Australian Government
Civil Aviation Safety Authority

TECHNICAL ASSESSOR HANDBOOK

CASR Subpart 175.B Aeronautical Information Service Provider

March 2024

Acknowledgement of Country

The Civil Aviation Safety Authority (CASA) respectfully acknowledges the Traditional Custodians of the lands on which our offices are located and their continuing connection to land, water and community, and pays respect to Elders past, present and emerging.

Inside front cover artwork: James Baban.

This document becomes an uncontrolled document when printed. Refer to the CASA website for the current version.

This document contains guidance material intended to assist CASA officers, delegates and the aviation industry in understanding the operation of the aviation legislation. However, you should not rely on this document as a legal reference. Refer to the civil aviation legislation including the Civil Aviation Act 1988 (Cth), its related regulations and any other legislative instruments—to ascertain the requirements of, and the obligations imposed by or under, the law.

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024   Page **2** of **74**

OFFICIAL

Contents

References

Acronyms

The acronyms and abbreviations used in this manual are listed in the table below.

Table 1.   Acronyms

Acronym/ abbreviation	Description
AA	Airservices Australia
AFS	Aeronautical fixed service
AIC	Aeronautical Information Circulars (part of the AIP)
AIM	Aeronautical Information Management
AIP	Aeronautical Information Publication
AIRAC	Aeronautical Information Regulation And Control
AIS	Aeronautical Information Service
AIXM	Aeronautical Information Exchange Model
AOC	Aerodrome Obstacle Chart
ARFFS	Aerodrome Rescue and Fire Fighting Service
ARN	Aviation Reference Number
ATM	Air Traffic Management
BoM	Bureau of Meteorology
DAH	Designated Airspace Handbook (part of the AIP)
DAP	Departure and Approach Procedures (part of the AIP)
DPS	Data Product Specification
ERC	En Route Chart
ERP	Emergency Response Plan
ERSA	En Route Supplement Australia (part of the AIP)
EUROCAE	European Organisation for Civil Aviation Equipment
FIR	Flight Information Region
HF	Human Factors
IAIP	Integrated Aeronautical Information Package
ICAO	International Civil Aviation Organization
ISO	International Organization for Standardization
NAIPS	National Aeronautical Information Processing System
PIB	Pre-flight Information Bulletins

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024   Page **5** of **74**

OFFICIAL

Acronym/ abbreviation	Description
QMS	Quality Management System
RNAV	Area Navigation
RNP	Required Navigation Performance
RTCA	Radio Technical Commission for Aeronautics
SAG	Safety Action Group
SLA	Service Level Agreements
SMART	Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Realistic and Timely
SMS	Safety Management System
SPFIB	Specific Pre-flight Information Bulletin
SRB	Safety Review Board
TAC	Terminal Area Chart (part of the AIP)
TMI	Temporary Management Instruction
TNA	Training Needs Analysis
VNC	Visual Navigation Chart (part of the AIP)
VTC	Visual Terminal Chart (part of the AIP)
WAC	World Aeronautical Chart (part of the AIP)

Definitions

Terms that have specific meaning within this manual are defined in the table below.

Table 2.   Definitions

Term	Definition
Aeronautical information data chain	A series of interrelated links where each link provides a function that facilitates the origination, transmission and use of aeronautical data for a specific purpose. These functions are carried out specifically by aeronautical information service providers and data service providers, but also by data originators.
Entry control	Regulatory consideration of an applicant's suitability to be granted a civil aviation authorisation by CASA.
Handbook	Means this CASR Part 175 Technical Assessor Handbook.
NOTAM	A notice distributed by means of telecommunication containing information concerning the establishment, condition or change in any aeronautical facility, service, procedure or hazard, the timely knowledge of which is essential to personnel concerned with flight operations.
Technical assessor	A CASA inspector or CASA authorised person who is suitably qualified, trained and experienced (competent) in undertaking a technical assessment of an application for the grant of a civil aviation authorisation by CASA.
Technical assessment	An assessment against regulatory requirements to determine compliance.

Term	Definition
Temporality	Means that data exists within a database with a start time and an end time (which may be indefinite).
Worksheet	Means the CASR Part 175 Technical Assessor Worksheet referenced in Appendix 1 to this handbook.

Reference material

The reference material used in this manual are listed in the table below.

Table 3.   Reference material

Document type	Title
Regulatory and Technical	*Civil Aviation Act 1988* (the Act)
	Air Services Act 1995
	Airspace Act 2007
	Part 175 of the *Civil Aviation Safety Regulations 1998* (CASR)
	Part 175 *Manual of Standards* (MOS) The power to create a MOS is included in Part 175. However, the requirement for a MOS has not been identified at this stage.
International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) documents	Annex 3 to the Chicago Convention: Meteorological Service for International Air Navigation
	Annex 4 to the Chicago Convention: Aeronautical Charts
	Annex 11 to the Chicago Convention: Air Traffic Services
	Annex 14 to the Chicago Convention: Aerodromes
	Annex 15 to the Chicago Convention: Aeronautical Information Services
	Annex 19 to the Chicago Convention: Safety Management
	Document 8126: Aeronautical Information Services Manual
	Document 8697: Aeronautical Chart Manual
	Document 9859: Safety Management System Manual
	Document 10066 Procedures for Air Navigation Services: PANS-AIM
	Other AIS applicable ICAO documents as defined in the Part 1 Dictionary of CASR.
ISO 9001	Quality Management
Data Processing Standards	Standards for processing aeronautical data: European Organisation for Civil Aviation Equipment (EUROCAE) ED-76A
	Standards for processing aeronautical data: Radio Technical Commission for Aeronautics (RTCA) / DO-200B.
Form	Form 175-AIS – Aeronautical Information Service Provider Application Form

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024   Page **7** of **74**

OFFICIAL

Revision history

Revisions to this manual are recorded below in order of most recent first.

Table 4. **Revision history**

Version number	Date	Parts / sections	Details
2.0	March 2024	All	Reviewed technical content and migrated content to new template.
1.1	October 2022	All	Administrative review only
1.0	July 2015	All	Initial issue

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024
Page **8** of **74**

OFFICIAL

Introduction

Regulatory decision-making

Where the legislation provides for one, and only one decision—the 'correct' decision—is the only decision open to CASA. However, most of the decisions CASA makes involve the exercise of discretion. In such cases, there may well be more than one acceptable or correct decision. In these cases, the law requires that CASA makes the 'preferable' decision, that is, the most appropriate decision, having regard to the overriding interests of safety and the obligation to be fair.

In all such cases, CASA is bound to act in accordance with the applicable rules of administrative law. These rules govern how CASA arrives at the 'preferable' decision in any given case. Adherence to these rules is a requirement, not an option. Decisions and actions taken in contravention of these rules are unlawful, unenforceable, and in most cases invalid. CASA is legally accountable for the decisions it makes, and CASA decision-makers are obliged to avoid the appearance, as much as the reality, of unlawful decision-making.

Sound and lawful regulatory decision-making is generally governed by the 10 rules of administrative law summarised below. Adherence to these rules is essential to CASA's obligations of accountability and good governance.

- Natural Justice (Procedural Fairness)

  - **Hearing Rule**. Persons affected by CASA's decisions have a right to be heard. To be meaningful, the hearing rule normally requires that CASA provides persons with notice (usually in advance) that a particular decision is going to be taken, and the reasons for the decision CASA proposes to take. Without notice and a statement of reasons, there may be little point to providing a person with an opportunity to be heard.

  - **Rule Against Bias**. Decision-makers should not have a personal or pecuniary interest in the outcome of their decisions. Neither may decision-makers prejudge (or pre-determine) matters in respect of which they are called upon to make a decision.

- A decision-maker must not act for improper purposes. Even if the purposes for which a particular decision are lawful, the decision may only be taken for the purposes specifically authorised by the law under which the decision has been taken.

- A decision-maker must not take any irrelevant considerations into account in coming to a decision.

- A decision-maker must take all relevant considerations into account in coming to a decision.

> **Note:** Applicable policy is always a relevant consideration.

- A decision-maker must act based on evidence, not mere supposition or speculation.

- A decision-maker must not formulate requirements in vague or uncertain terms.

- A decision-maker must not inflexibly apply policy (although departures from policy will normally need to be justified).

- A decision-maker must not act under dictation (although this does not preclude adherence to formal directions, compliance with lawful conditions in relation to the process by which a decision is taken or the obligation to consult in the process of considering a decision).

- A decision-maker must decide the matter within a reasonable time.

- A decision maker must not act in a way that is manifestly unreasonable. A decision must not be so unreasonable that no reasonable person would make such a decision.

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024   Page **9** of **74**

OFFICIAL

> **Note:** The meaning and application of these principles, and related considerations of administrative law, are covered more fully in the induction and orientation training undertaken by all CASA employees. Any questions in relation to these matters should be referred to Legal International and Regulatory Affairs (LIRA).

Departure from authorised policy

Adherence to CASA's authorised policies will almost always produce an appropriate decision. As said, however, from time to time there will be circumstances in which the strict application of policy may not result in the "preferable" decision. In these cases it may be appropriate (and possibly necessary) to depart from otherwise applicable policy.

Any departure from policy must be justified to ensure that it:

- is genuinely necessary in the interests of fairness

- does not inappropriately compromise the need for consistent decision-making; and, of course

- is not in conflict with the interests of safety.

Without fettering a decision-maker's discretion, it is therefore expected that appropriate consultation will occur before a decision is made that is not the product of the policies and processes set out in this manual. The prescribed consultation process is described below.

Consultation process

Decision-maker's responsibilities

When a decision-maker believes there is a need to depart from policy he or she is expected to consult with his or her direct supervisor. This process should be initiated in writing:

- Setting out the pertinent facts and circumstances

- Identifying the provisions of the policy normally applicable

- Stating why the application of that policy would not result in the making of the 'preferable' decision in the circumstances to hand

- Specifying the approach the decision-maker believes is more likely to result in a 'preferable' decision.

Supervisor's responsibilities

In considering a consultative referral, the decision-maker's supervisor should:

- Advise the decision-maker as to whether his or her assessment of the relevant considerations appears to be complete and correct

- If, in the opinion of the supervisor, the circumstances do not warrant a departure from policy, provide the decision-maker with written advice and guidance as to how the decision might more properly be approached within the current policy framework.

> Note: Reliance on relevant precedent is a sound basis on which to ground such an opinion. It may also be helpful to seek advice from peers, superiors and/or CASA's Legal International and Regulatory Affairs (LIRA).

- If, in the opinion of the supervisor, a departure from policy is warranted, the supervisor should ensure the policy sponsor (normally the relevant Executive Manager) is advised of the:

  – intention to depart from the otherwise applicable policy

  – alternative approach the decision-maker will be taking to the matter.

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024   Page **10** of **74**

OFFICIAL

The supervisor should ensure that a full written record of these actions is made and maintained.

> **Note:** In no case may the terms of decision be dictated to a delegate authorised to exercise discretionary decision-making powers
>
> If a decision maker's supervisor or the policy sponsor is not satisfied that the decision the decision maker intends to make is the correct or preferable decision in all the circumstances, responsibility for that decision should be assumed by, or assigned to, another authorised delegate in accordance with appropriate processes and procedures.

Policy sponsor's responsibilities

If the policy sponsor concurs in the proposed departure from policy, he or she should ensure the decision-maker is advised accordingly as soon as possible.

If the policy sponsor does not believe the proposed departure from policy is warranted, the sponsor should:

- Advise the supervisor accordingly

- Assume responsibility for the decision

- Ensure that the decision-maker and any person affected by the decision (for which the policy sponsor has assumed responsibility) is advised accordingly

- Make the decision in a manner consistent with the applicable policy.

The policy sponsor should ensure that a full written record of these actions is made and maintained.

Nothing in these processes should be interpreted or applied, to dictate the terms of the decision to be made by a decision-maker authorised to make discretionary decisions under the civil aviation legislation, or to delay unreasonably the making of such decisions

Revisions to policies and manuals

As a result of experience in applying policies and procedures, users will form views as to accuracy, relevance and applicability of the content.

CASA personnel are required to provide recommendations for revisions to policies and processes in this or any other manual should they become aware of shortcomings. In this way the policies and manuals will be continually improved and remain relevant to the tasks being undertaken.

Each policy and manual has a Responsible Area Manager and recommendations for amendment are to be forwarded to the relevant individual for consideration. The revision process is documented in the Document Management and Control Standard Operating Procedures in HORACE.

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024
Page **11** of **74**

OFFICIAL

Appendix A
Introduction to this Handbook

A.1 Purpose of this Handbook

CASA has developed this handbook, in conjunction with its associated technical assessor worksheet, to provide a consistent assessment method for CASA technical assessors to assess applications for compliance with the Civil Aviation Safety Regulations 1998 (CASR).

CASA does not expect its technical assessors to interpret legislation; this handbook provides the necessary information relating to CASA standards, interpretations and explanations of the law. CASA does, however, expect that its technical assessors will apply reasonable and professional judgment in using this handbook during an assessment of legislative requirements.

Using this handbook ensures a standardised assessment outcome in a manner consistent with CASA legislation and policy.

A.1.1 Who is this handbook for?

The primary audience for this handbook is suitably qualified, trained and experienced CASA Aviation Safety Inspectors from the Air Navigation, Airspace and Aerodromes Branch who are required to determine if an applicant has met the legislative requirements specified in CASR Part 175.

For the purposes of this handbook, such inspectors are referred to as technical assessors.

This handbook may also be used or referenced by CASA delegates who exercise powers under Part 175 to issue an Aeronautical Information Service (AIS) provider certificate.

A.1.2 How to use this handbook

This handbook is to be used in conjunction with the associated technical assessor worksheet (referenced in Appendix 1 to this handbook), which is the primary tool for undertaking a technical assessment to determine compliance with CASA's legislative requirements.

The worksheet is a Microsoft Excel document with various functionalities and sheet tabs, one of which is the User Instructions which should be read before commencing an assessment. The worksheet user instructions are also available at Appendix 1 to this handbook.

The regulatory questions in the worksheet form the assessment criteria that a technical assessor must consider in determining if an applicant complies with the legislative requirements. This handbook expands on those questions by providing an understanding of the question (through things for consideration).

Technical assessors should:

- Use the worksheet to undertake a standardised and unified entry control assessment of an AIS provider application; documenting an auditable record of the decisions and rationale against each of the assessment questions.

- Use the standardised legislation, policy and philosophy statements contained in this handbook to thoroughly understand the legislative requirements; thereby aiding a standardised decision-making process.

A.1.3 What this handbook covers

This handbook and its associated technical assessor worksheet only cover the technical aspects for conducting an assessment of an AIS provider entry control application.

The handbook includes the process and assessment considerations. The worksheet articulates the standardised assessment criteria, via a series of questions derived from Part 175, and is an auditable record of the complex decision making that occurs during the assessment.

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024   Page **12** of **74**

OFFICIAL

This handbook has been developed in parts for ease of use. Appendix A includes introductory and policy information, Appendix B includes a high-level overview of the assessment process and Appendix C describes the things for consideration, which correspond to the assessment questions in the worksheet.

A.1.4   What this handbook does not cover

This handbook does not cover how a technical assessor will decide about whether CASA should issue an AIS provider certificate. It assumes that technical assessors are suitably qualified, trained and experienced in assessing the quality of an application for the purposes of ensuring satisfactory compliance.

Although this handbook provides guidance information, the ultimate decision must be made by the technical assessor as to whether the information presented is suitable, complies with relevant legislation and does not impose safety concerns.

The systems that surround the technical aspects of assessing the entry control application are not covered in this handbook; this includes the administrative tasks for receipt of an application and issue of a final permission, or ongoing surveillance activities.

A.1.5   Where to go for further assistance

To obtain further assistance with any of the information contained within this handbook and associated appendices, contact the Manager Personnel Licencing, Aerodromes and Air Navigation Standards (PLAANS), Flight Standards Branch.

A.2   Part 175 Overview

A.2.1   Background

Part 175 addresses the risks associated with the publication of erroneous or corrupt aeronautical information. The use of erroneous or corrupt aeronautical data can put the safety of flight at risk.

Part 175 regulates and creates an auditable relationship between those organisations and persons involved in the aeronautical information data chain to ensure that the integrity of aeronautical data is not compromised during receipt, processing, storage, transmission and publication.

Subpart 175.A sets out the general requirements for Part 175 and sets out what each Subpart is about. Subpart 175.A also explains that Part 175 is not applicable to the Defence Force in relation to their provision of an AIS. This Subpart also specifies that the Annexes 3, 4 and 15 are not applicable to Part 175 where Australia has published a difference to those Annexes in the AIP.

The regulations establish:

- requirements for AIS providers
- requirements for data service providers
- requirements for aeronautical data originators
- powers for collection of data about objects and structures that affect aviation safety.

A.2.2   AIS providers

Subpart 175.B sets out the standards and requirements for AIS providers. AIS providers are persons responsible for the publication of aeronautical data and aeronautical information in the Integrated Aeronautical Information Package (IAIP) and on aeronautical charts.

CASA is responsible for certifying AIS providers and auditing their compliance against Part 175 and their exposition.

A.2.3   Data service providers

Subpart 175.C sets out the standards and requirements for data service providers. Data service providers are persons authorised to publish or supply data that pilots could use as an alternative to the IAIP and aeronautical charts, published by AIS providers.

Regulation 175.190 requires an AIS provider to enter into a licence agreement with each data service provider.

Commercial organisations that conduct data service activities require an approval from CASA under Subpart 175.C. For further information regarding the assessment of a data service provider, refer to the CASR Subpart 175.C Technical Assessor Handbook.

A.2.4   Aeronautical data originators

Subpart 175.D sets out obligations for aeronautical data originators. Aeronautical data originators are persons responsible for providing aeronautical data and aeronautical information to AIS providers for publication in the IAIP and on aeronautical charts.

Regulation 175.160 requires an AIS provider to give an aeronautical data originator a written data product specification (DPS). The DPS ensures the quality of data provided to the AIS provider by placing parameters around the data to be supplied by the data originator.

Regulation 175.475 sets out specific responsibilities of Geoscience Australia, as an aeronautical data originator, in relation to the supply of terrain, topographic and cultural data to an AIS provider.

There is no requirement under Part 175 for CASA to assess aeronautical data originators.

A.2.5   Objects and structures that affect aviation safety

Subpart 175.E provides powers for Airservices Australia (AA) to collect data about objects and structures which may affect aviation safety. AA is permitted to request data about objects and structures from the owner, operator or controller of the object or structure (e.g. a telecommunications company or a wind farm operator) as well as from an aerodrome operator or a government authority.

There is no requirement under Part 175 for CASA to assess the data AA collects about objects and structures.

A.3   Safety Management Systems Overview

A.3.1   Background

The Safety Management System (SMS) information in this handbook addresses aviation safety related processes and activities of AIS providers supplying information used in air navigation; rather than the occupational health and safety, environmental protection, quality management systems or security systems.

Regulation 175.255 requires AIS providers to have an SMS. The SMS should be commensurate with the size and complexity of the AIS provider to ensure hazards are identified and risks are assessed and mitigated.

The fundamental SMS components required by an AIS provider are:

- organisational structures, accountabilities, policies and procedures necessary to manage safety in a systemic way

- a statement of the provider's safety policy, objectives and planning

- a safety risk management system which includes hazard identification and risk assessment and mitigation processes

- a safety assurance system including processes to monitor and measure safety performance, to investigate safety internally, to manage change and to continually improve the SMS

- a safety training and promotion system including details of SMS training, education and safety communication.

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024   Page **14** of **74**

OFFICIAL

A.3.2   Integration considerations

SMS goes beyond a traditional quality management system by focussing on the safety, human and organisational aspects of an organisation. Within an SMS there is a distinct focus on operational safety and the human element in the system. Therefore, the integration of Human Factors (HF) into the SMS is a key objective of an AIS provider's SMS program.

Although the coordination and integration process may be a challenging task for many AIS providers, and could impact on the ability to successfully implement an SMS program in the short to medium term, an alternative would be to plan for integration once the SMS is initially established within the organisation. This can be accomplished by a phased approach through the organisation's SMS implementation plan.

A.4   Quality Management Systems Overview

A.4.1   Background

The Quality Management System (QMS) information in this handbook is based on the elements of the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) 9001 standard and also includes the quality management requirements mentioned in the aeronautical data processing standards.

Under Part 175, AIS providers must have a QMS. The QMS should contain procedures, processes and resources necessary for quality assurance at each stage of the aeronautical data chain.

A.4.2   ICAO Annex 4 and 15 to the Chicago Convention

ICAO Annex 15 requires contracting states to provide an AIS in accordance with a quality system. The quality system must conform to the ISO 9000 series of quality assurance standards.

An AIS provider must publish aeronautical charts in accordance with the standards contained in ICAO Annex 4 and any related annexes and documents. The requirement is for AIS providers to publish aeronautical charts which provide the appropriate functions for the six phases of flight. The requirement to produce aeronautical charts is also necessary to establish the standard with which data service providers will be required to comply.

Part 175 only requires a provider to comply with the ICAO standards, not necessarily the recommended practices. Any references to ICAO annexes within Part 175 are references to the standards – not the recommended practices. However, regulation 175.200(1)(t) requires an AIS provider's exposition to include details of any recommended practices that they do not follow.

A.4.3   Aeronautical data processing standards

The QMS under Part 175 must address aeronautical data processing standards of:

- RTCA/DO-200B document - Standards for Processing Aeronautical Data
- EUROCAE ED-76A document - Standards for Processing Aeronautical Data.

Aeronautical data processing standards provide the minimum standards and guidance for the processing of aeronautical data that is used for navigation, flight planning, terrain awareness, flight simulators and for other applications. Such data would be passed on to the user as a database.

The standards provide requirements that should be used to develop, assess change to, and support the implementation of, data processing quality assurance and data quality management.

When applied, the standards provide the user with assurance of the level of quality that can be associated with the processed data (e.g. aeronautical database).

A.5   Policy Statements

The following policy statements apply to the assessment of an AIS provider:

- The purpose and intent of this handbook and its associated technical assessor worksheet is to ensure a standardised approach to the assessment and to maintain a CASA record of the decision-making

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024
Page **15** of **74**

OFFICIAL

process. The worksheet has not been designed for the applicant to complete; it is not intended to be used as a method for communicating with the applicant or for providing formal notification of outcomes to the assessment.

- It is CASA policy that this handbook be the principal reference when assessing compliance with Part 175; as such this handbook must be used to assess an AIS provider.

- The questions in the Assessment Worksheet are the assessment criteria that must be considered during the assessment of an initial application, application for renewal or application for significant change. Whilst some questions may appear to require a simple 'yes' or 'no' response, CASA expects its technical assessors to undertake a qualitative assessment for each question; having regard to the suitability of the applicant to conduct their operations safely.

- The worksheet includes a Planning and Approvals sheet which must be completed to ensure a Part 175 delegate is presented with all of the information relevant to their decision to issue a certificate. CASA requires its technical assessors to document an accurate record of what they have assessed and why as well as their recommendations and reasons for their recommendations.

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024   Page **16** of **74**

OFFICIAL

Appendix B
Assessment Process

B.1   Assessment Overview

This part of the handbook provides a high-level overview of the procedures undertaken by a technical assessor to assess an AIS provider.

B.1.1   Objective of the assessment

The objective is to undertake a qualitative assessment of an applicant's exposition to ensure the applicant can conduct their activities safely and in accordance with Part 175.

B.1.2   Preliminary and application requirements

Under regulation 175.040, only the Commonwealth, AA or an organisation working in cooperation or arrangement with AA under the Air Services Act 1995, may apply to provide an AIS.

It is the applicant's responsibility to submit a complete application using the applicable forms approved by CASA and in accordance with the prescribed regulatory application requirements.

Any administrative tasks associated with receiving the application, generating fee estimates, allocating tasks and forming assessment teams must be completed before commencing the technical assessment.

B.1.3   Assessment plan

The CASR Subpart 175.B Technical Assessor Worksheet contains various sheet tabs, one of which is the Planning & Approvals sheet. Once an application has been received and an assessment team has been formed, the lead technical assessor completes

• Section 1 (Assessment Plan) of the Planning and Approvals sheet.

For a new entrant, this is a fairly simple process of entering information about the applicant, the application and those involved in the assessment into the relevant areas.

For an application to change the certificate or for a renewal, the technical assessors will need to carefully consider the application, having regard to what the applicant is applying for, recent surveillance activities and the applicant's history with CASA. The technical assessors will need to determine the sections of the worksheet that are required to be completed and why, and will need to describe this information in Section 1 of the Planning and Approvals sheet.

The assessment plan must be completed with sufficient detail to describe what the applicant has applied for, what is being assessed and who is conducting the assessment. For further guidance on completing Section 1 of the Planning and Approvals sheet, refer to the worksheet user instructions contained in Appendix 1 to this handbook.

B.1.4   Assessment elements

The assessment process involves verification of the applicant's claims through a range of activities. These generally include, but are not limited to:

• desktop assessment of the exposition and supporting documentation provided

• onsite inspection of facilities, systems and processes.

After completing the assessment plan, the technical assessors commence the assessment by conducting a desktop assessment of the application and exposition and completing the necessary questions in the Assessment Worksheet - which is the main sheet tab in the CASR Subpart 175.B Technical Assessor Worksheet.

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024   Page **17** of **74**

OFFICIAL

In many instances, the worksheet questions may appear to merely require a simple 'yes' or 'no' response. However, the technical assessors are obliged to go further and make a qualitative assessment of the suitability of policy, processes, systems and practices proposed by the applicant.

In assessing an application for an AIS provider certificate, CASA must have regard to, and be satisfied of, the following matters:

- the exposition complies with the requirements of regulation 175.200

- the 'accountable manager' has been given appropriate authority to carry out their responsibilities and understands the regulations and the applicant's exposition

- the applicant is able and willing to conduct the AIS safely and in accordance with its exposition and the regulations

- if the applicant proposes to provide the AIS in cooperation or by arrangement with another person—the AIS will be provided in accordance with any agreement relating to the cooperation or arrangement

- the applicant has established an SMS

- the applicant has demonstrated compliance with ICAO Annex 15 (aeronautical information services) and ICAO Annex 4 (aeronautical charts) of the Chicago Convention

- the applicant has demonstrated compliance with the data processing standards

- the applicant will publish the IAIP and aeronautical charts

- the applicant is capable of maintaining integrity of aeronautical data and aeronautical information

- the applicant has an automated data processing system

- the applicant provides DPSs to aeronautical data originators

- a licence agreement is in place with data service providers

- the nature of the proposed services and the applicant's ability to conduct the services safely.

To determine satisfactory compliance, the technical assessors may need to seek further information from the applicant or conduct on-site inspections. The technical assessors must use the worksheet to document their decisions and actions - preserving an auditable record of the assessment. If there is more than one technical assessor, all assessors must consolidate their findings into one final worksheet.

Further information relating to the use of the Assessment Worksheet is available in the worksheet user instructions contained in Appendix 1 to this handbook.

B.1.5   Assessment remarks and recommendations

Regulation 175.055 requires CASA to determine the services that the applicant is authorised to provide as part of the AIS, and for each service:

- the location

- the area of Australian territory and aerodromes, airspace, and ATS routes that the service is to cover

- the hours during which the service will be available.

Before finalising the assessment, the lead technical assessor must ensure that the assessment recommendations, together with any proposed limitations or conditions for the certificate, are recorded in Section 2 (Assessment Remarks and Recommendations) of the Planning and Approvals sheet.

For further guidance on completing Section 2 of the Planning and Approvals sheet, refer to the worksheet user instructions contained in Appendix 1 to this handbook.

B.1.6   Delegations and approvals

Part 175 delegates are persons holding or performing the duties of a position in CASA, as mentioned in a CASA Instrument of Delegation, whose delegations include, among other things, CASA's powers under Part 175 for the issue of an AIS provider certificate.

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024   Page **18** of **74**

OFFICIAL

The following positions within CASA have been granted the powers of delegation to issue certificates under Part 175:

- Branch Manager, Air Navigation, Airspace and Aerodromes

- Manager CNS/ATM, Air Navigation, Airspace and Aerodromes.

The following CASA positions, in addition to those positions mentioned above, have been granted powers of delegation to approve certain changes to services on a certificate:

- Team Leader Communications, Navigation & Surveillance Support

- Aviation Safety Inspector (AIM/IFP).

After documenting the recommended assessment outcomes in the worksheet, the lead technical assessor completes the relevant information in Section 3 (Approval) of the Planning and Approvals sheet. If a peer review of the assessment is conducted or if another assessor is involved in the assessment, they also complete the relevant information in Section 3 of the Planning and Approvals sheet.

The lead technical assessor will draft the certificate and forward the draft certificate and the completed worksheet to the Manager CNS/ATM. The Manager CNS/ATM reviews the documentation and endorses the recommendation to issue or not issue the certificate by completing Section 3 of the Planning and Approvals sheet.

The worksheet is then sent to the delegate (usually the Branch Manager, Air Navigation, Airspace and Aerodromes) to approve or not approve the recommendations.

> **Note:** Where the Branch Manager is not available, the Manager CNS/ATM may endorse and approve the recommendations as the delegate.

Following delegate approval to issue the certificate, the lead technical assessor completes any administrative processes and reconciles the estimate. If CASA is not required to recover additional funds from the applicant, the lead technical assessor prints the certificate and arranges for the delegate to sign the certificate. Once the certificate is signed, the lead technical assessor forwards the certificate, with an appropriate covering letter, to the applicant.

If the applicant owes CASA money, the lead technical assessor sends a letter requesting payment to the applicant. Once all funds have been recovered, the lead technical assessor prints the certificate, arranges for it to be signed by the delegate and forwards the signed certificate with the appropriate covering letter to the applicant.

B.2   Review and Subsequent Assessments

B.2.1   Renewal

It is CASA policy that an AIS provider certificate is only valid for a maximum of 3 years. AIS providers approved under Part 175 need to undergo a full entry control assessment every 3 years for renewal purposes.

Four months before the certificate expiry date, the Air Navigation, Airspace and Aerodromes Branch reminds the applicant that their certificate will expire.

Once an application to renew the certificate is received, the assessment proceeds in accordance with the assessment process described in Chapter B1 of this handbook.

> **Note**: Whilst reference to previous worksheets can be made during the renewal process, the technical assessor is expected to complete a new worksheet. Do not use previous worksheets for this assessment.

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024   Page **19** of **74**

OFFICIAL

B.2.2 Changes to services

Regulation 175.070 describes changes the provider must apply to CASA for approval of, before making the change. These changes refer to matters that are included on an AIS provider certificate. CASA is required to assess these changes and, if approved, issue a new certificate.

It is important to note that an assessment of a change to the certificate is not the same as a renewal – a change to the certificate does not change the renewal date on the certificate.

Once an application for a change to the certificate is received, the assessment proceeds in accordance with the assessment process described in Chapter B1 of this handbook.

> **Note:** Whilst reference to previous worksheets can be made, the technical assessor is expected to complete the applicable sections in a new worksheet. Do not use previous worksheets for this assessment.

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024   Page **20** of **74**

OFFICIAL

Appendix C
Assessment Considerations

C.1   Organisation

This part of the assessment evaluates the information an AIS provider must include in their exposition about their organisation.

C.1.1   Provider Details

> **References:**   CASR: 175.045(1)(a); 175.200(1)(a)

Introduction

To enable CASA to maintain ongoing contact with the AIS provider in relation to the provision of their services under Part 175, the exposition must include the AIS provider's name and contact details.

Things for Consideration

The following information may be of value in determining if the provider has adequately described their name and contact details within their exposition.

- The full legal entity name of the provider (e.g. the registered business name) must be included in the exposition. If the provider intends to operate or trade under a different name, the operating or trading name must also be included.

- If the provider already holds an ARN, an internal search of CASA systems may be conducted to confirm the applicant's identity.

- The exposition should contain the following contact details:

  – the primary address for correspondence and primary contact phone number for the provider

  – the physical work address, phone numbers and email addresses for the accountable manager and all responsible operational supervisory personnel

  – the physical work address, phone number and email address for the person responsible for communications with CASA in relation to the provision of the AIS

C.1.2   Locations

> **References:**   CASR: 175.045(1)(b),(g),(i); 175.200(1)(b)

Introduction

The exposition must include the location and physical address of the provider's operational headquarters and each operational facility. This enables CASA to communicate with the appropriate persons responsible for the provision of the AIS.

Things for Consideration

The following information may be of value in determining if the provider has adequately described the location and address of their operational headquarters and each operational facility within their exposition.

- The location and address details of the operational headquarters must be included in the exposition to enable CASA to communicate with the appropriate persons within the organisation.

- The specific location, or locations in the case of distributed facilities, from which each service is to be provided must be included in the exposition.

C.1.3   Accountable Manager

References: CASR: 175.030; 175.045(1)(h); 175.200(1)(c); 175.215; 175.250

Introduction

The overall accountability and responsibility for the safety and provision of the AIS rests with the provider's Chief Executive Officer (CEO) or equivalent person.

Under Part 175, the provider must appoint an individual as their accountable manager. The position may be filled by the CEO or the CEO may choose to appoint someone else to the position to carry out the responsibilities as defined in Part 175.030.

Under Part 175, an accountable manager is not required to hold any specific qualifications or experience requirements. However, they must accept accountability for ensuring that the provider's services are provided in accordance with the provider's exposition and Part 175.

The accountable manager is also responsible for ensuring that the provider is able to finance, and has adequate resources to provide, its services or activities in accordance with the provider's exposition and Part 175.

The provider's accountable manager (e.g. CEO) must also accept ultimate responsibility for the SMS and its implementation.

In accordance with Part 175, the accountable manager must conduct an annual review of the provider against its exposition and Part 175 requirements. It is the accountable manager's responsibility to address any deficiencies that are identified during the review and to provide CASA with a report of the review, including any significant deficiencies identified and how those deficiencies will be addressed.

Things for Consideration

The following information may be of value in determining if the provider has appointed a person with the appropriate responsibilities for the accountable manager position.

C.1.3.1   Position

- A provider must appoint an individual as their accountable manager.

- Though not required by the CASR, the accountable manager should have an ARN.

- The exposition must include the full name of the accountable manager and should also include contact details for the person.

- A duty statement or position description for the accountable manager may be included in the exposition to demonstrate:

  – the functions and responsibilities of the position

  – the hours of operation of the position

  – how the responsibilities of the position align with Part 175 requirements.

C.1.3.2   Compliance responsibilities

- The duties of the accountable manager should enable them to ensure that services are conducted in accordance with the provider's exposition and Part 175.

- Duties may include:

- ensuring premises and equipment appropriate to the operations are provided
- conducting at least an annual internal audit of the provider against their exposition and Part 175
- maintaining and reviewing internal operating procedures and processes
- ensuring personnel have suitable endorsements, qualifications or experience to fulfil their responsibilities.

- The accountable manager is required to conduct annual reviews of the provider against their exposition and Part 175. The exposition should include a documented process for the conduct of reviews and how the provider will ensure deficiencies are addressed and how an annual review report is provided to CASA. The process should describe the accountable manager's responsibilities for:
  - documenting findings
  - assessing risks
  - developing a case for addressing the deficiencies
  - notifying CASA
  - implementing changes
  - ongoing monitoring and review.

C.1.3.3   Financing and resourcing responsibilities

- The accountable manager must have authority to approve or obtain funding. Where finances are controlled by a board of directors or other management positions, authority must be provided to the accountable manager to enable them to carry out their regulatory responsibilities.

- The accountable manager's financial delegations may extend to funding for:
  - IT system upgrades
  - recruitment activities
  - training to maintain or upgrade personnel endorsements, qualifications or experience requirements
  - maintaining and upgrading facilities.

- The accountable manager may be able to demonstrate how they fulfil their responsibilities for ensuring the provider has adequate resources through documented processes that require the accountable manager to:
  - ensure personnel have suitable endorsements, qualifications, or experience to fulfil their responsibilities.
  - conduct regular reviews of staffing levels and qualifications
  - undertake recruitment activities to ensure appropriate staffing levels are maintained
  - recruit personnel in a planned manner, including assessment of competence and retention of recruitment records and evidence of qualifications and experience
  - modifying recruitment related processes and procedures where necessary
  - ensure all personnel, whatever their roles, are suitably trained and authorised for the tasks they perform
  - supporting the conduct of checks in accordance with the provider's training and checking system, with continuation training being made available when necessary to maintain competency levels.

C.1.3.4   Safety Management System responsibilities

- The accountable manager is accountable for the implementation, maintenance and resourcing of the SMS.

- The exposition may include a safety policy established by the accountable manager which describes the AIS provider's safety culture and demonstrates organisational commitment to safety.

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024   Page **23** of **74**

OFFICIAL

- The accountable manager's SMS responsibilities may include:

  – communication of the AIS provider's safety policy to personnel and maintaining a program of ongoing safety promotion and communication

  – clearly defining, for all personnel, their responsibilities for the development and delivery of the applicant's safety strategy and performance

  – supporting safety investigations by making available the necessary funding and resources

  – ensuring findings and recommendations made by the SMS are actioned in accordance with the SMS processes and procedures, including making the necessary funding and resources for any such action available

  – supporting the continuous improvement of the SMS.

C.1.4 Organisational Structure

References:	CASR: 175.045(1)(c),(d),(e); 175.200(1)(d)-(g); 175.205; 175.210;175.220; 175.400

Introduction

CASA must be satisfied that the provider has an appropriate organisation with a sound and effective management structure that enables them to provide their services in accordance with their exposition and relevant legislation.

A provider must also be able to demonstrate that that they have sufficient suitably competent, qualified and trained personnel to provide and supervise the provider's services.

Things for Consideration

The following information may be of value in determining if the provider has a suitable organisational structure to support the provision of their services.

C.1.4.1 Description and diagram

- The detail required for the description and diagram will vary according to the size and complexity of the organisation. A diverse range of structures will be acceptable.

- More than one diagram may be included in the exposition in order to provide a comprehensive understanding of the entire organisation. This may be necessary for a large organisation with multiple departments and extended reporting lines.

- The diagram should correspond with the description of the organisation and provide an accurate visual representation of the structure.

- The description and diagram should be sufficient in detail to provide a clear understanding of how the organisation is structured, the chain of command and the organisation's reporting structure.

- The applicant's description and diagram should verify that:

  – the accountable manager and all necessary responsible operational positions, including operational supervisory positions, have been filled

  – the accountable manager is positioned in a hierarchy management position from other operational positions, demonstrating that they have overall accountability for the provision of the services

  – direct reporting lines are in place from operational personnel to supervisory personnel through to the accountable manager.

- The description and diagram may also include communication lines between operational departments.

- A typical organisational structure may consist of the following departments:

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024   Page **24** of **74**

OFFICIAL

- production department

- quality department

- safety management department.

- To ensure that each operational supervisory position has a suitable span of control, the organisational structure should demonstrate that the number of supervisory positions is appropriate to the size and scope of the services and to the number of operational personnel.

- For the chain of command to be effective, the delegation of responsibility by the accountable manager should rest with persons holding suitable endorsements, qualifications or experience that are relevant to the position.

C.1.4.2   Corporate structure

- If the provider is a corporation, the exposition must include a description of the corporate structure, and should include the reporting structure, ownership interests and company office holders.

- It is not mandatory for the applicant to provide a diagram of the corporate structure (only a description). However, a diagram may be a useful means for providing an understanding of the corporate structure.

- The degree of detail provided need not be exhaustive, however should provide an understanding of the basic corporation layout. The description should include the relationship between the various departments, divisions and job descriptions that interact to conduct the business of the corporation.

- The description should give an outline of the corporation ownership. It should describe whether the corporation is privately owned, or whether it is a publicly listed company, charity, trust or government entity.

- A greater level of detail should be provided in cases where the applicant is owned (wholly or in part) by another aviation entity, particularly the holder of other aviation authorisations. This information is useful when CASA enforcement action is being considered against persons who are involved in multiple organisations.

C.1.4.3   Number of personnel

- The exposition must include a statement showing how the provider determines the number of operational staff required, including the number of operational supervisory staff.

- The number and nature of operational supervisory positions should be appropriate to the number of personnel and the types of services provided. Consideration should be given to:

    - the number of products, services or activities in relation to the number of operational personnel

    - projected numbers of services and activities compared with projected numbers of operational personnel

    - rostering arrangements or working hours of operational personnel

    - endorsements, qualifications or experience required for each operational position.

- The provider should describe their process for regularly reviewing the numbers of qualified and competent personnel to identify the need for additional personnel.

- The method by which additional personnel are engaged, and the steps taken to ensure additional personnel are suitably qualified and competent, may be described in the exposition.

- The number of operational personnel, including operational supervisory personnel, should be sufficient for the provider to adequately cover absences and ensure the continued operation of their services.

- A provider may have appointed additional managers and supervisors to provide direct supervision or training of operational personnel.

C.1.4.4   Duties and responsibilities of personnel

- The exposition must include a statement of the duties and responsibilities of each operational position, including each operational supervisory position. This may be achieved by including a position description of each operational position in the exposition.

- The exposition must also include the recent experience (if any), endorsements and qualifications (if any) and currency requirements (if any) for each operational position, including each operational supervisory position.

- Operational personnel include personnel that:
    - process data using the aeronautical data management system or database
    - are involved in the production of elements of the IAIP (i.e. Aeronautical Information Publication (AIP), En Route Supplement Australia (ERSA), Departure and Approach Procedures (DAP), Designated Airspace Handbook (DAH))
    - have an endorsement to work in the NOTAM or Briefing Office
    - are involved in the production of aeronautical charts (i.e. En Route Charts (ERC) (H) & (L), Terminal Area Charts (TAC), Visual Navigation
    - Charts (VNC), Visual Terminal Charts (VTC) and World Aeronautical Charts (WAC)).

- Appropriate operational supervisory positions may include:
    - a manager responsible for overseeing the AIS (i.e. aeronautical information database and production of AIP, ERSA, DAP, DAH) and ensuring personnel are qualified, competent and trained to perform their duties
    - NOTAM and Briefing Office shift supervisors
    - the manager of the aeronautical charting section
    - a quality manager responsible for the QMS
    - a safety manager responsible for the day-to-day execution of the SMS.

C.1.4.5   Personnel endorsements, qualifications or experience

- Operational personnel, including operational supervisory personnel, should be appropriately qualified and meet any currency requirements for their position.

- The names and relevant qualifications, experience and positions for each operational position may be described in the exposition.

- The provider is responsible for determining the endorsements, qualifications or experience required for each of their operational personnel and operational supervisory personnel.

- Examples of suitable endorsements, qualifications or experience may include:
    - a minimum number of years of operational experience in matters such as:
        » complying with legislative requirements
        » safety management
        » quality management
        » leadership and managerial experience.
    - qualifications, endorsements or training in the provision of aeronautical information
    - quality or safety management qualifications.

- Operational personnel that work in the NOTAM or Briefing Office need to be competent, endorsed, recent and current. This can be demonstrated by ensuring the staff:
    - are issued with a certificate of competency
    - hold an endorsement to work in the NOTAM or Briefing Office
    - are recent (i.e. have worked at least one shift in a minimum specified period)

– have completed an annual rating or examination.

C.1.5 Aeronautical Information Services

References:	CASR: 175.040; 175.045(f); 175.120; 175.125; 175.130; 175.200(1)(h),(o)

Introduction

A provider must be able to describe the different services they propose to provide. The services can be broadly grouped into three categories: an aeronautical information service, which includes publication of the IAIP and aeronautical charts; a NOTAM service for the issuing of NOTAMs under the circumstances described in the regulations; and a Briefing service that allows pilots and operators to self-brief, submit flight plans and receive flight information services.

A provider can also provide a post-flight information service which allows pilots to report conditions regarding the availability of air navigation facilities such as availability of radio navigation aids or damage to runways and the presence of wildlife that may be hazardous to aircraft operations. Typically, this will be reported to the NOTAM office so that a NOTAM can be issued expeditiously. This is not considered to be a separate service requiring approval and issuing of a certificate.

If the provider is AA and services are provided by another organisation in cooperation or by arrangement with AA under the Air Services Act 1995, then the exposition must include details of this cooperation or arrangement. That organisation will also require a separate approval under the regulations.

Things for Consideration

The following information may be of value in determining if the provider has adequately described the services it proposes to provide.

C.1.5.1 List of services

- The exposition should include a statement setting out the services, and their related functions, that the applicant proposes to provide.

C.1.5.2 Aeronautical information service

- The provider should include sufficient detail in the exposition regarding the elements of the IAIP and the aeronautical charts that they publish.

- The provider should include sufficient detail in the exposition regarding which aeronautical charts that the provider publishes.

- If the provider contracts out the publication of any of the elements of the IAIP or any aeronautical charts, they are still responsible for publication and the elements must still be included in the exposition. The only circumstance where this may not apply is if AA is the provider and are providing the service in cooperation or by arrangement with another organisation under the Air Services Act 1995, in which case that other organisation will require an approval under Part 175.

C.1.5.3 NOTAM service

- The exposition must include details of the NOTAM service if one is intended to be provided.

- Apart from ICAO Annex 4 and 15 requirements for what must be published in the AIP and in NOTAMs, Australia has other legislation that requires information or instructions to be published in the AIP or in NOTAMs. These include the Civil Aviation Safety Regulations 1998, , Manuals of Standards, CASA instruments, the Airspace Act 2007 and the Airspace Regulations 2007.

C.1.5.4 Briefing service

- The exposition must include details of the Briefing service if one is intended to be provided.

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024   Page **27** of **74**

OFFICIAL

C.1.6   Area of Coverage and Hours of Operation

> **References:**   CASR: 175.045(1)(g)(ii)-(iii); 175.120(a); 175.125(b); 175.200(1)(i)

Introduction

The geographical scope of the aeronautical information that is to be provided must clearly be documented in the exposition, along with the locations from where each of the services are to be provided and the availability of the services.

Things for Consideration

The following information may be of value in determining if the provider has adequately described the location, area of coverage and availability of the services.

C.1.6.1   Location

- The specific address, or addresses in the case of distributed facilities, from which each service is to be provided must be included in the exposition.

C.1.6.2   Area of coverage

- The exposition should include the airspace within which each service is to be provided. This may be by reference to the DAH or an aeronautical chart (such as a VTC, ERC etc.).

- It may not be possible to rely on neighbouring States to provide quality assured information outside the boundary of the Australian Flight Information Region (FIR). If data or information is published that is under the responsibility of a neighbouring FIR, or another AIS provider, then the provider is not responsible for that data or information provided it has been accurately published.

- The exposition should include the aerodromes for which each service is to be provided. This may be by reference to the ERSA which makes up part of Australia's AIP. Where services are provided for aerodromes outside the Australian FIR, such as Norfolk Island and Christmas Island, these are published in the ERSA.

- The provider may publish details of aerodromes that are not regulated under CASR Part 139. In this case the provider can continue to publish those details in the ERSA facilities section (FAC) if the aerodrome operator acts in accordance with Part 175 in relation to data originators. If the aerodrome operator does not comply with the requirements of Part 175 then details of the aerodrome must be removed from the ERSA.

- Under regulation 175.135, CASA can direct a provider to issue a location specific NOTAM within a specified timeframe. The provider's exposition should include details of how a location (e.g. aerodrome or helicopter landing site) can be added to the NOTAM database in order to comply with the CASA direction.

C.1.6.3   Hours of operation

- The exposition must include the proposed hours of operation for each service.

- Under regulation 175.120, where a NOTAM service is provided this must be available 24 hours a day 7 days per week.

- Under regulation 175.125, where a Briefing service is provided this must be available 24 hours a day 7 days per week.

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024   Page **28** of **74**

OFFICIAL

C.1.7　Facilities and Equipment

References:　　　CASR: 175.200(1)(zb); 175.235(1

Introduction

In order to be able to properly provide the services it is responsible for, the provider must ensure its personnel have access to appropriate facilities, equipment and premises.

Operational personnel must also have access to aeronautical data and aeronautical information that is necessary for the publication of the IAIP and aeronautical charts.

Things for Consideration

The following information may be of value in determining if the provider has appropriate facilities, equipment and access to aeronautical data and information.

C.1.7.1　Facilities and equipment

- A provider must have suitable facilities and premises to allow its personnel to perform their duties in accordance with work, health and safety or occupational health and safety legislation applicable to the State where the facilities are located.

- The equipment provided to operational personnel must be adequate to allow the automated processing of aeronautical data, 24/7 availability of access to NOTAM and Briefing services (if any) and the publication of the IAIP and aeronautical charts. Guidance on automated AIS systems can be found in Part II, Chapter 7 of the AIS Manual (ICAO Doc 8126).

C.1.7.2　Commissioning new facilities, equipment and services

- The exposition must describe the processes for the commissioning of new facilities, equipment and services. The exposition should also describe the processes for installation and transition into service, and provide evidence, arguments and assumptions for acceptance of the operational performance and the safety of the facility, equipment, procedure or service.

- The provider's SMS should require a safety assessment to determine the scope of a change and whether a safety case is required. The safety assessment would determine whether CASA can be notified of the change or in fact needs to approve the change due to its limited scope and impact. The safety assessment should establish that the design objectives in respect to performance and safety can be met, or if not met, that appropriate corrective actions or risk mitigation will be implemented.

- The AIS provider's SMS should include sign-off authorisation by the AIS provider's internal authorities responsible for the design, performance, operation and maintenance of the new system before it is commissioned.

C.1.8　Reference Material

References:　　　CASR: 175.245

Introduction

A provider must maintain copies of all the reference material the provider needs in order to comply with the regulations. Reference material must be kept up-to-date and be readily accessible to all operational personnel.

Regulation 175.245 lists the reference material required to be maintained by the provider.

Things for Consideration

The following information may be of value in determining if the provider has an adequate means for maintaining its reference material and for providing operational personnel with sufficient access to the material.

- A provider must have an information management system for maintaining up-to-date reference materials. The provider may use a document management system or physical or hard-copy library system.

- The record keeping system should cover all record types required, including operational voice records

- The record keeping system should provide an accurate chronicle of services for the purpose of reconstruction of events and system safety analysis.

- The exposition should identify the person or position title responsible for maintaining the reference material.

- The list of reference materials may include documentation in addition to that required under regulation 175.245.

- The system used for maintaining reference materials should be compliant with ISO standards for secure information management.

- The exposition should describe how staff can access the reference materials and where they are stored.

- If the provider maintains an electronic document management system, the provider should demonstrate how accessibility requirements will be managed in the event that the document management system is unserviceable.

- If the provider's system downloads particular documentation onto a computer network or server, the provider should have documented procedures in place to verify that the downloaded information is controlled and regular checks and updates are undertaken to keep the information current.

- The provider should have a means for ensuring that outdated versions of reference materials are decommissioned.

C.1.9 Data Product Specifications, Licence Agreements and Other Agreements or Arrangements

References:	CASR: 175.020; 175.040; 175.120(c); 175.160; 175.170; 175.190;175.195; 175.200(1)(o),(p),(q); 175.490; 175.495; 175.500

Introduction

The exposition must contain a copy of any arrangement made by the provider with other organisations in relation to the supply, provision or exchange of aeronautical data and aeronautical information.

Things for Consideration

The following information may be of value in determining if the provider has made appropriate arrangements for ensuring the availability of aeronautical data and aeronautical information, both incoming into the AIS and outgoing.

C.1.9.1 Cooperation or arrangement with AA

- AA can, besides providing AIS services itself, provide services in cooperation or by arrangement with another organisation in accordance with the Air Services Act 1995. If such a cooperation or arrangement is in place a copy of the arrangement must be provided in the exposition.

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024　　　　　　　　　　Page **30** of **74**

OFFICIAL

C.1.9.2   Data Product Specification

- The provider must give each data originator a DPS. The DPS describes the data and information for which an organisation is responsible, the accuracy and resolution required of the data, when the data and information is required to be supplied to the AIS provider to meet the pre-determined AIP AIRAC publication dates and the electronic means by which the data and information is supplied to the AIS provider. The DPS also specifies the format required of the data and error correction and data alteration procedures.

- AA is also a data originator as well as being an AIS provider. Part 175 specifically deals with this situation and AA must provide each data originator within AA (e.g. instrument flight procedure designer, Aerodrome Rescue and Fire Fighting Service (ARFFS)) with a DPS.

- There is a specific requirement in Part 175 in relation to the Bureau of Meteorology (BoM) to provide data and information in accordance with ICAO Annex 3. If Australia has filed any differences with ICAO for Annex 3, then those differences would also be applicable to the data and information provided by the BoM.

- There are specific requirements in Part 175 in relation to Geoscience Australia to provide terrain, topographic and cultural data and magnetic variation updates.

C.1.9.3   Licence agreements

- The provider must enter into a licence agreement with each data service provider. The agreement covers data, information and charts supplied by the provider to data service providers.

- The agreement must allow the data service provider to use, format and publish the data, information and charts they receive.

- The agreement must include a DPS. The DPS describes the data sets of the data and information that is to be supplied to the data service provider, when the data sets are required to be supplied to the data service provider and the electronic means by which the data and information is supplied to the data service provider. The DPS also specifies the format required of the data and error correction and data alteration procedures.

- The licence agreements with data service providers are subject to charges being applied by the provider.

C.1.9.4   Objects and structures

- Under regulation 175.485, AA can collect obstacle data from private companies, aerodrome operators and all Commonwealth, State, Territory or local government authorities.

- The type of data that can be collected includes data relating to an object or structure that penetrates the obstacle limitation surface of an aerodrome, an object or structure that has a maximum height of at least 100m above ground level, an object or structure that is required to be included on a Type A or B Aerodrome Obstacle Chart (AOC), or an object or structure that penetrates the obstacle collection surface as mentioned in Appendix 8 of ICAO PANS-AIM (ICAO Doc 10066). Regulations 175.490, 175.495 and 175.500 also permit obstacle data to be collected if necessary for aviation safety, to allow collection of obstacles like wind monitoring masts which are usually outside normal collection surfaces.

- The type of data about an obstacle that can be collected is very specific and is described in regulation 175.485 (e.g. identification, location, height, elevation, marked or lit).

- If a request is made for the obstacle data it must be complied with within 28 days or other period agreed by AA.

C.1.9.5   International NOTAM exchange

- Agreements with other countries in relation to the exchange of International series NOTAMs should be included in the exposition.

C.1.9.6   Bureau of Meteorology

- Agreements with the BoM in relation to the availability of meteorological forecasts and reports for the automated pre-flight briefing service should be included in the exposition.

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024
Page **31** of **74**

OFFICIAL

C.1.10   Exposition Availability

References:	CASR: 175.030; 175.045; 175.055; 175.060; 175.070; 175.075;175.080; 175.095; 175.115; 175.200; 175.205; 175.210; 175.220;175.250

Introduction

Part 175 includes several provisions relating to the exposition, including requirements to make the exposition readily accessible and available to operational personnel and CASA, and to keep the exposition up to date.

Things for Consideration

The following information may be of value in determining if the provider has a suitable system for ensuring the exposition is readily accessible and kept up to date.

- The exposition should include a description of how the provider ensures that personnel and CASA have ready access to the exposition.

- Access to the exposition may be provided through a document management system where the master copy is stored.

- Printed copies may be accessible at various operational facilities. However, if a master copy is maintained electronically, the provider should have means to ensure printed copies are flagged as uncontrolled copies.

- The provider should have procedures for distribution, version control, archiving previous versions and notifying personnel of updates to the exposition.

- The exposition should identify who is responsible for maintaining the content and ensuring personnel and CASA have access to it.

- The exposition should include procedures for the preparation, authorisation and issue of amendments to the exposition.

- The exposition must be a controlled document and therefore the amendment process must similarly be controlled.

- The provider should have a means for ensuring CASA is provided with a copy of any amendments to the exposition, regardless of whether CASA is required to approve those changes. Considerations relating to change management procedures are provided in Chapter C7 of this handbook.

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024   Page **32** of **74**

OFFICIAL

C.2 Standards for Aeronautical Information Service Providers

This part of the assessment evaluates the applicant's standards for providing an AIS. The services listed below would normally be those that appear on the AIS provider's certificate.

C.2.1 Provision of Aeronautical Information Service

References:	CASR: 175.020; 175.090; 175.100; 175.105; 175.110; 175.200(1)(j),(k),(m),(n),(s),(t)

Introduction

The provider must establish an AIS in accordance with the standards set out in ICAO Annex 4 and Annex 15.

ICAO Annex 15 sets out the standards for the provision of the service, including:

- formal arrangements with data originators
- ensuring that the form of the data and information is suitable to meet operational requirements of the air traffic management community
- requirements for the exchange of the data and information
- standards for aeronautical data quality and integrity
- requirements for the use of a QMS.

ICAO Annex 15 also describes each of the elements of the IAIP. Further information on how to provide services and the format of elements of the IAIP are contained in the AIS Manual (ICAO Doc 8126).

ICAO Annex 4 contains the standards relating to the content and format of aeronautical charts. The Aeronautical Chart Manual (ICAO Doc 8697) provides further information about each chart.

The exposition must describe how the provider delivers these standards to its operational staff. Normally, the accountable manager would make provision for or provide operational staff with the systems that produce outputs in the required format and meet the required accuracy and resolution standards; demonstrating that data integrity has been maintained during the processing of aeronautical data. Additionally, the exposition must contain an example of the format used for the different elements of the IAIP and each aeronautical chart.

Australia does not adopt all the ICAO standards and recommended practices. Where Australia has lodged a difference for an ICAO Annex, or published a difference to a Procedures for Air Navigation Services (PANS) document, then the service provided or the standards used may be in accordance with that difference. Differences must be published in the AIP, AIP Supplements form part of the AIP and can be used for this purpose. The exposition must include any recommended practices that the provider does not follow.

While ICAO Annexes 4, 15 and PANS-AIM (ICAO Doc 10066) provide standards for data accuracy, integrity, resolution, digital exchange, automation and protection, there are additional aeronautical data processing standards in RTCA/DO-200B and EUROCAE ED-76A that the provider must comply with.

Things for Consideration

The following information may be of value in determining if the provider has satisfied the requirements for providing an AIS.

C.2.1.1 Publication of Integrated Aeronautical Information Package

- The IAIP comprises AIP, AIP Amendments, AIP Supplements, NOTAM, Pre-flight Information Bulletins (PIBs), and Aeronautical Information Circulars (AICs). These are required to be published in accordance with the standards in ICAO Annex 15.

- Australia publishes elements of the IAIP in different formats to meet the needs of the Australian aviation industry. Australia publishes the AIP book, AIP Supplements, ERSA, DAH, NOTAM, Specific Pre-flight Information Bulletin (SPFIB) and AICs.

- Each element of the IAIP should be assessed against the requirements of ICAO Annex 15 and ICAO Doc 8126 (AIS Manual), taking into account where a difference has been published in the AIP.

- Australia provides an electronic AIP (eAIP). The eAIP should follow the same structure, format and content of the paper AIP.

- The exposition must contain a description of and at least one example of the format used for publication of the IAIP.

C.2.1.2   Publication of aeronautical charts

- Aeronautical charts are required to be published in accordance with the standards in ICAO Annex 4.

- Australia publishes aeronautical charts with slightly different formats and chart titles to meet the needs of the Australian aviation industry. Australia publishes Planning (PCA) charts, ERCs, WACs, VNCs, VTCs, TACs, Aerodrome charts, Apron charts, Standard Instrument Departure (SID) charts, Standard Arrival (STAR) charts, DME and GNSS Arrival charts and Instrument Approach and Landing (IAL) charts.

- Each aeronautical chart should be assessed against the requirements of ICAO Annex 4 and ICAO Doc 8697 (Aeronautical Chart Manual), taking into account where a difference has been published in the AIP.

- The exposition must contain a description of and at least one example of the format used for publication of each aeronautical chart.

- In Australia the aerodrome operator publishes the AOC Type A and B and the Precision Approach Terrain Chart in accordance with CASR Part 139 and the Part 139 Manual of Standards. ICAO has been notified of a difference to that effect and therefore the AIS provider is not required to publish these charts.

C.2.2   Provision of a NOTAM Service

> **References:**   CASR: 175.120; 175.200(1)(s),(t)

Introduction

NOTAMs are used whenever updated aeronautical information is required to be distributed, which is of a temporary nature and of short duration or when operationally significant permanent changes or temporary changes of long duration are made at short notice.

NOTAMs are not suitable for changes which require extensive text and/or graphics.

Things for Consideration

The following information may be of value in determining if the provider can provide an appropriate NOTAM service.

C.2.2.1   Availability of service

- The provider must be able to demonstrate that the NOTAM service is available 24 hours a day 7 days per week. Availability of NOTAMs through an aeronautical information processing system would meet this requirement.

C.2.2.2   NOTAM standards and format

- The provider must be able to demonstrate that a NOTAM can be issued in each of the circumstances mentioned in ICAO Annex 15

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024   Page **34** of **74**

OFFICIAL

- The provider should be able to demonstrate that the format of the NOTAM is as described in Appendix 3 to PANS-AIM, or in Appendix 4 to PANS-AIM for SNOWTAM (if used) or Appendix 5 to PANS-AIM for ASHTAM (if used).

C.2.2.3   NOTAM exchange

- The provider must be able to demonstrate that a NOTAM can be exchanged with international NOTAM offices of other countries

- The exposition should contain copies of agreements with other counties for the international exchange of a NOTAM.

C.2.2.4   Connection to aeronautical fixed service

- The provider must be able to demonstrate that the NOTAM office is connected to the Aeronautical Fixed Service (AFS)

- The provider should include in its exposition which International and Domestic locations are connected to the AFS.

C.2.2.5   Authorised NOTAM persons

- The provider must be able to demonstrate that the NOTAM service has a list of all people or positions authorised to request NOTAMs to be issued and that in normal circumstances NOTAMs can only be requested or reviewed by authorised people

- The provider must be able to demonstrate that procedures are available to NOTAM office staff that enable NOTAMs to be issued when required in the interest of aviation safety, and that the standard processes employed by the NOTAM office do not prevent a NOTAM being issued when required

- In most circumstances NOTAMs will be requested by someone who has been authorised by a data originator, but this is not to be used to restrict operational staff from issuing NOTAMs whenever necessary. Examples of NOTAM requests received from organisations that are not data originators under the meaning of Part 175 are telecommunication tower owners (where towers are lit and the lights are inoperative), wind farm owners during the construction of wind farms, and Remotely Piloted Aircraft Systems (RPAS) operators.

C.2.3   Provision of Briefing Service

References:	CASR: 175.110; 175.125; 175.200(1)(m),(n),(u),(v),(zc)

Introduction

Pre-flight briefing is essential to the safety, regularity and efficiency of air navigation. Pilots, flight crew members, company personnel and flight planning service providers require access to the latest meteorological forecasts and observations and NOTAMs relating to the operational availability of airways facilities.

Things for Consideration

The following information may be of value in determining if the provider can provide an appropriate Briefing service.

C.2.3.1   Availability of service

- The provider must be able to demonstrate that the Briefing service is available 24 hours a day 7 days per week.

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024   Page **35** of **74**

OFFICIAL

C.2.3.2   Automated system for Briefing service

- The provider must be able to demonstrate the delivery of Briefing service through automated pre-flight information services. Availability of NOTAM and meteorological information through an aeronautical information processing system would meet this requirement.

C.2.3.3   Self-Briefing by pilots and air crew

- The provider should be able to demonstrate how pilots and flight crew can access the Briefing service system.

C.2.3.4   Information provided by Briefing system

- The provider must be able to demonstrate that the outputs of the Briefing service system are capable of providing flight crew members and other operational personnel with aeronautical data and aeronautical information to enable pre-flight planning of flights and pre-flight information bulletins, as well as appropriate NOTAM and meteorological information

- Australia provides a SPFIB as part of its Briefing service. The provider should demonstrate that the SPFIB meets the requirements for the PIB as part of the IAIP.

C.2.3.5   Submission of flight plan

- The provider must be able to demonstrate that the Briefing service system allows flight crew members or other operational personnel to enter a flight plan.

C.2.3.6   Flight information service

- The provider must be able to demonstrate that the Briefing service system for a flight information service is provided to pilots and aircraft operators. This requirement could be met by demonstrating the process whereby meteorological forecasts, observations and NOTAMs are processed into the air traffic management system for display to air traffic controllers for relay to pilots.

C.2.3.7   Availability of meteorological forecasts and reports

- The Briefing service system provides meteorological forecasts and observations to pilots. The exposition should include details of how the provider ensures access to meteorological forecasts and observations from the BoM.

C.2.3.8   Availability of NOTAM

- The Briefing service system provides the NOTAMs to pilots. The exposition should include details of how the provider ensures NOTAMs are incorporated into the Briefing service system.

C.2.4   Services Continuity

References: CASR: 175.110; 175.120; 175.125; 175.200(1)(m),(n)

Introduction

It is important in the provision of any service, whether it be the availability of the IAIP, aeronautical charts or Briefing service, that the products and services continue to be made available to people and organisations that rely on them.

The capability to continue to provide those products and services is equally reliant on the provider having access to all the data and information required in those products and services. The exposition must describe the arrangements which ensure the provider has access to data and information necessary to provide its services and that they can continue to provide those services.

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024   Page **36** of **74**

OFFICIAL

Things for Consideration

The following information may be of value in determining if the provider has appropriate arrangements in place for receipt of data and for ensuring the continuing availability of services.

C.2.4.1 Receipt of data

- The exposition must describe the arrangements the provider has in place to receive data and information necessary for providing its services.

- Section C1.9 of this handbook details many of the considerations for arrangements that need to be in place to ensure the receipt of data and information.

- The provider should also have arrangements in place to receive data and information required for pre-flight and in-flight information from the aeronautical information services of other countries or other sources where required.

C.2.4.2 Continuity of service

- The exposition should include a description of the arrangements that ensure the provider can continue to provide products and services to other organisations whose functions reasonably require that information.

- The description should nominate each product or service and how it will be provided continuously.

- Under regulation 175.085, the provider must have procedures to notify CASA if anything significantly affects its ability to provide any of its services. The provider must notify CASA within 7 days.

C.2.5 Operational Instructions

> **References:** CASR: 175.110; 175.120; 175.125; 175.200(1)(u),(v),(zc)

Introduction

In order to achieve and maintain data integrity there are likely to be a number of interconnected systems and equipment that are utilised by the provider's operational personnel in managing the data and information. Wherever a system or piece of equipment has the capacity to impact the integrity of data and information there should be accompanying instructions for operational personnel to use the system or equipment appropriately.

Additionally, the NOTAM and Briefing services will have specific systems and equipment that allow data and information to be input into those systems and which are in turn made available to pilots. NOTAM and Briefing services office staff will also have operational instructions in relation to their duties, endorsements, currency and recency requirements.

The exposition should contain a description of the procedures that ensure all systems, equipment and software, are operated in accordance with the manufacturer's operating instructions and manuals.

Things for Consideration

The following information may be of value in determining if the provider has appropriate operational instructions for operational personnel.

C.2.5.1 Systems and equipment

- The provider should be able to provide a list of all systems, equipment and software that is used in the processing of data and information which in turn is published in the IAIP and on aeronautical charts. The exposition should contain a copy of each document that contains operational instructions relating to each of these systems, equipment and software.

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024
Page **37** of **74**

OFFICIAL

C.2.5.2　NOTAM and Briefing services

- The provider should be able to provide a list of all systems, equipment and software that is used in the processing of data and information within the NOTAM and Briefing services systems.

- The exposition should contain a copy of each document that contains operational instructions relating to the systems, equipment and software referred used in the processing of the data and information.

- The exposition should contain details of how NOTAM and Briefing services office staff are made aware of operational changes since their last shift (e.g. local temporary instructions).

C.2.5.3　Data processing

- The provider should be able to provide a copy of all operational instructions for personnel who are involved with handling data in any of its automated data processing systems, whether for publication of IAIP and aeronautical charts or for Briefing service systems.

- The exposition must contain a copy of each document that contains operational instructions relating to processing data in any of its automated systems.

C.2.6　Data Processing System and Format

References:	CASR: 175.110; 175.200(1)(l),(s),(t)

Introduction

Data integrity is most easily achieved when there is as little handling as possible of the data as it is processed. Automated data processing should be used wherever possible to help maintain the required integrity of the data.

An automated data processing system also allows data to be stored in the required resolution in accordance with its intended purpose or use. There are many times when data is used in different applications or publications, in which case it should be stored to the greatest resolution required of the most demanding application.

An automated data processing system should also be capable of exchanging data with external customers, reducing the need for handling of the data.

PANS-AIM (ICAO Doc 10066) contains standards for aeronautical data quality (accuracy, resolution and integrity) and RTCA/DO-200B and EUROCAE ED-76A contain standards for processing aeronautical data. The provider's aeronautical data processing system should be assessed against the standards in RTCA/DO-200B or EUROCAE ED-76A.

Things for Consideration

The following information may be of value in determining if the provider has appropriate automated data processing systems.

C.2.6.1　Aeronautical data processing system

- The provider should be able to demonstrate how their data processing system maintains the integrity of the data as it is entered into the system, stored in the system and exchanged with other systems, or otherwise when the data is output from the system. The provider should be able to provide written documentation on how all the data processing systems operate and their interrelation with other systems and human interaction.

C.2.6.2　Data format

- The provider should be able to demonstrate the format of all data items stored within the data processing system and how the format meets the required resolution of its intended use. This can be achieved by

comparing the data resolution against the tables of aeronautical data quality in the data catalogue as per Appendix 1 to PANS-AIM (ICAO Doc 10066).

- The charting resolution will often be coarser than the required database resolution. The data processing system should always store the data element at the highest resolution required.

C.2.6.3   Digital data exchange

- The aeronautical data processing system must be automated and be able to exchange and supply data. ICAO Annex 15 requires automated systems to use aeronautical information exchange models that are globally interoperable for data sets.

- An aeronautical data processing system capable of exchanging data in Aeronautical Information Exchange Model (AIXM) format would meet this requirement.

- The purpose of digital data exchange is to maintain data integrity. ICAO does not specifically refer to AIXM. Alternate means of compliance may be achieved through the use of alternate formats. If an alternative format is considered to be an acceptable alternate means of compliance, consideration could be given by CASA, based on advice provided by AA, to whether a difference could be filed with ICAO in certain situations where this format was agreed between the provider and certain data service providers.

C.2.6.4   Data processing standards

- The standards for processing aeronautical data are contained in RTCA/DO-200B and EUROCAE ED-76A. The provider's exposition must contain details of how these standards are met. The most practical way to achieve this is to address the standards through a compliance matrix included in the exposition.

- The matrix should address the data quality requirements in the data catalogue as Appendix 1 of PANS-AIM (ICAO Doc 10066) and Chapter 2 of RTCA/DO-200B and EUROCAE ED-76A data processing standards.

C.2.7   Effective Dates and Distribution

> **References:**   CASR: 175.185; 175.200(1)(s)

Introduction

Data and information concerning changes in facilities, services or procedures generally requires amendments to be made to airborne navigation databases or other documents produced by data service providers. If AIP Amendments, AIP Supplements or aeronautical charts containing this data or information were published indiscriminately with a variety of effective dates, it would be impossible to keep the manuals and other documents up to date. Alternatively, if a schedule of predetermined dates on which changes were to become effective were fixed throughout the year, it would be possible for a production programme to take account of or be based on these predetermined dates.

The processing cycle for airborne navigation databases requires the database to be delivered in sufficient time to allow the database to be updated and delivered to customers in time for becoming effective on the predetermined dates. The Aeronautical Information Regulation And Control (AIRAC) system is used to provide the predetermined dates for publication of the AIP Amendments, AIP Supplements and aeronautical charts.

Certain data and information is required to reach customers with sufficient advance notification to allow the changes to be incorporated in navigation databases or other documents. The establishment, withdrawal of, or premeditated significant changes to, certain data and information requires the data and information to reach recipients at least 28 days before the data and information becomes effective.

The establishment of or the premeditated major changes to certain data or information requires the data and information to reach recipients at least 56 days before the data and information becomes effective. The data and information that is subject to advance notification is described in Chapter 6 of ICAO Annex 15.

However, circumstances may arise in the interests of aviation safety when the provider is unable to provide the required advanced notification. In such circumstances, the provider must notify CASA as soon as practicable afterwards.

Things for Consideration

The following information may be of value in determining if the provider is capable of meeting the AIRAC publication and notification requirements.

C.2.7.1   AIRAC effective dates

- The provider should be able to demonstrate, through their production schedule, that each of the AIP Amendment, AIP Supplement and aeronautical chart publication dates is an AIRAC date.

- The provider's data processing system should verify that data and information only become valid on an AIRAC date.

- The provider should be able to demonstrate each of the AIP Amendments, AIP Supplements and aeronautical charts published on an AIRAC date are identified by the acronym 'AIRAC'.

C.2.7.2   AIRAC advance notification

- The provider should be able to demonstrate, through their production schedule, that each AIP Amendment, AIP Supplement and aeronautical chart publication date is provided to recipients 28 days before they become effective.

- Electronic distribution enables advanced notification requirements to be met if each AIP Amendment or AIP Supplement is available 28 days before it becomes effective.

- In the delivery of paper products, the delivery schedule must be able to demonstrate the requirement for 28 or 56 days' advance notification has been met.

- Most data and information is subject to the requirement for 28 days advanced notification. The provider must be able to demonstrate that they have a system in place for identifying major changes which require 56 days advance notification. Major changes may include new international aerodromes, new runways at international aerodromes, design and structure of the ATS route network, design and structure of a new set of terminal procedures.

C.2.8   Integrity of Data and Information

References:	CASR: 175.155; 175.160; 175.200(1)(p)

Introduction

The importance of aeronautical data and information has changed significantly with the evolution of the Communications, Navigation and Surveillance/Air Traffic Management (CNS/ATM) systems. The implementation of area navigation (RNAV), Required Navigation Performance (RNP) and airborne computer-based navigation systems has brought about exacting requirements for the quality (accuracy, resolution and integrity) of data and information.

Corrupt, missing or erroneous data and information can potentially affect the safety of air navigation because of the direct dependence upon it by both airborne and ground-based systems or applications. It is imperative that each country ensure that users (aviation industry, air traffic services etc.) receive timely and quality aeronautical data and information for the period of its intended use.

The integrity levels of data may also vary depending on the nature of the data and its intended use or application. There are 3 integrity classification levels: routine, essential and critical. The provider should be able to demonstrate, through its data processing systems, how that data integrity level is maintained. The data integrity classification for each data or information element is defined in the data catalogue as per Appendix 1 to PANS-AIM.

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024   Page **40** of **74**

OFFICIAL

Things for Consideration

The following information may be of value in determining if the provider has procedures in place to ensure the integrity of aeronautical data and information during the processing of the data and information.

C.2.8.1   Receipt of data and information

- The DPS is the mechanism to ensure the quality of the data and information that is received by the provider. The exposition should contain reference to each DPS given to a data originator.

- When the provider is AA, there are other sections within AA that are data originators (e.g. instrument flight procedure design, ARFFS). The provider should ensure that each section within AA has received a DPS for the data and information for which they are responsible.

- The provider should be able to demonstrate how it receives data and information from any other AIS or other sources that is maintained in its data processing or Briefing service systems.

- The provider should be able to demonstrate that data and information is received electronically.

- The provider should be able to ensure that the data and information received from a data originator is verified to be from the authorised source, before it is entered into the data processing or Briefing service systems. The provider should also be able to demonstrate that the data is traceable to its source.

- The provider should be able to demonstrate that data and information that is entered in the data processing system is of the required accuracy, resolution, format and that it is complete. This should be able to be demonstrated through the database file structure and rules.

- The provider should be able to demonstrate that data and information (NOTAM and weather forecasts and reports) that is entered in the Briefing service system is of the required format. Considerations in relation to the format are located in Section C2.6 of this handbook.

C.2.8.2   Validity of data and information

- The provider should be able to demonstrate that the data and information is valid for the period of its intended use. This may be met through the temporality associated to each data or information element in the data processing system. Temporality means that data exists within a database with a start time and an end time (which may be indefinite). The data in the database should only have a start date that is the same date as the effective date when the data becomes effective.

- The provider should be able to demonstrate that the data or information can only be published for the periods that it is effective.

C.2.8.3   Publishing or providing data and information

- The provider should be able to demonstrate that the data and information published in the IAIP and on aeronautical charts is of the required resolution and format for its intended use. This can be demonstrated if the products made available are in the format prescribed in ICAO Annex 15, ICAO Annex 4, ICAO Doc 10066, 8126 and Doc 8697. The exposition requires an example of each format used for the IAIP and aeronautical charts.

- The provider should also be able to demonstrate that data and information is provided to a data service provider in the required resolution and format. The provider must provide each data service provider with a DPS as part of their licence agreement. The DPS will contain the data to be supplied, when it is to be supplied, and the electronic means for transfer of the data.

- It is intended that the provider's automated data processing system will be used to exchange or transfer data to a data service provider. In this situation the exchange of the data should be subject to data protection. The provider should be able to demonstrate the level of protection provided to the data.

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024   Page **41** of **74**

OFFICIAL

C.2.8.4   Data integrity classifications

- ICAO Annex 15 describes three data integrity classification levels: routine, essential and critical. The validation and verification required of the data increases from routine to critical. Although there are three data integrity classifications, in an automated data processing environment the same procedures for processing the data are likely to be applied. Therefore, if the most rigorous integrity classification of critical is adopted then the provider should be able to demonstrate that its validation and verification procedures meet this classification.

C.2.9   Error Correction and Notification

References:	CASR: 175.175

Introduction

Errors and omissions can occur whenever systems are used for receiving data and information, processing data and information, publishing data and information, or making available data and information. Not all processes can be entirely automated and there will be some form of human intervention during the processing of data and information.

Error-producing faults in the entire process may be mitigated by additional data quality assurance techniques. These could include:

- application tests for critical data (e.g. by flight check)
- the use of security, logic, semantic, comparison, and redundancy checks
- digital error detection
- the qualification of human resources and process tools, such as hardware and software.

A QMS should include the necessary policies, processes and procedures, including those for the use of metadata, to ensure and verify that aeronautical data is traceable throughout the aeronautical information data chain, to allow any data anomalies or errors detected in use to be identified by root cause, corrected and communicated to affected users.

Regulation 175.175 sets out specific requirements for correction and notification of errors or omissions in data and information.

Things for Consideration

The following information may be of value in determining if the provider has appropriate error or omission correction procedures.

C.2.9.1   Error correction procedures

- Does the provider have documented procedures for identifying, recording, investigating errors and correcting errors by the most appropriate means?
- Does the provider have documented procedures for identifying the root cause of an error?
- Does the provider have documented procedures for implementing processes to ensure the root cause of an error has been eliminated?
- Does the provider have documented procedures for notifying users who have received the erroneous data?

C.2.9.2   Error notification procedures

- Does the provider have documented procedures for notifying CASA of significant errors?

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024   Page **42** of **74**

OFFICIAL

C.2.10 Security Program

References:	CASR: 175.180; 175.200(1)(r)

Introduction

The provider will typically have many security facilities that accommodate operational personnel, as well as data processing systems that are used to ensure the integrity of data and information is maintained. The security program should cover aeronautical data and information, operational personnel and physical security.

The purpose of a security program is to minimise the risk of unauthorised access or malicious damage to data and information, services or facilities. The provider's exposition must contain a copy of the provider's security program.

Things for Consideration

The following information may be of value in determining if the provider has an adequate security program.

C.2.10.1 Physical security

- The provider's security program must be robust and minimise the risk of unauthorised access or malicious damage to facilities.

- The provider should be able to demonstrate the physical security program and how intrusions are detected and managed.

C.2.10.2 Operational personnel

- The provider should have documented procedures for safeguarding operational personnel used by the provider in providing the AIS. This could be demonstrated by the restricted access to facilities by authorised personnel only.

C.2.10.3 Data and information

- The provider should be able to demonstrate how access to the data processing and Briefing systems is restricted to operational personnel.

- The data processing system should have additional integrity assurance processes to fully mitigate the effects of faults identified by thorough analysis of the overall system architecture. These additional integrity processes would normally be used for critical data. An example may be where changes cannot be made directly to the data in the data processing system but must be authorised prior to being accepted by the system.

- The provider should be able to demonstrate that when data and information is published on the internet or output in the form of data sets, it is not capable of being maliciously damaged. One means of demonstrating internet security is by employing established and recognised internet security protocols.

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024   Page **43** of **74**

OFFICIAL

C.3 Training and Checking

C.3.1 Training and Checking System

References:	CASR: 175.200(1)(w); 175.220; 175.255(1)(c)

Introduction

It is important for any organisation, and particularly in an organisation that is responsible for delivering high quality data and information used in sophisticated airborne navigation databases and applications, that personnel who are an integral part of the system possess and utilise the skills and competencies necessary to safely deliver quality assured aeronautical data and information.

The objective of the training and checking system is to:

- identify the functions to be performed

- identify the knowledge and skills required for each step of each of the aeronautical data processes

- provide assurance that the personnel assigned to each function have the required knowledge, skills and competencies to perform those functions.

Appropriate records of skills need to be kept by the provider so that the qualifications of personnel assigned to perform specific functions can be confirmed. The provider should also undertake appropriate checks periodically to ensure that personnel continue to meet the required standards and, if shortfalls in knowledge, skills or competencies are detected, corrective measures are taken.

Things for Consideration

The following information may be of value in determining if the provider has an appropriate training and checking system.

C.3.1.1 Competency

- The exposition must describe the provider's training and checking system and provide assurance that any individual performing any functions in the provision of the services is competent to perform those functions.

- The training and checking system should identify the competencies, qualifications and experience required for each operational position.

C.3.1.2 Training and proficiency

- The training and checking system should ensure that personnel remain competent to perform their functions. Performance appraisal schemes can be used to demonstrate that personnel remain proficient at performing their duties, identify deficiencies and needs for further or remedial training.

- The training and checking system should describe the ongoing training and learning development programs for operational personnel to maintain their competencies and proficiency compliant with competency-based training and assessment programmes, as provided for in the Manual on AIS Training (ICAO Doc 9991).

C.3.1.3 Currency, endorsements and recency

- Personnel working in the NOTAM and Briefing services offices need to meet additional requirements in order to be able to demonstrate their competency to perform their functions:

  - Currency – the provider should be able to demonstrate that personnel are up to date with their office's current procedures. This can be demonstrated by the conduct of regular examinations and assessments.

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024
Page **44** of **74**

OFFICIAL

– Endorsements – if particular functions require personnel to be endorsed before performing those functions, then the provider must be able to demonstrate that personnel performing those functions are appropriately endorsed. Certificates of Competence issued to NOTAM or Briefing services officers may demonstrate this requirement.

– Recency – The provider should be able to demonstrate the requirement for personnel to be recent in performing the duties of a NOTAM or Briefing services officer. This may be demonstrated through procedures that require personnel to undertake NOTAM and Briefing services Office duties for at least seven hours within the previous 30 days or if four or more endorsements are held, two hours per endorsement within the previous 30 days.

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024   Page **45** of **74**

OFFICIAL

C.4   Safety Management System

C.4.1   Safety Policy, Objectives and Planning

References:	CASR: 175.225(2)(b)

Introduction

In the SMS framework, management's commitment to and responsibility for safety is formally expressed as a series of statements in the provider's safety policy. The safety policy reflects the provider's philosophy of safety management and becomes the foundation on which the SMS is built. The safety policy outlines what the provider will do to achieve the desired safety outcomes.

Safety objectives often accompany the safety policy. They define what the provider wishes to achieve, and the safety policy declares the provider's commitment to achieving them. The safety objectives should state an intended safety outcome and may be expressed in terms of short-, medium- and long-term safety objectives. The safety objectives, like the safety policy, should be publicised and widely distributed across the provider's organisation.

Things for Consideration

The safety policy, objectives and planning must provide information and statements covering:

- management commitment and responsibility for safety
- safety accountabilities of managers
- appointment of safety management personnel
- human factors integration into the SMS
- SMS implementation plan
- relevant third-party relationships and interactions
- coordination of an Emergency Response Plan (ERP)
- SMS documentation.

The following information may be of value in determining if the provider has captured all of the appropriate elements required for the safety policy, objectives and planning.

Specific considerations for each of the elements above are described in the subsequent headings.

C.4.1.1   Safety policy

- The safety policy may be contained within an SMS manual and may also be promulgated around the organisation to highlight management's commitment to safety.
- The safety policy should set a clear, high-level direction for the provider to follow in order to manage safety effectively.
- The safety policy should:
  - reflect the organisation's commitment to safety
  - highlight the organisation structures in place to systematically manage safety
  - include a clear statement about the provision of the necessary resources for the implementation and maintenance of the SMS and the safety policy
  - include safety reporting procedures
  - clearly articulate which behaviours are unacceptable in relation to the organisation's aviation activities, and include the circumstances under which disciplinary action will not apply

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024
Page **46** of **74**

OFFICIAL

– be signed by the accountable manager of the organisation (e.g. CEO)

– be communicated, with visible endorsement, throughout the organisation

– be periodically reviewed to ensure it remains relevant and appropriate to the organisation and the conduct of the authorised Part 175 activities.

C.4.1.2   Safety objectives and planning

- Safety objectives should state intended safety outcomes. Some examples may be:

  – providing feedback to staff on safety reports within 2 weeks

  – to note an increase in safety reporting by 20% over the next 12 months.

- Safety objectives may be expressed as short, medium and/or long-term desired outcomes.

- Safety objectives should be SMART (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Realistic and Timely) so that their effectiveness can be measured.

- The provider should have a documented plan of action (implementation plan/phased approach) to achieve each specified safety objective. For example:

  – Phase 1 may be objectives to be addressed within 6 months

  – Phase 2 may be objectives to be addressed within 12 months

  – Phase 3 may be objectives to be addressed within 24 months.

- The provider should carry out a periodic review of the stated safety objectives to ensure they are still relevant, and that they are providing desired outcomes in line with the organisation's strategic safety goals.

C.4.1.3   Safety accountabilities of managers

- Evidence should be provided that verifies the Accountable Manager, irrespective of other functions, as having ultimate accountability and responsibility for the implementation and maintenance of the SMS.

- Lines of safety accountability throughout the organisation should be clearly defined, including direct accountability for safety on the part of senior management.

- The accountabilities of all members of management, irrespective of other functions, as well as of employees with respect to the safety performance of the SMS, should be identified.

- Safety responsibilities, accountabilities and authorities should be documented, normally within the SMS manual, and communicated throughout the organisation so that everyone is aware of their respective roles and responsibilities.

- Management positions with authority to make decisions regarding safety risk tolerability should be defined.

C.4.1.4   Appointment of safety management personnel

- Depending on the size and complexity of the organisation, safety personnel should have operational aviation management experience, an adequate technical background to understand the systems supporting the activities and a sound understanding of safety management principles.

- The provider may have other responsible safety management personnel, in addition to the accountable manager, to provide guidance and direction on the SMS. This may be common in large or complex organisations where there may also be a need for safety committees to oversight various departments within the organisation. Examples of these safety committees may include:

  – a Safety Review Board (SRB) or Safety Committee

  – a Safety Action Group (SAG).

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024   Page **47** of **74**

OFFICIAL

Safety Review Board/Safety Committee

- The size or complexity of the organisation will determine whether the provider has a SRB, as the highest-level internal safety-related meeting. For smaller providers, a safety committee may provide the guidance required for the provider's SMS. Normally an SRB would provide direction to the organisation's various SAGs, whereas a safety committee may address all of the provider's SMS issues.

- If the provider has an SRB, then it should be chaired by the accountable manager, or a non-executive director with the accountable manager in attendance, and include any other responsible safety personnel. A safety committee would typically consist of the accountable manager, other members of the senior management team and safety personnel.

- In determining whether the organisation has provided sufficient evidence to show that the SRB/Safety Committee is appropriate for its size, complexity and scope of work, consideration should be given to the accountability, membership and terms of reference of the SRB/Safety Committee.

- The SRB/Safety Committee should be chaired by the accountable manager, demonstrating their accountability for the SMS.

- Typically the SRB/Safety Committee membership would comprise of the accountable manager, senior managers and any safety personnel.

- The terms of reference for the SRB/Safety Committee should be documented within an SMS manual. The SRB/Safety Committee may be responsible for:

  – monitoring the effectiveness of the organisation's safety management processes

  – monitoring the effectiveness of the corporate oversight processes which independently validate the organisation's safety performance

  – monitoring and reviewing the organisation's safety/hazard reports and reviewing controls/defences within the organisation's risk management plan

  – ensuring any corrective action is being taken in a timely manner

  – monitoring the organisation's safety performance, including review of safety objectives and performance indicators

  – ensuring appropriate resources are allocated to meet agreed actions which enhance safety performance beyond that required by regulatory compliance

  – monitoring the effectiveness of safety oversight of sub-contracted operations carried out on behalf of the organisation

  – giving strategic direction and guidance to the organisation's SAGs.

Safety Action Group

- SAGs should be responsible to, and take strategic direction and guidance from, the SRB. SAGs should usually comprise of a representative section of the responsible line management and supervisory staff of all departments in the organisation, but also other disciplines such as financial and commercial.

- In a large organisation there may be more than one SAG. These groups should meet periodically to support the identification of hazards and the assessment of risks faced by the organisation, and to suggest methods of mitigation. They should also support the systematic review of safety-related standards and procedures, as well as providing experienced advice on major aviation safety issues.

- In determining whether the organisation has provided sufficient evidence to show that the SAG is appropriate for its size, complexity and scope of work, consideration should be given to the accountability, membership and terms of reference of the SAG.

- SAGs should be responsible to the organisation's SRB. Each SAG should be chaired by the appropriate functional director and the SAG responsible for that function's contribution, development and improvement of the SMS.

- SAG membership should normally be drawn from managers, supervisors and safety personnel from within the appropriate functional area.

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024
Page **48** of **74**

OFFICIAL

- The terms of reference for the SAG should be documented within an SMS manual. The SAG should be responsible for:
  – overseeing operational safety within the functional area of responsibility
  – ensuring any corrective action is taken in a timely manner
  – reporting to, and accepting strategic direction from, the corporate SRB.

- The SAG terms of reference may include:
  – ensuring that hazard identification and risk assessments are carried out, reviewed and monitored as appropriate (with involvement of staff as necessary to increase safety awareness)
  – ensuring that satisfactory arrangements (e.g. hazard reports) exist for safety data capture and actioning of personnel feedback
  – ensuring that suitable safety performance indicators are developed and are regularly reviewed for the functional area
  – convening of meetings to ensure that effective opportunities are available for all personnel to participate fully in the management of safety
  – ensuring that adequate investigation of safety events/issues takes place and that safety reviews are conducted and any actions arising tracked to completion
  – ensuring that appropriate safety, emergency and technical training of personnel is carried out to meet or exceed minimum regulatory requirements.

C.4.1.5   Human factors integration

- The provider's integration of HF into the SMS should provide a managerial and organisational framework to ensure the systematic identification and analysis of relevant HF issues, and the application of appropriate tools, methods and measures to address such issues. The practical and methodical application of HF within an SMS is essential to ensure compliance and to optimise human performance.

- The basic HF principles a provider should adopt to integrate HF into their SMS are:
  – adoption of a holistic and integrated approach for HF principles into the organisation's SMS
  – putting people at the centre of the system
  – accounting for human variability
  – ensuring transparency of organisational processes and actions
  – taking into account social and organisational influences
  – involving staff and respecting and valuing their input
  – encouraging timely, relevant and clear two-way communication
  – ensuring fairness of treatment.

- The following elements of the SMS require an integration of HF principles:
  – risk management
  – management of change
  – the design and procurement of systems, equipment and facilities, and their subsequent use
  – HF training
  – job and task design
  – safety reporting and data analysis
  – incident investigation.

Risk management

- Integration may be demonstrated by processes that:
  - acknowledge HF issues within the organisation's hazard identification process
  - ensure risk management strategies are applied during any proposed organisational changes
  - recognise different human error types (slips, lapses, mistakes etc.)
  - include human error management within the risk assessment process
  - acknowledge fatigue related hazards.

Management of change

- Integration may be demonstrated by processes that:
  - ensure transparency of organisational processes and actions
  - involve staff by encouraging two-way communication
  - identify personnel who are likely to be affected by the proposed change
  - identify roles and tasks likely to be affected by the proposed change.

The design and procurement of systems, equipment and facilities, and their subsequent usability

- Integration may be demonstrated by processes that:
  - identify how personnel within the organisation may be affected by modifications to systems, facilities and/or equipment
  - identify how personnel may potentially interact with the new system, facility and/or equipment
  - assess the risks associated with new systems, facilities and equipment.

Human Factors training of personnel

- Integration may be demonstrated by:
  - internal/external HF training for personnel
  - a periodic review process of HF training to ensure effectiveness and relevance.

Job and task design

- Integration may be demonstrated by processes that:
  - identify human performance limitations, such as:
    » tasks involving time pressures
    » tasks involving complex sequencing of events
    » tasks that involve memory reliance.
  - identify safety critical tasks, and the personnel that perform them
  - take into consideration the working environmental conditions.

Safety reporting and data analysis

- Integration may be demonstrated by:
  - a safety culture-based non-punitive hazard and incident reporting system
  - provision of confidential reporting
  - formal and informal meetings to openly discuss safety concerns
  - feedback from management regarding action taken as a result of safety meetings and hazard/incident reports.

Incident investigation

- Integration may be demonstrated by:
  - the use of conceptual models (such as Reason's model of accident causation) to determine active, latent and organisational failures
  - the adoption of a positive safety culture where the organisation seeks to learn lessons from mistakes
  - the provision of HF training for investigators, to provide the necessary skills to examine possible human performance limitations that may have contributed to an event
  - ensuring fairness of treatment during incident investigations.

C.4.1.6   SMS implementation plan

- The SMS implementation plan should be a detailed guide which defines the approach to the implementation of the SMS. It should be a realistic plan for implementing an SMS that meets the provider's safety strategy, safety objectives, safety management activities, resource implications, safety training, safety promotion and timelines.

- In determining whether a provider has provided sufficient evidence to show that their SMS implementation plan is appropriate for their organisation, consideration should be given to:
  - the gap analysis used to determine the components and elements of the SMS
  - the major elements of an SMS implementation plan
  - the provider's approach and methodology in implementing the plan.

Gap analysis

- In implementing an SMS the provider should undertake a gap analysis to determine which components and elements of an SMS are currently in place, and which components need to be added or modified to meet SMS regulatory requirements.

- Items identified in a gap analysis as missing or deficient should form the basis of the SMS implementation plan. The provider should adapt the SMS to:
  - the size, complexity and scope of the organisation and its proposed activities
  - the hazards and risks inherent with the proposed activities.

Implementation plan

- The provider's initial gap analysis should form part of the SMS implementation plan.

- Major elements that should be addressed within the implementation plan include:
  - Safety Policy, Objectives and Planning, including details of:
    » management commitment to, and responsibility for, safety
    » safety accountabilities of managers
    » the appointment of safety management personnel
    » HF integration into the SMS
    » relevant third-party relationships and interactions
    » coordination of an ERP
    » SMS documentation.
  - Safety Risk Management, including processes for:
    » hazard identification
    » risk assessment and mitigation.
  - Safety Assurance, including details of processes for:

>> safety performance monitoring and measurement

>> internal safety investigation

>> management of changes

>> continuous improvement of the SMS.

– Safety Training and Promotion, including details of:

>> SMS training and education

>> SMS safety communication.

- The implementation plan should provide details on the development of processes (e.g. hazard identification and risk assessment, reporting processes etc.) and how the provider intends to implement all of the key SMS components and elements.

Phased approach

- Due to possible deficiencies a provider faces after an initial gap analysis, it would be unrealistic to impose tight timeframes for SMS implementation. Depending on the size and complexity of the organisation, 12 to 18 months is normally sufficient time to implement an SMS - up to 24 months.

- It is recommended that providers undertake a phased approach to SMS implementation. If a phased approach is undertaken it should include realistic timelines for starting and completing each of the major SMS elements.

C.4.1.7   Relevant third-party relationships and interactions

- The provider's SMS should ensure that the level of safety of the provider is not eroded or compromised by the inputs, services and supplies provided by external (third party) organisations (e.g. Geoscience Australia).

- The provider holds the overall responsibility for the safety of services provided by a contractor. Therefore, any agreement between the provider and a third party must specify the expected safety standards that the provider will ensure the contractor complies with.

- A provider should ensure the following minimum standards apply when engaging third party contractors:

– service level agreements

– evidence of contractor prior safety performance

– evidence of contractor experience and qualifications.

Service Level Agreements

- There should be a written contract, known as a Service Level Agreement (SLA), in place between the provider and the contractor prior to services being provided.

- All SLAs should contain a schedule of identified oversight items and issues to monitor the contractors' performance on a regular basis.

- All agreements should detail how any noted safety hazards and deficiencies will be addressed, and the response timeframe.

- Where a service being provided is CASA-licensed, approved or certified, the written agreement should require the contractor to advise the organisation of any CASA regulatory action that may affect their ability to provide the required services.

Contractor performance/qualifications

- All third-party providers should hold the appropriate qualifications/credentials or approvals for the work being carried out.

- All third-party providers should be able to demonstrate that they are providing trained and competent staff.

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024   Page **52** of **74**

OFFICIAL

- All third parties should understand the provider's SMS, and their responsibilities within it. This should be accomplished by third party SMS induction training delivered by the provider.

- There should be a mechanism in place where the provider can assess the third party's previous safety record, before the contracted services commence.

C.4.1.8   Coordination of an Emergency Response Plan

- An ERP is an integral part of the provider's SMS and should be established to facilitate management of a hazardous event or accident and mitigate the impact on normal operations.

- The provider's ERP should:
    – assign responsibilities to specific individuals
    – provide emergency procedures
    – control notification to outside agencies (fire, police etc)
    – nominate channels and centres of communication
    – provide for internal emergency response
    – provide effective liaison with accident investigators and outside emergency services
    – provide methods for communicating with the public in the event of a major incident.

- The provider should ensure that their ERP is properly coordinated with the ERPs of those organisations it must interface with during the conduct of the proposed activities.

- An ERP may be a stand-alone document, may form part of a provider's SMS manual, or may be a combination of both. For example, the ERP policies, roles and responsibilities may be contained within an SMS manual, and immediate response information may be contained in easily accessible booklets, pamphlets etc.

- As a minimum, the provider's ERP should include the following elements:
    – purpose of the ERP
    – when to activate the ERP
    – external agency interface
    – casualty and next-of-kin coordination
    – accident investigation
    – coordination of the ERP
    – preservation of evidence
    – media relations
    – claims and insurance procedures
    – emergency response planning.

- The provider should have a means for ensuring that personnel are adequately trained and familiar with the procedures that will be employed in the event of an accident or serious incident. This should include rehearsing plans regularly and providing training, including:
    – actual scenario-based training on-site
    – desktop exercises
    – safety stand-down day review.

- The provider should ensure that personnel are aware of the location of the ERP instructions to enable efficient access in case of an emergency. This may be achieved by the provider ensuring the emergency response posters, instructions and information pamphlets etc. are accessible in all relevant workplaces.

- Following an ERP training exercise, or should personnel have feedback relating to the provider's ERP which will provide improvements, the provider should have a mechanism in place to incorporate lessons learned into the SMS and ERP.

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024   Page **53** of **74**

OFFICIAL

- The provider's mechanism for incorporating lessons learned should ensure that feedback and improvements are widely disseminated throughout the provider's organisation, to ensure personnel are aware of the lessons learned. Methods the organisation can employ to achieve this may be via:
  – company intranet
  – safety newsletters
  – safety stand-down days.

C.4.1.9   SMS documentation

- A provider's SMS should be supported by robust, current and controlled documentation.

- The provider should ensure the requirements for personnel to support the SMS, at all levels, are documented and the relevant documents are freely available.

- The provider's safety documentation should demonstrate to all personnel and third parties that business is conducted based on safety management principles.

- If the provider's procedures are in separate manuals (as may happen in larger organisations), the provider should clearly publicise this so that all personnel have simple and effective access to detailed information about the SMS procedures and processes.

- A provider's SMS documentation should consist of:
  – an SMS implementation plan
  – an SMS manual, describing the SMS.

Implementation plan

- The SMS documentation should include the SMS implementation plan.

SMS manual

- The provider's exposition may include an SMS manual which describes the safety management system. The SMS manual would generally be developed and maintained as part of the provider's SMS documentation.

- The most effective method to document all SMS procedures, policies and practices is to consolidate all the information within one manual (an SMS manual).

- An SMS manual should:
  – contain all the written policies, procedures and instructions covering the provider's SMS standards and SMS requirements
  – be concise and clearly written to facilitate easy comprehension and application.

- Any information that may change regularly in an SMS manual (e.g. personnel assigned with specific safety roles and responsibilities) may be put into appendices/annexes to the SMS manual to enable this information to be easily updated and maintained.

- All SMS components and elements should be documented within the SMS manual.

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024   Page **54** of **74**

OFFICIAL

C.4.2   Safety Risk Management

References:	CASR: 175.225(2)(c)

Introduction

Safety risk management is the identification of hazards and the analysis, evaluation and mitigation of associated risks to an acceptable level. The systematic identification and treating of risks and hazards in an organisation, together with the continual monitoring and communication of the risk management processes, are vital to the sustainability and effectiveness of the SMS.

Things for Consideration

The following information may be of value in determining if the provider has sufficient processes to ensure hazards are identified and associated risks analysed and mitigated, and where possible eliminated.

C.4.2.1   Hazard identification

- The provider must develop and maintain a process that ensures hazards associated with its aviation processes are identified.

- The provider's hazard identification process should be based on a combination of reactive, proactive and predictive methods of safety data collection.

- The starting point for any safety risk management process needs to be the establishment of the context of hazard identification. The provider must have a systematic and comprehensive hazard identification process because hazards not identified at this stage may be excluded from further risk analysis and mitigation.

C.4.2.2   Risk management process

- The specific design and development, integration and implementation of the organisation's safety risk management process will be influenced by the size, complexity and requirements of the provider, its processes, policies, practices and its SMS.

- At a minimum, the provider should employ the following risk management methodology:

  – Hazard Identification - identification of hazards that could adversely affect people, equipment, property or the environment.

  – Assess and Rank - assessment of the risks in regard to likelihood and severity of the hazards and their rank in order of importance.

  – Controls - identification of the current controls/processes in place to manage the hazards.

  – Evaluate - evaluation of the effectiveness of each defence/control (i.e. has the hazard been reduced to as low as reasonably practicable?).

  – Further Mitigation - identification of additional defences/controls to be implemented to mitigate the hazards/risks (i.e. is the risk now as low as reasonably practicable?).

  – Record, Monitor and Review - recording, and continual review and monitoring, of the information in a hazard/risk register, as well as the effectiveness of all steps of the risk management process.

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024   Page **55** of **74**

OFFICIAL

C.4.3   Safety Assurance System

References:	CASR: 175.225(2)(d)

Introduction

Safety assurance systems need to include the following internal elements:

- Safety Performance Monitoring and Measurement - a provider must be able to receive appropriate feedback within their respective SMS, so that the safety management cycle can be completed. The feedback is utilised to evaluate system performance and to implement changes to the system if required. Furthermore, it gives stakeholders an indication of the level of safety within the organisation.

- Internal Safety Investigation - enables a provider to investigate occurrences that are not required to be investigated or reported to the Australian Transport Safety Bureau or CASA, and investigate potential hazards that would only be revealed through a systematic investigation.

- Management of Change - a provider should have a formal process for identifying internal and external change that may affect established processes and services, or have an adverse effect on safety.

- Continuous Improvement of the SMS - all providers (regardless of size and complexity) require a means of regular review to ensure the aims and objectives of the SMS are being achieved. Periodic safety reviews validate the SMS and pave the way for continuous improvement. Regular review and evaluation allow the provider's senior management to pursue continuous improvements in safety management and ensure that the SMS remains effective and relevant to the conduct of the provider's activities.

Things for Consideration

The following information may be of value in determining if the provider has an appropriate safety assurance system in place to ensure the organisation's safety objectives are being met and periodically reviewed for relevance.

C.4.3.1   Safety performance monitoring and measurement

- A provider's safety performance monitoring program should be specifically adapted to determine the best methods employed according to the organisation's size and complexity.

- A typical safety performance monitoring program will employ the following:

    – safety performance

    – safety monitoring

    – safety measurement

    – safety review.

Safety performance program

- An effective safety performance program may include:

    – an effective hazard reporting system

    – safety objectives where 'SMART' targets have been established

    – defined and promulgated safety performance indicators

    – relevant safety performance indicators that are linked to the provider's safety objectives

    – safety objectives, safety targets and safety performance indicators that are reviewed and updated periodically.

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024   Page **56** of **74**

OFFICIAL

Safety monitoring

- The provider's periodic monitoring processes may include:
  – monitoring and reporting on safety management activities (by the safety committee, SAG or SRB)
  – measuring and reporting on safety management performance
  – monitoring and trend analysis of safety performance indicators.

Safety measurement

- The provider may accomplish safety measurements through:
  – safety surveys/questionnaires
  – safety studies
  – internal/external safety audits, that include:
    » assessing normal operations
    » ensuring adequate resources are available to carry out the audits
    » ensuring personnel are adequately trained to carry out the audits
    » assessing risk mitigations and controls/defences to ensure they remain relevant
    » tracking audit findings through to completion
    » conducting feedback and trend analyses to identify systemic issues throughout the organisation and appropriate actions to be taken.

Safety review

- Safety review may include:
  – a feedback mechanism within the program to ensure relevant data is collected, analysed and used to assess safety performance
  – systematic review and follow-up on all reports of identified safety issues
  – communication to all stakeholders of the level of safety within the organisation.

C.4.3.2   Internal safety investigation

- The scale and scope of any investigation should be suitable to determine and validate the underlying hazards. A systems approach is useful to provide a broad appreciation of the context of any occurrence. Effort expended should be proportional to the perceived benefit to the organisation in terms of identifying hazards and risks.

- The provider's internal safety investigation system should include:
  – a reporting system
  – an investigation policy
  – the investigation methodology
  – investigation recommendations and follow-up.

Reporting system

- The provider should have certain processes in place for personnel to report hazards or events in the workplace. Processes that enable reporting may include:
  – a paper-based reporting system
  – a web-based reporting system
  – a reporting system on the company's intranet.

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024   Page **57** of **74**

OFFICIAL

- The provider should have a documented procedure to determine what hazards and events need to be investigated. The procedure should be able to demonstrate that the provider has a review, classification and decision process in place to establish which hazards and events are investigated, and how thoroughly.

Investigation policy

- Documentation for internal safety investigations should be clearly documented within the provider's SMS. Points covered should include:
  - the scope of the investigation
  - the composition of the investigation team
  - how investigation outcomes are recorded for follow-up trend analysis
  - the timeframes for completion.

- The provider's investigation policy documented within the SMS should highlight the purpose of the investigation. The policy should clearly state that:
  - each investigation will be systematic in nature (focus on the 'why' rather than just the 'what')
  - the purpose of each investigation will not be to apportion blame to individuals, confirming that safety culture principles apply in relation to individual or team behaviours (therefore not focussing solely on 'who' was involved)
  - all contributing factors to the event should be considered, as well as root causes, rather than focusing only on the active failure (i.e. the event itself).

Investigation methodology

- The extent of each investigation will depend on the actual and potential consequences of the hazard or event. The provider may determine this through an initial risk assessment. Where resources are limited, the provider needs to determine that the effort expended, in terms of identifying hazards and risks to the organisation, will be proportional to the perceived benefit of the investigation.

- The provider should have a means to ensure personnel conducting internal safety investigations are trained in aviation safety and safety investigations.

- The provider should provide the safety investigator with:
  - the authority to interview personnel or managers
  - access to the source of any relevant company information.

Investigation recommendations

- The provider should have a means for:
  - using identified safety issues (as a result of an investigation) in re- evaluating existing risk controls and defences
  - ensuring that identified safety issues and lessons learned, as well as further controls and defences incorporated to prevent a recurrence of the hazard or event, are reviewed by the appropriate safety committee
  - ensuring that recommendations are used to improve or amend the organisation's SMS.

- Identified safety issues, lessons learned and controls and defences implemented to prevent the recurrence of a hazard or event should be disseminated throughout the provider's organisation. Methods the provider may use to facilitate this include:
  - safety stand down days
  - company intranet
  - safety newsletter
  - specific safety posters, prominently displayed within the provider's headquarters and training bases.

C.4.3.3 Management of change

- The management of change process included in the provider's SMS should be a formal process to be used in the event of external and/or internal change that may affect established processes and services.

- The management of change process should utilise the provider's existing risk management processes to ensure that there is no adverse effect on safety.

- The provider's management of change process within an SMS should only focus on hazard identification and the controls or defences to be employed to improve the safety in the conduct of the Part 175 activities. Other potential risk factors (such as a lack of business growth) may also be considered; whilst they are additional to the scope of SMS management of change, they may have the potential to affect operational safety.

- In determining whether the provider's management of change process is appropriate, consideration should be given to the provider's processes for:

  – identifying the change

  – managing the change

  – monitoring during and review after the change.

Management of change identification

- Changes that require a formal risk assessment, such as organisational changes, should be clearly identified and documented in the provider's SMS. Organisational changes that may require a formal risk assessment may include:

  – implementation of new design systems

  – amendments or modifications to new procedures or operations

  – appointment of a new senior management team or senior managers

  – changes to the work environment

  – new training programs

  – changes in customer expectations or requirements

  – relocation or expansion

  – reallocation of resources.

Management of change process

- The provider's management of change process should involve the following steps:

  – develop the case

  – conduct a risk assessment and planning

  – prepare the plan

  – implement the change

  – on-going monitoring and review.

- The provider's management of change process should demonstrate that:

  – the changes made are implemented in a prudent and staggered way in order to minimise potential adverse effects on organisational and operational safety

  – the use of resources and the involvement of personnel in the process will not impact operational safety

  – a review of previous risk assessments and existing known hazards, and current controls or defences, are undertaken to determine possible validity and consequence

  – communication and consultation take place with all key stakeholders during the management of change process.

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024   Page **59** of **74**

OFFICIAL

Monitoring and review

- To ensure changes incorporated do not alter the provider's priorities, the provider should have a means to ensure implementation is constantly monitored and reviewed and where necessary, adjusted.

- The provider should ensure that communication and consultation take place with all key stakeholders during the ongoing monitoring and review of changes.

C.4.3.4　Continuous improvement of the SMS

- The provider must have processes for continuous improvement of the overall performance of the SMS. The provider should monitor and assess the effectiveness of its SMS processes to enable such improvement. Methods to achieve this may include:
  - a continual improvement process
  - feedback mechanisms
  - review and follow-up of feedback mechanisms.

Continuous improvement process

- The continuous improvement process may be achieved and demonstrated by:
  - formal annual review of the SMS by the SRB (or equivalent)
  - regular monitoring of safety performance against stated safety objectives
  - identifying hazards, and employing appropriate controls or defences in a timely manner
  - reactive evaluations, following incidents, accidents or investigations, to verify the effectiveness of controls and defences.

Feedback mechanisms for continuous improvement

- Feedback methods employed to determine and measure whether the continuous improvement process is effective may include:
  - internal safety audits
  - regular internal and external (third party) safety surveys
  - evaluation of individual performance to verify safety responsibilities
  - tracking organisational changes to ensure they are relevant and effective
  - regular SAG or safety committee meetings to provide high-level SMS review details to the SRB for consideration.

Review and follow-up

- The provider should have a means for ensuring follow-up from feedback mechanisms is reviewed and considered by the accountable manager and safety committee to ensure issues raised are addressed to the SRB (or equivalent).

- Any incorporated improvement processes included in the provider's SMS should be communicated to all personnel.

- Following review, the provider should ensure that any amendments or additions to the SMS are monitored and evaluated for ongoing effectiveness. The provider should provide a means for ensuring that evidence of improvements is documented as a part of the continual improvement process.

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024　　　　　　Page **60** of **74**

OFFICIAL

C.4.4   Safety Promotion

References:	CASR: 175.225(2)(e)

Introduction

An SMS must have a safety promotion system which includes SMS training and education and safety communication. Safety training is related to, but different from, safety promotion. A provider should ensure that their personnel are trained and competent to perform their roles within the SMS, and that the training programs are 'tailored' to suit the needs and complexity of the organisation.

Safety promotion assists in setting the SMS tone and aids in building a robust safety culture. Safety promotion communicates the lessons learned, safety information, safety procedures, and key safety messages from senior management that can also assist the organisation to foster improved safety performance.

Things for Consideration

The following information may be of value in determining if the provider has an appropriate safety promotion system in place for the organisation's size and complexity.

C.4.4.1   SMS training and education

- Providing appropriate safety training to all personnel highlights management's commitment to providing an effective SMS. The provider should have a means to ensure that the key function of SMS training is to create awareness of the SMS for all personnel (internal and external) involved in the system.

- The provider's SMS training should focus on the identification and reduction of hazards in the system, and the significance of the human component in achieving this.

- Depending on the size and complexity of the organisation, the SMS training may include:

    – SMS training for all personnel, and where possible third-party service providers

    – SMS training aimed at the safety responsibilities of senior management.

- The provider should develop and maintain a safety training program that ensures personnel are trained and competent to perform their SMS duties. The scope of the safety training program should be appropriate to each individual's involvement in the organisation's SMS.

- The provider's SMS training program should include:

    – the conduct of a Training Needs Analysis (TNA)

    – an SMS Induction Course

    – SMS recurrent training

    – continuous improvement and review of the SMS courses.

Conduct of a Training Needs Analysis

- In order to develop an internal SMS training program, the provider should have undertaken a TNA to determine what level of SMS training is required.

SMS Induction Course

- The provider should have a means for ensuring that all personnel, including safety-critical personnel, operational personnel, supervisors, managers and senior management, take part in an SMS induction course and recurrent SMS training.

- The provider should ensure that their SMS induction course is made available to third party contractors, part-time employees and temporary workers who are conducting Part 175 activities.

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024   Page **61** of **74**

OFFICIAL

SMS recurrent training

- The provider should be able to demonstrate that they have an on-going program of SMS training for all employees.

- While the recurrent training doesn't necessarily have to be the same as the SMS induction training, the training should cover:

  – a review of the organisation's SMS principles

  – hazard identification and risk mitigation (risk assessment)

  – hazard reporting

  – review of safety occurrences and reports

  – any changes or improvements to the organisation's SMS

  – safety objectives (i.e. have targets been met?)

  – HF principles.

Continuous improvement

- The provider should demonstrate that information gathered from various feedback mechanisms (e.g. critiques/surveys) is used to regularly review and amend future courses. This process should form part of the continuous improvement cycle of the organisation's SMS.

C.4.4.2   SMS safety communication

- The provider's on-going safety promotion and communication program should ensure that the personnel benefit from safety lessons learned and continue to understand the organisation's SMS.

- Safety communication is essential to maintaining two-way communication, ensuring that all staff are informed and that their feedback is captured and acted upon where appropriate.

- At a minimum safety communication should:

  – ensure all staff are aware of the organisations SMS

  – convey safety critical information

  – explain why particular actions are taken

  – explain why safety procedures are introduced or changed.

- The provider may also use safety communication as a valuable tool to communicate supplementary safety principles and information to staff.

- The provider should have developed a formal means for safety communication that:

  – ensures personnel are aware of the SMS to a degree commensurate with their positions

  – conveys safety-critical information

  – explains why particular safety actions are taken

  – explains why safety procedures are introduced or changed.

- The provider should have methods to achieve safety communication. Such methods should include:

  – standards for safety communication

  – the delivery of safety communication

  – the feedback and review loops for safety communication.

Standards for safety communication

- All methods of safety communication require competence, skill and experience in order to be effective. The provider should have a means for their senior management personnel to determine the best methods for getting the SMS message across as a part of the organisation's safety strategy.

- The provider should have a means to ensure, through effective communication, that all personnel are aware of the SMS to a degree commensurate with their positions.

- The provider should have a means to ensure they use their safety communication processes to highlight relevant hazard reporting outcomes, recommendations from safety meetings, internal investigations, and to highlight various improvements to the SMS (e.g. why particular safety actions are taken, why safety procedures are introduced or changed).

- Safety communication is closely linked with safety training and the dissemination of information. Therefore, the provider should base safety topics on the experience of past events and/or incidents, hazards or potential hazards raised by recent hazard analyses, and observations from routine internal safety audits.

- Where appropriate, the provider may have a means for sending some safety- related outcomes or information to third party contractors or customers in order to highlight the provider's commitment to improving safety.

Safety promotion delivery

- The provider may deliver safety communication and promotion internally through various methods, such as:
  - SMS training courses
  - a safety newsletter or bulletin
  - posters
  - DVDs
  - a safety stand-down day
  - workshops or seminars.

Safety communication feedback and review

- In order to be effective, safety communication should be a two-way process. The provider should provide a means for managers to convey safety messages, and for personnel to be able to voice their concerns and have them acted upon so that the feedback loop is closed. Various methods may be used to achieve this, such as:
  - surveys
  - questionnaires
  - observations
  - interviews.

- As part of the continual improvement process the provider should have a means to evaluate whether the current communication processes are being received, and are relevant and understood.

- The provider should have a means to ensure safety communication content and methodologies are reviewed in response to feedback.

- The provider should have a means for ensuring that safety-related outcomes are widely published. It is essential that the provider publishes safety-related outcomes raised through the hazard/risk reporting process. This ensures that safety messages communicated and promoted by the organisation are widely read, understood and acted upon.

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024   Page **63** of **74**

OFFICIAL

C.5 Quality Management System

C.5.1 Quality Management Procedures

References:	CASR: 175.155; 175.175; 175.200(1)(y); 175.220; 175.230; 175.255(1)(c)

Introduction

ICAO Annex 15 amplifies the necessity for a QMS when it states that 'corrupt or erroneous aeronautical information/data can potentially affect the safety of air navigation'. Aeronautical information distributed by means of AIPs, including aeronautical charts, and by NOTAM, PIBs, AICs and other products and services provided by an AIS, has an inherent and essential need to fulfil specific requirements in order to serve its intended purpose and meet the needs of users.

The basic characteristics of aeronautical information are those of adequacy, availability and timeliness. The degree to which these and other characteristics fulfil requirements is referred to as 'quality'.

The need for aeronautical data and information of a required quality is an imperative in the current air traffic management system in which a higher accuracy of data is required to support RNAV, RNP and airborne navigation databases and applications. Quality requirements for aeronautical data and information have evolved to include characteristics such as integrity, accuracy, order of publication and charting resolution, and protection of electronic data.

In addition to specifying quality requirements for aeronautical data, ICAO Annex 15 requires contracting states to introduce a QMS to implement quality management at each of the functional stages of originating (or collecting), collating or assembling, editing, formatting, storing, publishing and distributing of aeronautical information. ICAO Annex 15 recommends that this requirement be met by establishing a quality system that complies with ISO 9001 and published additional guidance in the Manual for Quality Management System for Aeronautical Information Services (ICAO Doc 9839).

The ISO 9000 series of standards and associated guidelines are based on principles which emphasize satisfying the "customer" and meeting customer requirements. The underlying justification is that it is the customer upon whom a business or service depends on and who ultimately determines the acceptability of the product or the service delivered. The customers, in an Aeronautical Information Management (AIM) context, are equivalent to users of aeronautical information/data (pilots, aircraft operators, air traffic controllers, flight planning organisations, general aviation, data vendors, etc.).

A QMS which is compliant with ISO 9001 encourages organisations to analyse customer requirements, define the processes that contribute to the achievement of a product which is acceptable to the customer, and keep those processes controlled. This approach expresses, in a generic way, the requirement in ICAO Annex 15 for validation and verification procedures to be established which ensure aeronautical data quality (accuracy, resolution, integrity) and traceability.

At the core of ISO 9001 is the 'process approach' which defines a process as any activity that resources and transforms inputs into outputs. A simple example of an AIM process is data input into a database which is converted to output for chart production. This process may be linked to a previous or succeeding process, and within this process may be other processes, such as verification of the data against certain established parameters.

The QMS requirements focus on systematically identifying, organising, documenting, managing and improving processes, and interactions between processes.

Things for Consideration

The following information may be of value in determining if the provider has implemented an appropriate QMS.

C.5.1.1 AIS functions

- The QMS should be implemented and maintained to address all functions of the AIS provided, and each functional stage should be auditable. The exposition must describe the provider's QMS and should detail each function and the processes surrounding those functions.

C.5.1.2 Aeronautical data quality

- Quality management should be applicable to the whole aeronautical data chain from data origination to distribution to the next intended user, taking into consideration the intended use of data. DPSs provided to data originators and licence agreements with data service providers should ensure the quality of data received by the AIS and the data supplied to commercial data suppliers. Compliance with the required publication and charting resolutions should provide sufficient evidence that the data quality meets the requirements of the end user.

- The QMS should provide assurance that the aeronautical data is applicable during its period of intended use. This can be demonstrated through the temporality requirements. Further information relating to temporality requirements can be located in Section C2.8.2 of this handbook.

- The QMS should also provide assurance that the agreed distribution dates will be met. This can be demonstrated through compliance with the AIRAC distribution.

C.5.1.3 Competency and skills of operational personnel

- Within a QMS, the competencies, knowledge, skills and attitudes required for each function are required to be identified.

- Personnel assigned to perform functions should be appropriately trained.

- Processes should be in place to ensure that personnel possess the competencies required to perform specific assigned functions.

- Initial and periodic assessments should be established that require personnel to demonstrate the required competencies. Periodic assessments of personnel should be used as a means to detect and correct shortfalls. This can be demonstrated through the provider's training and checking system.

- The provider must maintain records of the endorsements, qualifications and competencies of operational personnel that process aeronautical data.

C.5.1.4 Error correction and notification

- Aeronautical data should be traceable throughout the aeronautical information data chain to allow any data anomalies, or errors detected in use, to be identified by root cause, corrected and communicated to affected users. This can be demonstrated through the provider's error correction and notification procedures.

C.5.1.5 ISO 9001

- The provider should be able to demonstrate compliance against ISO 9001 for all its AIS functions. The usual means of compliance with ISO standards is an ISO 9001 certificate issued by an accredited certification body.

C.5.2 Aeronautical Data Processing Standards

> **References:** CASR: 175.210; 175.230(b); 175.250; 175.255; 175.260

Introduction

In addition to the QMS requirements of ICAO Annex 15, RTCA/DO-200B and EUROCAE ED-76A address the specific standards of quality management of the aeronautical data process. RTCA/DO-200B and

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024
Page **65** of **74**

OFFICIAL

EUROCAE ED-76A assume that organisations have an acceptable QMS in place and only address the requirements associated with quality management of the aeronautical data process.

The specific aeronautical data processing requirements related to quality management are contained in section 2.5 of the RTCA/DO-200B and EUROCAE ED-76A document.

Documented quality management procedures should ensure that:

- data accepted from a data originator meets the data quality requirements

- valid data processing procedures are applied

- procedures are adhered to and there is no unauthorised deviation from the procedures

- reviews and controls are in place to ensure quality.

The means used to meet the RTCA/DO-200B and EUROCAE ED-76A quality management requirements are not intended to be prescriptive. Compliance can be demonstrated by any quality management methodology that meets the requirements.

Things for Consideration

The following information may be of value in determining if the provider has met the aeronautical data processing quality management requirements of RTCA/DO-200B or EUROCAE ED-76A.

C.5.2.1   Plans and procedures

- These refer to a provider's compliance plans, data quality requirements and data processing (procedures, configuration management, skills and competencies and tools (e.g. software)).

- Quality management procedures

- The criteria for the review of, and maximum time interval between reviews of, the following should be defined and documented:
  - plans and procedures
  - personnel skill records
  - qualified tools.

- The person who has the authority to do the following should be identified and documented:
  - approve plans and procedures
  - certify that personnel have satisfied skill and competency requirements
  - authorise (qualify) tools for use.

- The authorised version of approved procedures should be the current procedures. There should be no unauthorised versions available to personnel.

- The provider should have procedures that describe what to do if unauthorised deviations from the documented procedures are discovered and what corrective action shall be taken. The corrective action may include changing the procedures and/or the competency requirements.

C.5.2.2   Review

- All plans and procedures must be reviewed and approved prior to being implemented, including when changes are introduced. The reviews must be conducted on a regular basis and should include addressing any problems identified with procedures, personnel or software. This is intended to ensure the continuing ability to maintain the data quality objectives.

- The provider should ensure that skills required for the various data processing functions are reviewed on a regular basis to determine if they are still relevant. If gaps in the required skills are identified the provider must ensure that operational personnel continue to have the required skills.

- Before supplying new data to a customer, the provider should review their plans and procedures to ensure they are still appropriate.

- When introducing new software, a review should be undertaken to ensure that no changes to existing procedures is required and that before incorporating new software the performance of the software is reviewed to ensure that data quality will be maintained.

- All records of any reviews conducted must be maintained. The accountable manager is required to conduct annual reviews against Part 175 which includes the data processing standards. Any significant deficiencies are required to be identified and addressed.

C.5.2.3   Records

- The RTCA/DO-200B and EUROCAE ED-76A standards require records to be kept and retained. This can be demonstrated through the record keeping and retention period requirements under regulations 175.255 and 175.260. Refer to Chapter C8 of this handbook for record keeping considerations.

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024   Page **67** of **74**

OFFICIAL

C.6 Contingency Plan

C.6.1 Contingency Plan

References:	CASR: 175.085; 175.200(1)(n),(z); 175.240

Introduction

A provider must have a contingency plan that sets out the procedures that operational personnel are to follow to maintain services in the event of a failure or the non-availability of personnel, facilities or equipment which affect the provision of the AIS.

The plan must also cover procedures for the safe and orderly transition back to full service provision. The provider's exposition must include details of its contingency plan for managing circumstances where there is a disruption of services.

Things for Consideration

The following information may be of value in determining if the provider has an appropriate contingency plan.

C.6.1.1 Continuity of services

- The measures used to ensure continuity of services should be described. This should cover catastrophic events, such as facilities or equipment being completely destroyed either maliciously, by accident or natural disasters, as well as the temporary unavailability of facilities and equipment.

- The exposition must describe the provider's arrangements for providing continuity of services to their customers. These arrangements may form part of the provider's contingency plan if there are sufficient safeguards and redundancies built into the provider's systems, such that a disruption of service is not possible.

- A provider must have documented procedures that account for the unavailability of any part of any of its systems which, if unavailable, would result in a loss of service availability.

- A provider must have documented procedures that account for the unavailability of operational personnel, such that, if they were unavailable, would result in a loss of service availability. This may only be relevant in the NOTAM and Briefing services offices which require the availability of a 24 hour 7 days a week service. The documented procedures should describe how the service can continue to be provided with a minimum number of staff.

C.6.1.2 Threats to delivery of services

- The provider should be able to identify any threats to its ability to provide any of its services. These could be in relation to availability of data and information from originators or their ability to supply data and information to data service providers. Other threats may be in relation to availability of facilities, equipment or personnel.

C.6.1.3 Alternative means of service delivery and resumption of service

- The contingency plan should include actions required to be taken by personnel and possible alternative arrangements for providing the service.

- The contingency plan should include arrangements for restoring personnel, facilities or equipment to normal levels and resumption of normal service.

C.6.1.4 Notifications to affected parties

- The provider should be able to describe how it will communicate the unavailability of services to affected parties.

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024
Page **68** of **74**

OFFICIAL

- The provider is required to notify CASA of any circumstance which will significantly affect its ability to provide any of its services. This should be included in the contingency plan. Examples of discontinuity of the AIS would be the unavailability of the online pilot Briefing service (NAIPS) or late delivery of AIP Amendments or aeronautical charts.

C.6.1.5 Review and testing of contingency plan

- The provider should be able to demonstrate that the contingency plan is reviewed at regular intervals.

- The provider should be able to demonstrate that the contingency plan is tested on a regular basis. The results of the tests should be recorded and any deficiencies identified in the plan rectified and reflected in a new version of the plan.

- The provider should be able to demonstrate that personnel are appropriately trained to ensure the contingency plan can be safely implemented.

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024   Page **69** of **74**

OFFICIAL

C.7 Changes

C.7.1 Change Management

References:	CASR: 175.055(4); 175.070; 175.075; 175.080; 175.200(1)(zd)

Introduction

A change management system provides a structured framework for managing most aspects of change.

Part 175 refers to changes as either changes to services that require a change to the certificate and other changes (that don't require a change to the certificate) but require a change to the provider's exposition.

Any change that requires a change to the certificate requires formal approval of the change by CASA – before the change taking place. Other changes can be implemented by the provider after they amend their exposition to reflect the change and notify CASA of the change.

If CASA is asked to approve a change, CASA must approve that change if satisfied that the provider can continue to meet the requirements for the issue of the certificate.

CASA may also direct a provider to make a change to their exposition, if satisfied the change is necessary in the interests of safety. If the change causes the provider's certificate to contain incorrect information, CASA must also issue a new certificate.

The exposition must include a description of the procedures for making changes, such as changes to the services that are provided or changes requiring the exposition to be amended.

Things for Consideration

The following information may be of value in determining if the provider's change management process is suitable.

C.7.1.1 Identification of changes

- The provider should have a system for identifying and recording changes and classifying those changes that require approval by CASA prior to the change being implemented.

- The change management system must be capable of distinguishing changes that do not maintain or improve, or are unlikely to maintain or improve, aviation safety.

C.7.1.2 Management

- The change management procedures should demonstrate how the provider ensures that changes are differentiated between those requiring CASA approval and those requiring the provider to notify CASA.

- The change management process may form part of the provider's SMS. Considerations in relation to the SMS are described in Chapter C4 of this handbook.

- The change management system must include procedures to ensure changes are incorporated into the exposition. Considerations relating to the exposition are described in Section C1.10 of this handbook.

- The procedures for managing change should describe:
  - how changes are initiated and assessed
  - a process for applying for CASA approval or notifying CASA of the change
  - development of a case for the change
  - risk assessment and planning, including:
    » implementing change using a phased approach to minimise potential adverse effects
    » ensuring use of resources will not impact on operational safety

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024
Page **70** of **74**

OFFICIAL

    » communication and consultation with all key stakeholders.

- – preparation of a plan

- – implementation of the change

- – ongoing monitoring and review.

- The system should ensure new facilities, equipment, processes or services are thoroughly tested to ensure reliability.

- The system should address the management of unexpected changes.

- Training for personnel should include training in management of changes.

- The provider may have a dedicated person or a change management committee responsible for managing changes and ensuring the exposition is updated.

C.7.1.3 Changes directed by CASA

- A direction from CASA to make a change to their exposition may relate to:

  - – the removal, inclusion or variation of information, procedures or instructions

  - – the removal of a person from an operational position.

- The provider's system for managing changes should include procedures for complying with, and implementing, changes directed by CASA.

- The provider may have a dedicated person responsible for ensuring changes directed by CASA are implemented in accordance with any conditions imposed by CASA, such as time limitations.

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024   Page **71** of **74**

OFFICIAL

C.8   Record Keeping

C.8.1   Procedures for Record Keeping

References:	CASR: 175.200(1)(za); 175.255; 175.260

Introduction

Part 175 imposes obligations on providers to maintain certain records.

Providers must have a reliable, auditable, secure and confidential record keeping system.

The provider's record keeping procedures should be aligned with the quality management system requires and address which records are required to be kept, for how long, where they will be stored, how they are classified and how and when records will be disposed of.

Things for Consideration

The following information may be of value in determining if the provider has appropriate record keeping procedures.

- The exposition must include procedures for a record keeping that cover the following in the relation to the records:
    - production
    - collection
    - indexing
    - storage
    - security
    - maintenance
    - access
    - disposal.
- The procedures should address the types of records to be kept as described in regulations 175.255 and 175.260.

C.8.2   Data, Information and Record Retention

References:	CASR: 175.255; 175.260

Introduction

Part 175 imposes obligations on providers to retain certain aeronautical data and information and certain records.

Aeronautical data and information is published in the IAIP and on aeronautical charts. In the event of an aviation incident or accident resulting in injury or death, it is often necessary to determine if the published data or information effective at the time of the event may have been a causal factor leading to the event. The courts will request access to the published data or information. For this reason, it is important that data, information and records are kept for an appropriate period after the data or information ceases to be effective.

Records fall into two categories, Commonwealth records under the meaning of the Archives Act 1983 and other records.

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024
Page **72** of **74**

OFFICIAL

Commonwealth records must be kept for as long as necessary under the Archives Act. Other data, information or records are to be kept a period of 7 years as stipulated in Part 175. The retention period required under Part 175 differentiates between the actual data and information that is published and other records.

The record keeping system should address which records are required to be kept, for how long, where they will be stored, how they are classified and how and when records will be disposed of.

Things for Consideration

The following information may be of value in determining if the provider has appropriate arrangements for retaining data, information and records for the required periods.

C.8.2.1   Archives Act 1983

- The provider should be able to identify which elements of the IAIP and aeronautical charts are Commonwealth records, and any other records that are Commonwealth records.

- The provider should be able to demonstrate that they will retain the Commonwealth records as long as is necessary under the Archives Act.

C.8.2.2   Other data, information and records

- The provider should have documented procedures that demonstrate that data and information published in the IAIP and on aeronautical charts is retained for at least 7 years after it ceases to be effective.

- The provider's documented procedures should demonstrate that records relating to data and information published in the IAIP or on aeronautical charts are kept for as long as the data or information is valid (e.g. records from data originators that are associated with new, amended or deleted data and information).

- The provider should have documented procedures that demonstrate that, for other records required to be kept by the regulations, the records are kept for 7 years after the records have been made.

Civil Aviation Safety Authority
CASR Subpart 175.B technical assessor handbook | V 2.0 | CASA-03-0004 | 03/2024   Page **73** of **74**

OFFICIAL

Appendix 1 – Technical Assessor Worksheet

The CASR Subpart 175.B Technical Assessor Worksheet is referenced as Appendix 1 to this handbook.

How do I access the worksheet?

The worksheet is available in an electronic excel format and published along with the handbook in the CASA Document Catalogue. CASA-03-0005

Worksheet User Instructions

The instructions for the worksheet are found within the CASR Subpart 175.B Technical Assessor Worksheet, in the User Instructions tab.