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Information about rulings

Aviation rulings are advisory documents setting out CASA’s policy on a particular issue. CASA makes rulings available to CASA officers and the public to ensure that there is a consistent policy adopted in administering particular aspects of the air safety regulatory regime.

Rulings are intended to apply to a range of factual situations and are necessarily general in nature.

CASA will proceed on the basis that a person who relies on a ruling is complying with the law, as long as that person:

i) Exercises due care in acting in reliance on the ruling – ie a person who carelessly misreads the test of a ruling will not be entitled to rely on that misreading;
   Relies on the ruling in good faith – ie CASA will not allow a person to frustrate the intent of the ruling by adopting an extreme or contrived interpretation of the words of the ruling which results in consequences that were clearly unintended by CASA at the time the ruling was issued;

ii) Only relies on the clear statements of fact and policy in the ruling – ie the ruling is completely self-contained and does not permit any additional interpretation of the relevant law, or application of the policy to different fact situations.

A user of aviation rulings should also be aware that a ruling is only a statement of CASA’s policy. It is not a restatement of the law. Accordingly, while rulings are drafted to be consistent with the law referred to in the ruling as understood by CASA from time to time, they cannot displace any inconsistent legal requirements. You should notify CASA’s General Counsel if you believe that compliance with this ruling would lead to a breach of a legal requirement or if you believe that a ruling is based on an erroneous factual assumption.

Members of the public can contact CASA via its national number 131 757.
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Issue

1 From time to time, issues arise about the use of Franchise AOC arrangements under which an AOC holder allows another person (who does not hold an AOC) to use the privileges of that AOC. This ruling states CASA’s position on the use of Franchised AOCs.

Background

2 The Act creates a regime for the issue and regulation of AOCs. Section 28 of the Act authorises CASA to issue AOCs to natural or legal persons.

3 A Franchise AOC arrangement may arise where an AOC holder (A) and another person (B) enter into an arrangement under which B uses A’s AOC to conduct commercial operations and does not hold a separate AOC. Franchised AOCs may reflect one or more of the following characteristics:

3.1 B advertises to the public in its name (not A’s name) that it will conduct commercial aviation operations. The advertisements may refer to an association with A;

3.2 B hires the staff engaged in carrying out the operations, organises maintenance and controls all financial dealings including contracts for the flying activities covered by the Franchised AOC;

3.3 B’s operations are not supervised by A’s Chief Pilot;

3.4 usually B would pay a franchise fee to A, although a Franchise AOC arrangement may not involve payment of a fee;

3.5 B’s operating systems are not integrated into company A’s approved systems and have not been reviewed by CASA for the purpose of the issue or regulation of the Franchised AOC.

4 Persons using company B’s services, including passengers, are unlikely to be aware that CASA does not regulate B or its operating systems.

Ruling

5 It is CASA’s view that the scheme of the Act and CARs intend that the activities authorised by an AOC are carried out ‘within the organisation of the AOC holder.

6 Among other requirements in the Act, CASA requires that to comply with the Act all AOC operations must be conducted:

6.1 in accordance with the systems of an AOC holder;
6.2 under the oversight and management of the key personnel of that AOC holder; and

6.3 using the facilities and documentation of that AOC holder.

7 The AOC holder at all times remains responsible for the actions of another person conducting operations under the AOC.

8 It is possible that operations to be conducted by B under a Franchised AOC will not be carried out within A’s organisation and, accordingly, will not be authorised by A’s AOC (ie, the AOC that has been franchised to B). Further, unless those operations are authorised under another AOC, the operation may not be authorised by any AOC.

9 It is therefore CASA's view that a Franchise AOC arrangement could result in the serious consequences that a person in the position of B breaches s.27(1) of the CAA by conducting operations for CAR 206 purposes without an AOC (see section 27(9) of the Act); and

10 Additionally, if A, as an AOC holder, enters into a Franchise AOC arrangement that does not comply with the requirements of this ruling, CASA will view such action on the part of A to be highly relevant as to whether A complies with its obligations under section 28BE of the Act to ensure that every activity covered by the AOC, and everything done in connection with such an activity, is done with a reasonable degree of care and diligence.

Definitions

11 In this ruling:

"A" means a hypothetical natural or legal person who holds an AOC and enters into an arm's length contractual arrangement with B, by which A intends to allow B to use the privileges of A's AOC, resulting in the creation of a Franchised AOC;

"Act" means the Civil Aviation Act 1988;

"AOC" means an air operator's certificate issued under section 27 of the Act;

"B" means a hypothetical natural or legal person who enters into an arm's length contractual arrangement with A, by which B intends to exercise the privileges of A's AOC, resulting in the creation of a Franchised AOC;

"CAR" means the Civil Aviation Regulations 1988;

"Franchised AOC" is an AOC where some or all of the operations authorised by the AOC are conducted by a legal entity (eg "B") other than the AOC holder (eg "A"), in accordance with an arm's length contractual arrangement between A and B. A Franchised AOC arrangement may, but does not necessarily, include any or all of the circumstances described in paragraph 3.
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