no in-transit or deployed indications appear. If intermittent indications appear, determine that they are within allowed values and do not have sufficient duration to be interpreted as an actual deployment and that they would not obscure an actual deployment.

B.3.3 Typical Lateral Control Surface Position Reasonableness and Quality Check

In

B.3.3.1 Table **10** the column labelled lateral control surface position contains check marks in the rows labelled heading, roll attitude and lateral control position. The lateral control surfaces are typically ailerons that are used in establishing the aircraft in a turn and returning the aircraft to straight flight from a turn. The lateral control surface position data may be checked along with the lateral control position data. These checks may be accomplished during the approach and landing segment.

- Examine the lateral control surface position trace for deviations during the initial approach segment. A large sustained deviation would normally indicate the aircraft turning onto final approach heading. Check that the lateral control position and roll attitude make a large change at the same time.
- Check to determine that heading begins to change immediately after the lateral control surface position begins to change. Heading should continue to change after the lateral control surface position returns to the zero or null value. The heading data should begin to change at a lower rate when the lateral control surface position data moves in the opposite direction and after the lateral control position is again returned to zero or null the heading data should again be constant.

B.3.3.2 Check the lateral control surface position data to determine that there are no data dropouts and that there is no noise in the data. If dropouts or noise are detected, determine that they are within allowable values and that they would not be interpreted as an actual control surface position movement.

Table 9: 6-parameter correlation

Number	Parameter	Time	Pressure Altitude	Indicated Airspeed	Heading	Vertical acceleration	Press to transmit
1	Time						
2	Pressure Altitude			✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Indicated Airspeed		✓				
4	Heading		✓				
5	Vertical acceleration		✓				
6	Press to transmit		✓				

Note: The parameters are numbered as per Appendix 1 of CAO 103.19

Table 10: 20-parameter correlation

	Time	Altitude	Airspeed	Heading	Vertical Acceleration	Pitch Attitude	Roll Attitude	Press to transmit for each transceiver	Thrust of each engine	Longitudinal Acceleration	Pitch Control Position	Lateral Control Position	Yaw Control Position	Pitch Control Surface Position	Lateral Control Surface Position	Yaw Control Surface Position	Lateral Acceleration	Pitch trim surface position	Trailing edge Flaps Slats	Leading edge Flaps Slats	Thrust Reverse position	Undercarriage squat or tilt switch	Angle of attack
1 Time	█																						
2 Altitude		█	✓	✓	✓			✓	✓				✓			✓			✓	✓		✓	
3 Airspeed		✓	█															✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
5 Heading		✓		█								✓			✓								
4 Vertical Acceleration		✓			█	✓				✓	✓			✓	✓		✓					✓	
7 Pitch Attitude					✓	█					✓			✓				✓					✓
8 Roll Attitude				✓		█	█								✓		✓						
6 Press to transmit for each transceiver		✓					█	█															
9 Thrust of each engine		✓						█	█													✓	✓
11 Longitudinal Acceleration					✓				█	█							✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
18 Pitch Control Position					✓	█					█			✓				✓					✓
19 Roll Control Position				✓			█					█			✓								
20 Yaw Control Position		✓										█				✓							
18 Pitch Control Position					✓	█					✓			█				✓					✓
19 Roll Control Position				✓			█					█			█								
20 Yaw Control Position		✓											█			█							
16 Lateral Acceleration					✓		✓			✓							█	█					
17 Pitch Trim			✓			✓				✓				✓				█	█				
10 Trailing edge Flaps		✓	✓							✓									█	█			
14 Leading edge Devices stowed/deployed		✓	✓							✓									✓	█			
13 Thrust Reverser stowed/deployed (each engine)			✓						✓	✓											█		
12 Undercarriage squat or tilt switch		✓	✓		✓				✓	✓											✓	█	
15 Angle of attack						✓					✓			✓								█	█

Note: The parameters are numbered as per Appendix 1 of CAO 103.19